

15/11

THE
CLERGY-MAN's
Vade Mecum.

PART II.

Containing the
CANONICAL CODES
Of the *Primitive, Universal, Eastern,*
and *Western Church,*
Down to the Year of our LORD,
DCC LXXXVII.

Done from the Original *Greek and Latin,*
omitting no *Canon, Decree,* or any Part
of them that is *Curious or Instructive;*
With *Explanatory Notes,* a large *Index,* and a
Preface shewing the *Usefulness* of the Work;
with some *Reflections* on *Moderate-Non-*
conformity, and *the Rights of the Church.*

Πείθεσθε τοῖς ἡγουμένοις. Heb. xiii. 17.
Θεοπίστομεν ταῖς νόμιμον ἔχον τὰς Ἐκκλησιαστικὰς
Κανόνας. Novel. Imp. Justinian. 131.

L O N D O N: Printed for J. Nicholson, R. Knaplock,
and Sam. Ballard, in Little-Britain and St. Paul's
Church-Yard. M DCC IX.



r.
E

E
E
B
r.
di
A
2
gi
7

ibi
r. b
324
P.
w
hav
78.
P. 1
Faj
ven
P. 1
r. M
209
end
250
P. 2

ERRATA in the General Preface.

Page i. Line 21. dele *has.* p. xxxiii. l. 3. r. *Thirdly to shew.* p. xlv.
 Marg. r. 175 p. xlv. r. *seem.* p. li. l. 20. r. *Judges.* p. lviii. l. 30.
 r. *quadam.* p. lxi. l. 30. r. *Barfabas.* p. lxxix. l. 17. r. IV. *My Fourth*
 And .p. cx. l. 28. r. *by Strives.*

In the Text.

Can. Nic. 2. l. 2. r. *Ecclesiastical Canon.* ibid. 7. l. 1. r. *Metropolis.* Can.
 Eph. 7. l. 5, 6. r. *if they be Bishops or Clergy-men.* Can. Athan. 3. l. 13. r.
Eudoxius. Can. Bas. 40. l. 1. r. *She that being a Slave gives herself, &c.* Can.
 Bas. 74. l. 2: r. *the Bishop.* Can. Trul. 3. l. 4. d. (:) after *Emperor.* ibid. l. 13.
 r. *or that being Priests, Deacons, or Sub-deacons have married after their Or-*
ordination. Can. Trul. 80. l. 4. r. *if a Clergy-man.* Can. Trul. 83. r. *the 18th*
African. Can. Trul. 99. l. 7. r. *when they are not in the Church.* Can. Trul.
 20. r. *to his Sec.* Decr. of Siricius l. 6. r. *the Synod.* Decr. [of Siricius] l. be-
 ginning *Incontinent,* should be numbred 6. the next 7: 8. Decr. Innoc. 21 r.
 7th of Siricius. Decr. of Bonif. 2. r. *if Boniface die.*

In the particular Prefaces and Notes.

P. 4. l. 5. d. *Singes.* p. 25. l. 5, 6. r. *Affusion.* p. 29. l. 7. r. *long after.*
 ibid. l. 26. r. *those Hereticks, in opposition to which the Sabbath.* p. 41. l. 27.
 r. *being placed first out of.* p. 47. l. 33. r. *the Metropolitan of this Province*
was the Bishop of Caesarea. p. 48. l. 32. r. *who would not Communicate.*
 p. 55. l. penult. d. *this.* p. 56. l. 7. r. *that the Clergy.* p. 57. l. 20. r.
or of one Paulus. p. 58. l. 16. r. *to that Council.* p. 66. l. 7, 8. r. *must*
have been greater. p. 75. l. 25. r. *consumed at the first Administration.* p.
 78. l. 30. d. 73. p. 90. l. 5. r. *Antioch, what is meant* — *Synod, &c.*
 p. 103. l. 20, 21. r. *Clemen. Alexandrinus.* p. 109. l. 18. r. *Lent was a*
Fest. p. 126, &c. running Title *Chalcedonian Canons.* p. 129. l. 9. r. *fa-*
vour'd this Council. p. 144. l. 15. r. *this Council.* ibid. l. 20. r. *Secession.*
 p. 148. l. 7. r. *Provisional Canons.* p. 185. Marg. r. *Tilius.* p. 200. l. 19.
 r. *Mr. Calamy (Def. Part Ist.* p. 235. ibid. l. 24. r. *into the Clergy.* p.
 209. r. *two.* p. 228. l. 5. r. *that Council.* p. 231. at *Spurious* the Text
 ends, what follows is a Note. p. 232. l. 13, 14. r. *these Councils.* p.
 250. l. 20. r. *that is, after Sunday.* ibid. l. 4. r. *in Dalmatia, I suppose.*
 p. 276. l. 1. r. *if they have preserved.* p. 288. l. penult. r. *Osacer.*

ERATA in the General Notes

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the President of the United States to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a very important document, as it sets out the President's policy for the new year. The President states that he is pleased to see the Congress assembled, and that he is confident that the country is in a state of peace and prosperity. He also mentions that he has received a letter from the Secretary of the Navy, dated December 31, 1860, in which the Secretary states that the Navy is in a state of readiness to defend the country. The President concludes the letter by stating that he is confident that the Congress will be able to pass the necessary legislation to maintain the peace and prosperity of the country.

T H E P R E F A C E.

IN the former Part of this Work I have given an Account of *the State and Constitution of the Church of England*: In the following Volume I present my Reader with a view of *the State of the Church Universal*, down to the latter end of the VIIIth Century. Here I shall shew,

First, The Usefulness of this Work. *Secondly*, The Manner in which I perform it.

I. The Usefulness of it will appear from the Ends which may hereby be served, which are,

1. To give the Reader a true Scheme of the Government and Discipline of the Ancient Church.

2. To prove that the Church as such has all along been Govern'd by it's Pastors,

3. To shew what Order of Pastors it was that has Govern'd the Church.

4. By this means to Vindicate the Constitution of our own Church, and to shew that

that our Dissenters are Men by themselves, in the Method they take of Forming and Governing their Churches. And

5. That by looking into the Ancient Policy of the Church, we may the better understand our own, and have some Rules whereby to govern our selves in dubious Cases.

1. I give the Reader a Scheme of the Government and Discipline of the Ancient Church, in the most just and natural Method that it can be done, that is, by Translating those Laws, or Authoritative Rules, by which all Orders of Men in it were to regulate their Practice. I have often thought, that we could not have a more faithful History of our Nation, than by a good Edition of our Statutes so far back as they go; with Annotations, giving an Account of the occasion of making every Act, and Explaining all the difficult Parts of it: And such a History as that would be of our Kingdom, the same is this of the Church of Christ, for the first Ages of it, and so far downward, as it reaches. From hence you may learn, the Succession of the Governours, the several Wars, Fews, and Divisions, the Temper both of Rulers and People, the Customs, Manners, Vertues and Vices of those Bodies of Men, for whom the Laws were made; and the Bounds of Authority belonging to the several Governours and Officers thereof. And if we have in us a natural desire, and feel a secret

P R E F A C E.

iii

cret pleasure in hearing and Reading the Antiquities of our own Country, how much more will all, that love the Religion and Church of Christ *Jesus*, be affected with an impartial and genuine Account thereof, not as Recorded by some one single Hand; but by numerous Assemblies of Men, who did best understand these Matters.

Much indeed has been Written in our Tongue concerning *Primitive Christianity*: yet the Canons, whereby the Ancient Church was Govern'd, were never Publish'd in this Language so entirely as in the following Volume. For even in the Voluminous Works of *Du Pin*, the *Apostolical Canons* are wanting; and tho' the rest are to be found there, yet so much Abridg'd, and sometimes with such plain Mistakes, that the Learned Reader who has opportunity to compare his Translation with the Original, will easily be convinced, that in this particular, that most Learned Man has left room for the diligence and improvements of others. And he who compares his Abridgment of *Can. Ancy. 21, 22, 23. Laodic. 18, 45, 46, 50. Eph. 6.* with the *Greek*, will clearly see, that his Contraction of those Canons needed a review: But this is no where more visible than in the *Trullan Canons*, particularly the 41. which is thus represented by *Du Pin*, viz. *That those who will be Recluses, or Anchorets, ought to have been Three Years at least in a Monastery: where*

he scarce gives half the Sense of the Canon; the same may be said of the 68th, which he thus renders, *It forbids burning, tearing, or giving to Victuallers, the Books of the Gospel, if they be not quite spoiled:* But I think the 82d is still more defective; or rather misrepresented, viz. *It approves of the Pictures in which Christ is painted in the form of a Lamb.* The inquisitive Reader, till he have opportunity to consult the Original, may in the interim look into the Translation here offered him, and trust to it, till he finds himself mis-guided by it, as I hope he never will. I pretend not to Infallibility; but I can with some degree of Assurance say, that in these, and many other particulars, I give the Sense of the Canons more faithfully than he has done. I can't but observe one faulty Translation of a Canon that never was inserted into the Codes; 'tis the 23d of the Council of Carthage, held A. D. 397. which with him stands thus, *They who have composed private Prayers shall not make use of them till they have conferr'd about them with Clergy-men of good Learning.* The Latin Words are, *Quicumq; sibi preces aliunde describit, non eis utatur antequam cum instructioribus Fratribus contulerit;* which I suppose ought in justice to have been turn'd, *Whatever [Bishop] transcribes Prayers from [Books] belonging to other Places, ought not to use them, till he have conferr'd with his more Learned Brethren.* 'Tis not credible, that Clergy-men should presume to Transcribe

P R E F A C E.

v

scribe Prayers from the Books of other Churches into their own, unless it were at the Command of the Bishop. Nor were Clergy-men to use any Prayers at all in the publick Congregation; this was peculiar to Bishops and Priests, who are rarely called Clergy-men in the Ancient Canons. Nor is it probable that the Priest, who could not officiate without the Bishop's Consent, should dare insert a Prayer into the Liturgy without his Leave; therefore it must in all reason be meant of Bishops, who, tho' they did not, unless upon extraordinary Occasions, use to *compose Prayers of their own*, as *du Pin* would have it, yet might perhaps sometimes be better pleased with the Liturgies of Neighbouring Dioceses, than those used at home.

II. A Second End which I proposed to my self in this Work was, to give a clear Proof that the Church of Christ, as such, has all along been govern'd in External by its Pastors. If these Laws were made by Pastors, and executed by them, of which he that reads them, and does in any measure know the History of those Ages cannot doubt, then no more need be said to prove that during the Ages that these Canons were framed and put in Execution, the Government of the Church was in the main administred by its Pastors. If any Man, or Body of Men can prove a Prescription to any Estate or Power of half so long a standing, they will think it the

P R E F A C E.

greatest Injustice for any others to attempt to deprive them of it.

Let it not be said, that this was an Usurpation made upon the People by their Pastors, who took advantage of their Ignorance; for during the greatest Part of those Ages in which these Canons prevail'd, Christian People had the Bible in their Hands, and were exhorted and encouraged to read it; and it may be justly said, that the Laity of those Days understood the *New Testament* much better than ours can be suppoed to do. For the *Greek* was the Mother Tongue to the greatest part of the Ancient Christians, and was understood by very many in *Italy* it self; and they who understood only *Latin* had the Use of the old *Italick* Version, which was a very faithful, though not elegant Translation. The People of that Age could not have been imposed upon as some of ours are by the Author of *the Rights*, when he tells them, that *οὐδεὶς ὑμῶν* ought to be render'd *be perswaded by your Guide*; they would have answer'd him, that *ὑμέτεροι* signifies Men of Command and Authority; that 'tis for Equals or Inferiors to *perswade*, that Superiors are to be obey'd. A School-Boy would certainly smart for it if he should play with *Homer*, as that Author does with *St. Paul*, and translate — *ὃς οἱ πείθειται Ἀχαιοί*, *the Grecians were perswaded by him*, viz. their King. And whereas that Writer tells us that our Translators do never turn
the

the word *πείθομαι* otherwise than to be persuaded in any other place, but only *Heb. xiii. 17.* this is like all the rest; 'tis so expressly turned by them, *Act. v. 37. xj ὅσοι πείθοιτο αὐτῷ, and as many as obey'd him.*

We have indeed the History of the Foundation of the Church most faithfully recorded in Holy Scripture; and if any thing therein contained do controul this Right or intrust this Power in other Hands, then it must be owned, that the Pastors are but Invaders, and ought to resign their Authority to them, who were at first put into possession of it by Christ himself; but if on the other side it do appear, that Christ never gave any Commission but to *Pastors* only; if it do not appear that ever one Act of Spiritual Authority was perform'd but by them, so far as the History of the *New Testament* has informed us; then it is clear, that the *Pastors* have this Right not only by Prescription, but by the Original Endowment of our Saviour himself.

The main Argument advanced by the *Erastians* against this Truth is grounded upon that one Text, *Mat. xviii. 17. If he will not hear thee, tell it to the Church;* for we are told a hundred times over that the People only are the Church, and that by these Words they are made the Judge of all Church-Disputes: but now if it be proved that the Word *Church* does not always denote the People, and that particularly in this place it signifies the College of Apostles, then all the Conclusions drawn from this

Text in favour of the *Erastian Cause*, must be given up. 'Tis therefore my Business to prove, that by the *Greek* Ἐκκλησία, render'd *Church* by our Translators, we are to understand, a *Synod, or Assembly of Apostles, or Pastors*.

1. And the Context will prove this. The Words are part of a continued Discourse, which begins with the Chapter. None but the Apostles could have any pretence to dispute for Precedence in his Kingdom, which was the occasion of his teaching them Humility by the Example of a *Child*; and to remove all possibility of doubt, *St. Mark* tells us, *Ch. ix. 35.* that on this occasion *he called the twelve unto him, and saith unto them*; and if the Beginning of this Discourse were apply'd to them only, then there cannot be the least pretence for understanding these Words as directed to any others; for the Connexion and Dependence of one Verse upon another, from the 1st to the 21st is so clear, that there remains no room for Cavil.

2. Therefore the *Brother* spoken of, *ver. 15.* must be one of themselves, and the occasion shews this; for by their late Disputes for Precedence they had shew'd, that they were Men of like Passions with others; and since it was clear, that Differences might arise amongst them, he provides a remedy for composing them, which was, that after a double Admonition, they should tell it to the *Church, or Synod*, that is, to the whole College of Apostles, or as many as could

could assemble on such an Occasion. And nothing can be less probable, than that the People were to arbitrate Differences between the Apostles. It was the proper Office of the Apostles to keep Peace among the People; but that the People were to sit Judges upon the Commission'd Officers of *Christ Jesus*, is too gross.

3. The Word *Ἐκκλησία* is not by the *Hellenists* appropriated to signify an Assembly of People only; but particularly in *1 Chr. xiii. 1, 2.* it denotes an Assembly of Men of Authority, of the Captains of thousands, and Captains of hundreds, and of every Leader; and *Συναγωγή*, a Word of the same Import, is used, *Pf. lxxxii. 1.* for a Congregation of Princes. See also *Mr. Wotton's Vindication of his Sermon*, p. 164.

4. To whomsoever our Saviour here applies himself, to them he gives this Power, that *whatsoever they bind on Earth shall be bound in Heaven*, &c. and since our Saviour does in other places bestow this as a peculiar Privilege on his Apostles, or Pastors, 'tis not credible, nor consistent with his uniform Method of Proceeding, that he should here give it to the People in common.

5. And the practice of the Church represented in the following Papers is the best gloss upon this Text; for by them it will appear, that in the Primitive Times there were any Controversy betwixt Bishops, (who always look'd on themselves as Successors to the Apostles) it was always re-

P R E F A C E.

ferr'd to a Synod, if other Methods prov'd ineffectual to the healing of it; and he who would not submit to the final Decision of a Synod, was Excommunicated. In case the Business were not very extraordinary, or that no more Apostles or Bishops could be procured, our Saviour adds, *If any two of you agree upon earth touching anything they shall ask, it shall be done for them*; as if he had said: "If any two of you, when more cannot be had, do concur in Judgment, concerning any Difficulty or Debate, what you determine shall, upon your Address to God, be ratified in Heaven: Therefore some Matters, particularly Disputes about Elections and Ordinations, might be decided by two. See *Apost. Can. i.*

But, because our Adversaries lay so great a stress upon this Notion, that *the People only are the Church*, I shall therefore shew, that in other Places of the *New Testament* the Word *Church* is to be understood of *Pastors*.

And first, where we read of *the Church of the First-born*, Heb. xii. 23. 'tis in the highest Degree probable, that thereby are meant the Apostles and LXX Disciples, who were the first Converts to our Saviour and his Gospel, and of whom alone *Christ Jesus* had pronounced, that *their Names were written in Heaven*, Luk. x. 20.

Again, when St. Paul says, that *the Wisdom of God* in calling the Gentiles was made known to the *Principalities and Powers* by the *Church*, Eph. iii. 10. He explains what he means

means by the Church, *ver. 5.* namely *the Apostles and Prophets*; for by them this Truth was discovered both to Angels (which are here called *Principalities and Powers*) and to the Christian People. 'Tis unreasonable to suppose that the People knew it before the Angels; for that would import, that the Angels were less quick of Apprehension than the People. The Apostles and Prophets were the first Publishers of this Truth, and therefore they were the Church, from whom the Angels received it. Or if by *Principalities* you understand the Rulers of the *Jewish Nation* (which is, I think, a Strain) yet still this Argument holds; for not the multitude of Christians, but the Apostles and other Authorized Teachers of Christianity did make their publick Apologies to the Ruling Part of the *Jewish Church*, and in them, if at all, notify this Truth to them.

Several other Texts might be alledged to this purpose; but I will at present satisfy my self by producing four Parallel Passages in *St. Paul's Epistles*, I mean *Rom. xvi. 3, 5. 1 Cor. xvi. 19. Col. iv. 15. Philem. 2.* where we have mention of *the Churches in the Houses of Aquila and Priscilla, Nymphas and Philemon.* Dr. *Whitby* makes no more of these Churches, than Families consisting wholly of Christians; but I must crave leave to dissent from that Learned Man in this particular: For when *St. Paul* mentions, or salutes a Family of Christians, he calls them only *the House of, such a Man.* Thus
twice

twice in one Epistle he takes notice of the *House of Onesiphorus*, 2 Tim. i. 16. iv. 19. *Tertullian* indeed, after he was turn'd Heretick, said, that *where there were 3 Lay-men there was a Church*; but from the Beginning it was not so.

The Notion of Mr. *Mede* seems more plausible, who by this Expression understands the Congregation that used to meet at such a one's House; but neither will this hold, for,

1. In Cities, where there were more Congregations than one, it can scarce be accounted for, why St. *Paul* should salute one rather than another, (and he never salutes more than one such Church in one City :) this is especially true of those Cities where St. *Paul* had never been in Person, as *Rome* and *Colosse*; for in this Case he must equally be unacquainted with every Congregation.

2. If there were but one Congregation of Christians in the City, to which the Epistle was sent, he had in a most solemn manner saluted them in the Front of the Epistle; and I suppose, no reason can be given why, after he had saluted them under the Title of the Saints in such a City, he should afterwards vary his Style, and salute them by the Name of the *Church in a House*.

It remains therefore, that by this Expression we understand *the Body of Pastors*, who then most probably, as they did in after-Ages, liv'd together in a Community
in

in the House of some one more Wealthy and Zealous Christian.

We have one Instance of a Body of Evangelical Officers living together in one Family, 1 Cor. xvi. 15, 16. where the Apostle *salutes the House of Stephanas*, and charges the *Corinthians to submit themselves to such*, and to every one, says he, *who helpeth with us, and laboureth*; which last words do clearly imply, that they were a number of Men who Preached uncorrupt Christianity, and such Doctrine as the Apostle himself did; and for this reason in all probability the *Corinthians* had made a Defection from them ever since they had resigned themselves up to their new Teachers; and 'tis probably for this reason that he does not give them the honourable Compellation of *the Church in the House of Stephanas*; for it is observed by Learned Men, that the Apostle carefully avoids the saying any thing that might distaste the *Corinthians*: And because the Spiritual Ministrations of these Orthodox Pastors were no longer accepted, *they had addicted themselves to the raising Contributions for foreign Churches*, which is here and elsewhere call'd *ministring to the Saints*. He calls them the *First-fruits of Achaia*, that is, some of the most early Converts in those Parts, whom therefore probably St. Clement in his Epistle to these *Corinthians* had in his Eye, when he says, that the *Apostles ordain'd the First-fruits Bishops and Ministers of those who should afterwards believe*. 'Tis clear that

St

St. Paul had Baptized them, 1 Cor. i. 16. and therefore probably Ordained them with his own Hands.

Tho', after all, if these Gentlemen would allow the Clergy the same Equity that they do the Governors or Officers of any Body Politick, there had been no occasion for so many words in so clear a Case. For what more common than for an Act or Law passed by a Mayor and Aldermen, to be called the Act or Law of the whole City? and the Act of the Queen, or her Plenipotentiary, the Act of the whole Kingdom of *Great-Britain*? So an Assembly of the Chieftains is called *the Congregation of all Israel*, 1 Chr. xiii. 1, 2. And why, by the same Figure, may not the Act of the Pastors be styled the Act of the Church, upon Supposition that by that Word is generally meant *the People only*? which yet I am so far from believing, that on the contrary I think it will be hard for our Adversaries to prove that this Word is any where so used as to exclude the Clergy. St. Ignatius is positive, that *without them there is no Church*.

I shall, before I conclude this Preface, have occasion to shew, that all the most noted Acts of Authority mention'd in the *New Testament* after our Saviour's Ascension, were performed by Pastors, which, with what has been already said, is a sufficient proof of this Truth, that the Pastors of the Church had the Government of it during the Apostles time; and that this

Aug

Authority was not to die with the Apostles, and the Pastors Co-temporary with them, but to continue as long as the Church it self, I shall not undertake to prove for two Reasons; the first is, that it has been so effectually done by many others; the other is, that I cannot believe that they who contradict this Truth can be in earnest, if they believe the Scripture.

But there are another sort of Men, I mean Mr. *Calamy* and his Brethren, who, tho' they own that *Christ* left the Government of the Church in the hands of its Pastors, and intended it to be perpetual, yet will not allow them any Power in External Matters. He confesses that *Jesus Christ* left the *Method, the Gesture, the Habit* to be used in Divine Worship, and Sacraments *undetermin'd*: and he adds, that *Christ* not having done this, it looks as if he did not esteem it necessary it should be done. And yet that some things are necessary to be determined he owns, especially in that Aphorism of his, which he calls his first Principle, viz. that each *Worshipping Society* must determine for it self, what it finds necessary to be determined. And whereas his Adversary had argued, that *It results from the Nature of all Societies, that the Governors of them should have a power of ordering what seems to them for the Beauty and Advantage of them*: He denies this, except the Governors can make it appear, that what they recommend is really the mind of *Christ*. Sure our moderate Non-conformists were at a dead

Introduct.
p. 6, 7.

ibid. p. 13.

ibid. p. 85.

ibid. p. 39.

2 Cor. ii. 10.

dead lift indeed, when they found that their Cause was not to be maintained without so very hardy an Assertion as this. Did ever Mortal before hear of Governors without any Authority to make Laws, without any power even in the least and most minute things? Servants, or Inferior Officers you may call them, Governors you cannot, except you own that they have a Right to demand Obedience of the People. Governors with so full a Commission as the Apostles and their Successors, who acted as *St. Paul did in the Person of Christ*, might surely, without being thought Usurpers, undertake to regulate any Circumstances belonging to Divine Worship, or whatever else our Saviour himself has not determined. He grants, that *the Rule would hold in meer Civil Societies, and as to Supreme Governors*; but Pastors are only *subordinate Governors*. In the same Sense that the Apostles and their Successors, for the first 300 Years were Subordinate Governors, 'tis certain that all Kings and Emperors are so. Both of them had a Master in Heaven, but, as Sovereign Princes, have no Superior on Earth; so neither had the Christian Pastors in any Ecclesiastical Affairs, till the Empire came into the Church.

Introduct.

• 15 •

But Mr. Calamy would have it thought, that to make Articles of Faith, and to prescribe Modes of Worship are something parallel: Yes, they are parallel, as the Axis of the Universe and of the Earth are supposed to be, so as to be at an Immenſe

ment
They
they
first
of a
for E
Age
The
by G
Pow
Asser
his A
form
muſt
vatic
thor
with
Mir
Chri
even
lief
poſt
ſed;
Men
enjo
cert
it c
belo
ſure
or
Par
not
tha
In
and

menſe Diſtance the one from the other: They have this Property of Parallels that they can never meet or be the ſame. The firſt are neceſſary to Salvation to all Men of all times; the others are neceſſary only for Peace and Order to the Men of that Age and Place to whom they are enjoined. The firſt can be made properly and ſtrictly by God alone; for he only has an abſolute Power over our Wills, ſo as to demand our Aſſent to what he pleaſes: *Chriſt Jeſus* and his Apoſtles only were Commiſſion'd to inform us what thoſe Articles were which we muſt believe at the peril of our own Salvation. None can juſtly pretend to an Authority of making new Articles of Faith without a Revelation, and the power of Miracles to confirm it. Nay, ſince the Chriſtian Revelation is finiſh'd, if a Man, or even an Angel come and require our Belief of any New Doctrine, we may by Apoſtolic Authority pronounce him *accurſed*; but if an Authority given by God to Men be not ſufficient to empower them to enjoin Circumſtances and Ceremonies, it is certainly no Authority at all. For unleſs it can be ſhewed that there is any thing belonging to Religion leſs than theſe, ſurely the leaſt Authority that ever was, or can be imagined, has power in theſe Particulars; and therefore, if they have not Authority in theſe things, 'tis clear, that they can have none in any other. In the mean time let the Reader obſerve and admire Mr. *Calamy's* Parallel, a Parallel

Gal. i. 8, 9.

rallel betwixt the greatest and least ; a Parallel betwixt a Power truly *divine*, and Power, that is the least that can be called *humane* ; and to argue, that because Pastors have not one, they cannot therefore have the other, is to say, that because they have not an absolute Divine Power, they have none at all.

But how small soever this Power is, yet the Apostles vouchsafed to exercise it, and have thereby given Precedents to their Successors. They exercised it in commanding *Women to be Veiled, Men to be uncovered* in Holy Offices, in requiring the *Kiss of Charity*, in obliging the Gentile Converts to *abstain from blood and strangled Animals*. And tho' Mr. *Calamy* cannot in good manners, and because of plain matter of fact recorded in Scripture, deny the Apostles this Power, yet he will not allow that *the Church has the same Warrant in all Ages to fix Regulations* ; but this is merely precarious, except he could give some reason why this Authority was necessary in their Days, and not now. Suppose the Controversy about Circumcision had happen'd a hundred Years or more after the Apostles, would it not have been as necessary to have it determin'd then, as it was during the Apostles Life-time ? and to suppose that Christ had left no Authority to determine it, is to suppose, that he made no Provision for such Accidents as were to happen. It may indeed be said, that no Body of Men in

after-

Introd. p. 34.

after-
with
pref
with
Ghost
mean
conv
in th
Impo
Apos
the E
absta
for t
their
sult,
same
tism
nion
bled
ther,
mad
but
conc
fore
Holy
ly G
trov
cisi
uncin
this
ny
St. I
they
appe
dire

after-Ages could have determined this Point with Authority, because they could not have prefaced their Decrees, as the Apostles do with those Words, *It seemed good to the Holy Ghost*; but he that duely considers the meaning of this Expression, will easily be convinced, that any other Council might in this Case have used the same: For the Import of these Words cannot be, that the Apostles had any immediate Impulse of the Holy Ghost to require the Gentiles to abstain from Blood and strangled Animals; for then there had been no occasion for their coming together to argue and consult, no more than there was to do the same, in order to give leave for the Baptism of *Cornelius* and his Heathen Companions: And the Reason why they assembled upon one Point and not on the other, was, because there was a Revelation made to *St. Peter* in the Case of *Cornelius*; but none either to *St. Peter* or any other concerning the eating of Bloud, &c. Therefore when they say *it seemed good to the Holy Ghost*, their Meaning is, that the Holy Ghost had in part determined this Controversy, viz. the Non-necessity of Circumcision, by commanding *Cornelius*, and other *uncircumcised Heathens* to be Baptized; and this appeared to them upon the Testimony of *St. Peter*, *Act. xv. v. 7*, &c. and of *St. Paul* and *Barnabas*, ver. 12. but that they should abstain from Bloud, it does not appear that the Holy Ghost had any way directly or indirectly intimated to them; there-

therefore they add, *it seemed good to us*. Nor do's it appear, that the Prohibition of Bloud and strangled Animals was any more than a prudential Temporary Provision made by the Apostles. And the generality of the Western Christians, Protestants as well as Papists, do so understand it: For how could they, as it were by a general Consent, lay aside this Practice, if they were of Opinion, that it was so decreed by the Holy Ghost? That the Holy Ghost had clearly revealed the Non-necessity of Circumcision, is acknowledged by all; and all Christians, at least on this side of the World, do accordingly neglect it: But as to the abstaining from Bloud, this is tacitly confessed by the greatest part of those who are the most competent Judges, to have been Enacted by the ordinary, and meerly humane, Ecclesiastical Authority of the Apostles; and consequently to be abrogated by the long Silence of the Church, which now requires no such forbearance from us.

As the forbidding of Bloud was only a prudential Institution, so were the other external observances enjoined by the Apostles; let Mr. Calamy say it never so often, yet since the Apostles themselves don't say it, we cannot believe, that any Revelation was made to them, concerning *Womens wearing long Hair, Men short; concerning their Entertainments called Love-Feasts, or their mutual Salutations in their Religious Assemblies*. 'Tis not credible that God should make Revela-

tions

tions concerning things, in which Humane Prudence and a competent Authority in the Rulers of the Church, was sufficient to direct the practice of Christians.

Mr. *Calamy* do's now where argue more loosely, than when he equivocates upon the Word *necessary*: For he tells us more than once, that the Apostles commanded only *necessary things*. And 'tis true, the forbearing Blood, and strangled Animals,³ are so called, *Act. xv. 28*. But Mr. *Calamy* cannot surely mean that these things were ever in themselves necessary; if he do, St. *Paul* will teach him better, when he says, *neither if we eat are we the better, nor if we eat not are we the worse*. To abstain from Fornication, is owned to be always necessary, but the other particulars were not so, till the Apostles had by their Authority made them so to that Age of Christians. There are several degrees of necessity; Some things are absolutely necessary, as *to abstain from Fornication*: others are only necessary in that Sense of the Word in which St. *Paul* takes it, *2 Cor. ix. 5*. that is, proper and convenient.

The Case indeed is so clear, that the Apostles did exercise such a Power in external matters, that the Presbyterians themselves seem sensible, that their Cause is gone, if they are to be tried by the Example of the Apostolical Age: For you shall scarce find a Book written by them against Prelacy, but you'll see that Text of St. *Paul*, *2 Thes. ii. 7*. wherein he says,
the

Introd. p. 4.

the Mystery of Iniquity was then working, produced to prove, that the Prelatical Spirit did then begin to operate. Mr. Calamy would not omit so pertinent a Quotation; but intimates withal, that this was toward the latter part of their Age, *while the Apostles eyes were scarcely closed*; whereas this Epistle was written A. D. 52. 13 Years before the Death of St. Peter and St. Paul; 48 before that of St. John: But what do they mean by applying these Words to the Case in dispute? Sure they would not have it thought, that *the Mystery of Iniquity was working* among the Apostles, or the Pastors, and Churches settled by them: and 'tis from these alone we argue. 'Tis agreed by all Writers that have regard to any thing but the Service of a Party, that the Jews, or Heathens, or else the Hereticks and Schismatics, were the Men, amongst whom this Mystery wrought; and we are willing to leave their Examples to be Copied by others: But our Adversaries cannot but know that 'tis the Apostolical Pattern that we insist upon, and from thence endeavour to justify our own Constitution: And for them in their own Defence to tell us, that the *Mystery of Iniquity was then working*, is to reproach the Apostles; as well as the Hierarchy of our Church, or else 'tis to say nothing to the purpose.

But Mr. Calamy never triumphs more unmercifully, than in his Argument drawn from Rom. xiv. where all are obliged to re-

ceive

ceive a weak Christian, tho' he eat Herbs only, and will not observe a Day: And pray who of the Conformists ever Condemn'd any Christian, whether weak or strong, for being too much bent to any Opinion or Practice not condemned by Scripture, nor yet disapprov'd by the Church. Wholly to abstain from Flesh was not yet forbid by any Act of the Christian Pastors, and therefore every private Man was to be left to his own discretion: But when there arose a Sort of Hereticks, who would eat no Flesh, upon supposition that they should be defiled by it: I suppose the Pastors of the Church were much in the right, to censure those who would not eat it, or at least declare their Approbation of them that did. See *Apost. Can. 43.*

61.

Further, it is clear from this Chapter, that no Day was yet totally set a-part for Religious Offices: That Christians did Assemble on the *Lords-Day* for the Celebration of the Eucharist, and Publick Devotion, is highly probable; but 'tis evident, that the Church had not yet by any Law, or prevailing Custom, or Authority, distinguish'd the *Sunday* by any such strict and solemn observance as is now paid to it in this and other Christian Nations: Neither the Church nor Presbyterians would now receive that Man, who *do's not observe a Day to the Lord*: So that no Conclusion can from hence be drawn against us, more than against them: and the reason

son of our not receiving such a Person is agreeable to our Principles, *viz.* That in external matters the Authority of the Church binds us. But it will be hard for them to shew, why they do with so much Zeal declare against those who *do not observe a Day*; unless they could produce a Divine Decree for the keeping it, made after the writing of *St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans*. Mr. *Calamy* seems aware of this difficulty, and therefore declares, that *he dare not say, that he who apprehends, we are under no Divine Obligation to the Sanctification of the Lord's-Day, is a Man of no Conscience.*

Defence,
Part I. p. 10.

'Tis very evident that our first Reformers were universally of Opinion, that the Pastors had Power in Externals; and that even the observation of the *Lord's-Day* was to be referr'd to no other Principle: My Proofs of this are ready, when any one shall think fit to call for them: in the mean time, he that doubts, whether any other Reformed Church besides ours, did proceed upon this Principle, that *the Church* (that is the Governing part of it) *has Power to Decree Rites and Ceremonies*, let him consult a late excellent Book of Mr. *Bingham's*, entituled, *The French Church's Apology for the Church of England*, and he will easily be convinced, that the Dissention can never be justified, but by condemning all Foreign Protestant Churches, as well as ours.

'Tis a strange new way these Men have taken up, of measuring the Purity of any Church,

Church, that is, by the paucity of its Ceremonies; by which way of account, the Church of *Scotland* is more pure than that of the Apostles: for this, 'tis clear, had Ceremonies, that is, external Observances, not essential to Divine Worship or Religion; the other has none, or however will not allow them to be call'd by so un-sanctified a Name. Whereas on the other side, if our Church had three times more Ceremonies than she has, provided they were grave, decent, significant and ancient, I should think her much more pure, than any Church that has received the *Dort-Doctrine* concerning the absolute Decrees. For of this last I am as sure, as I can be of any thing, that is contrary both to reason and Scripture. As to Ceremonies, as I am sure that they are no where forbid, so when they are Innocent, and enjoin'd by a lawful Authority, they are my Duty, upon supposition that Christ hath left Governours in his Church; and if he have not, there is a Match between *Moderate Non-Conformity*, and the *Rights of the Church*: tho' upon second thoughts they are too near a-kin, and even Twins. The main Principles of *Moderate Nonconformity* are, that every Man by being a Member of a Civil Society, has a clear Right to be protected in that mode of Worship, which he apprehends to be most agreeable to the Will of God. If this Power (*viz.* of Pastors in Externals) be Independent on the Magistrate, 'tis Imperium in Imperio. Synods are compared

Introdu.
p. 29.

p. 43.

p. 69:

p. 85:

pared to the *Meetings* (Clubs according to the Improvement of the *Rights*) of *Lawyers, Physicians, and Merchants, upon the Affairs of their particular Functions*. Again, every Company of professed Christians have a natural Right to order the *Circumstances of their own Worship*. Any one by reading the *Rights*, will readily see, that those sparks of *Moderate Non-conformity* have by that Author been blown into a Flame, threatening Destruction to all Churches, as well as this of *England*.

This last mentioned notion of resolving all Power in Church as well as State, into the Will of the People, is the bosom Principle of these Two Writers; and they prove it from the Light of Nature: And that a Deist should do so is not to be wondered; but that a Christian, and one that professes Divinity should do it, is very extraordinary indeed. I should as soon consult the Light of Nature for the Articles of my Creed, as for the Government of Christ's Church. 'Tis true, they that pretend to inform the People of their Privileges need not fear making Converts now. No Man is more easily convinced of any thing, than of his own real or imaginary Power. But Truth, Divine Truth, is strong, and will prevail against the *Inventions of Men*. 'Tis clear, that Christ has settled a Succession of Governours in his Church, and that they have had the Power of making and executing Laws among their People from the Apostolical times to

to this very day, and that this Power is still in the Pastors of this Church of ours, not only by an inherent Right derived from Christ himself, but by the acknowledged Laws and Constitutions of our Civil Government.

I say that this Power is still in the Pastors of our Church (how ill soever the Author of *Moderate Nonconformity*, and the *Rights* may be able to bear it) tho' under some Restrictions and Limitations. Before the Act of Submission, our Metropolitans had the Power of calling Synods at discretion, and Canons therein made were of Force by virtue of the Law of the Universal Church, and even by the Immemorial Custom of this Kingdom, which is of itself a Law: And 'tis sufficient that the Act of Submission do's not deprive them of this Power, but only do's so limit it, *that no Convocation shall be called, nor any Canons Enacted, but by Royal Licence; and that no Canons be made contrary to Scripture, the Prerogative Royal, or the Laws and Statutes of the Realm.* They needed no Empowering Clause: For Convocations were ever owned to have Authority to make Canons, and put them in Execution; and provided this be done by Royal License, they have it still, as is acknowledged by *Stat. 13. Car. 2. c. 12.* in which we have this Clause; *Provided that this Act* (which was for restoring Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction after the late Rebellion) *shall not extend to give any Bishop, or others, any*
b 2
Power.

Power to determine any Censure Ecclesiastical which they might not by Law have done before the Year 1639; nor to Abridge the King's Supremacy, nor to Confirm the Canons made 1640, nor any other Canons not formerly Confirmed by Parliament, or by the Established Laws of the Land, as they stood in the Year 1639. Here is a clear distinction between Canons confirmed by Parliament, and Canons confirmed by the Established Laws of the Land; and it is from hence as evident as any thing need to be, that the Parliament owns, that Canons may be valid if made according to the *Establish'd Laws*, that is, by Convocation with the Queen's Assent, tho' they be not confirmed by Statute: which is the Case of the Canons made A. D. 1603. which never were confirmed by Parliament, otherwise than as they are implicitly confirmed by this Clause.

Def. Part 2.
p. 280.

I the rather produced this Clause, because Mr. Calamy in the tury of his Moderation had asserted, that *this Statute frees the Subject from an Obligation to any Laws or Canons not formerly Confirmed, Allowed or Enacted by Parliament.* I shall leave it to the consideration of my Readers, and of Mr. Calamy himself, if he shall vouchsafe to be one of them, whether more Conscience ought not to be made in citing Authorities: But the Conclusion drawn from hence is more surprizing still, viz. *As for the Canons or Ecclesiastical Laws, I have no concern in them; the Parliament never ratified them, and I am no more ob-*
liged

Ibid.

liged to regard them, than if I lived in another Country. This is peremptory indeed; But how can he say of the Canons and Ecclesiastical Laws, without distinction, that *the Parliament never ratified them?* when the Words of the Act as cited by him, suppose some of them at least to be confirmed by Parliament; and at another place he speaks of Canons made 1563, confirmed in Parliament 1572; for this last I can find no Authority, but that of Mr. Calamy, and how much that is to be depended upon, is left to the Reader. Sure this moderate Man, when the hot fit is over, will own that he is obliged by these, except where their Obligation is taken off by the Act of Toleration. For to me it seems clear, that Mr. Calamy and his Brethren are still under the Lash of very many of the Canons and Ecclesiastical Laws: I will mention Two Branches of them, viz. those concerning Administrators and Executors, and those against Uncleaness of all sorts. If Mr. Calamy, or any of his Brethren, have ever Prov'd a Will, or taken Letters of Administration, they must be sensible, that they were obliged to do it in that Court, and according to those Forms which the Canons direct, many of which are not expressly confirmed by Statute. And if any of Mr. Calamy's persuasion were found notoriously guilty of Fornication or any other Uncleaness, and I were Ecclesiastical Judge of that Diocese, I should make him sensible, that a Pres-
b 3
byterian

Def. Part I.
p. 19.

byterian is as much liable to the Canons of the Church in this case, as if he were a retainer to the Church of England. In a word, I would condemn him to do Penance in a white Sheet, and with a Wand in his Hand; and let Mr. Calamy, or the most Learned Council, try their skill, whether they could procure a Prohibition from the Judges in *Westminster-Hall*, let them cry out never so loud of the *Mischief of Impositions*, and plead the *Scrupulousness* of the Man's Conscience against the Use of a *White Robe*, yet I would not in the least question the carrying of my Cause; for the Act of Toleration was never intended to Patronize Lewdness or Immorality.

But the Church ought not to take it so ill at Mr. Calamy's hands for bidding defiance to all her Laws at once, since 'tis no more than what he does to the Laws of the Queen and Parliament, tho' with more reserve; for he asserts, that the Oath of Allegiance relates only to the Laws, that concern the *Security of the Queen's Person, Crown and Dignity*, as to the rest of the Laws, says he, *I am free from an Oath; and if the Legislative Power be therein warrantably exerted, I look upon my self as bound to Obedience by virtue of the Consent of our Representatives in Parliament.*

But what Obligations are they under to obey the Laws, that have no Representatives in Parliament? which is the Case of three quarters of all the People in the Nation, and even of the Presbyterian Preachers;

ers ; so that at this rate the great Majority of the Nation, and particularly of Mr. *Calamy's* Brethren, are no ways obliged, unless for fear of punishment, to live in subjection to the main body of our Laws. I have not room to follow Mr. *Calamy* in all his Extravagant Sallies on this Subject. I can only wish, that Her MAJESTY may be better serv'd and obey'd, than she is like to be by those that would be thought some of the best of her Subjects. And as for his Notion, that the Oath of Allegiance obliges us to obey no other Laws but what concern the Queen's Person, Crown and Dignity, I look upon it as contrary to Scripture, and the common Notions of Mankind, and of all Learned Men, except Mr. *Calamy*, whose Writings I have look'd into ; for I think all these unanimously agree, that *we ought to keep the King's Commandment, and that in regard of the Oath of God.* And indeed, if our Obligation to obey Laws do proceed from their being made by our Representatives, 'twill be hard to prove, that any other People are under any ties of Obedience ; for I know no other People but the *British*, where any part of the Legislature is in Persons chosen or nominated by them, or any great part of them.

By the following Codes it will appear, that the Authority now claimed by our Convocation, is in some particulars less than what was allowed to all Provincial Synods after that the Empire became Chri-

stian; and by these Codes put together, it is very evident, that for 6 or 700 Years next after the Apostolical Age, the Church was govern'd by its Pastors in external matters, in Circumstances of Worship, in Rites and Ceremonies, as well as matters of Discipline. If the Scriptures were obscure in this Point, as I think they are not, (tho' the *Eraſtians* and *Preſbyterians* have done all that in them lies to make them so) what fairer Method could be proposed of deciding the Controversy, than by referring it to the Arbitration of so many and Venerable Assemblies, as those whose Acts and Decrees are contained in the following Codes; and they do all unanimously give in their Verdict on the Churches side. And he that is not extremely full of his own *Sufficiency*, will certainly suspect his own Judgment, when he sees it controul'd by the continued Sentence of the Church for so many Ages together, during the time that she remain'd uncorrupt as to matters of Faith, and free from any gross Degeneracy in Worship. But further, from these Codes it appears, that during all these Ages the Pastors were in an undisputed possession of this Power, as will appear to any one that reads them. I persuade my self, that 'twill be very difficult to produce any body of Men that call'd themselves Christians, or even one Man of tolerable Reputation that opposed the Exercise of this Authority. Not but that Disputes were then rais'd against some particular Ceremonies

monies or Usages; but that it was on all hands allow'd, that it was the Business of the Pastor to appoint such as were fit and proper. I proceed.

Secondly, To shew what sort of Pastors they are by whom the Government of the Church is in an especial manner to be administered.

And if the same Reverend *Sages* may be permitted to give Sentence in this Case as well as the other, they are all agreed, and universally answer, that as there were 3 Orders of Men in every Church; so the first of these Orders, called at first Apostles, afterwards Bishops, did in an especial manner preside over and govern the Church. They decided Disputes, and made Authoritative Rules for the Clergy and People: They Ordained other Pastors, and presided in the Election of them, if they did not also Elect them, as 'tis clear they often did: They passed Sentence on Offenders, and when they saw occasion relaxed the Censure, or Penance prescribed by Canon; and this will be so plain to him that peruses these Papers, that I need not use a Fescue to point out particular places to my Reader.

If a Dispute should happen amongst any two Parties here in *Britain* concerning the Power of making and executing Laws; and one Party should contend that both Powers were in the Prince, and another should affirm that the Executive Power was indeed in the Prince, but the Legislative jointly in the Prince, and two Houses

of Parliament. I suppose this latter Party need use no other Argument, but content himself in producing the Statute-Book, in every Page whereof this Truth does clearly shew itself, that so far backward as that goes, the Prince has been acknowledged to be possessed of the Executive Part; but that the Lords and Commons have their Share in the Legislative. And by Parity of Reason, when there is a Dispute what Pastors have the Government of the Church, whither should we go for a Decision, but to the ancient Canonical Codes of the Primitive, Universal, Eastern, and Western Churches, which were as it were the Statute-Books of the Church of Christ in external matters: And if there be found in them an evident Agreement in this point, we ought to own what is certainly true, that by the Unanimous Suffrage of the most early and unbyass'd Ages, and the uncontroul'd Practice of all Churches throughout the World, this Authority has always been exercis'd by Bishops. But, as when we say that the Executive Power is in the Crown, we do not thereby exclude the Privy Council, and other Ministers of State whom the Prince makes use of for the more effectual and unexceptionable Administration of Laws and Justice: So neither when we principally attribute to the Bishops the Privilege of giving Authoritative Rules in Ecclesiastical Matters, and of putting those Rules in Force and Practice, do we deny that they have, and may, nay perhaps in
x
some

some Cases ought to use the Assistance of Priests, as they must of Necessity, in the Executive Part, employ other Inferiour Officers.

But the Point I am at present concern'd to assert, is, that Bishops have all along had the chief Share in the Government of the Church; for which, he who reads these Codes needs no other Proof. Now I will suppose for the present, that the Form of Church Government is in itself indifferent; and that the Presbyterian Form is as proper to preserve the Peace of the Church, and serve all the Ends of Government, as the Episcopal; yet except there were some violent Reason, some irresistible Argument to the contrary, why should any Nation reject that Form under which they were first settled in the Christian Religion, under which the Ancient Church did so successfully carry its Cause against Judaism and Idolatry; and I will add, by which we were so happily reform'd from Popish Errors and Superstitions in the last Age, for one that is new and unheard of in the Christian Church till about 160 Years ago? To alter where there is no just occasion; to change for changes sake, is contrary to the common Sentiments of all Men, except such as are led by fancy and humour: But for Men in their own judgment not only to prefer Novelty to Antiquity, the Example of one City to that of the whole Christian World, not only to make a departure from the Church, but a horrid exclamation

P. 10.

clamation against her for having retained the Episcopal Form; and *to dissent even from Episcopacy* itself, as the late *Latin* *Strictures* own that the Presbyterians do, is certainly as great an Instance of a Contradictious Spirit, as can well be imagined. And sure nothing can be more unjust than to brand them with the Name of a Party, who stand up in Defence of the Ancient Form of Government, and to lay at their door all the sad Effects which have attended this Controversy.

When Men make Head against Governors duely establish'd, and who receive their Authority from a long Series of Predecessors, and are own'd by the Civil Constitution, they ought to give very clear Demonstration indeed, that this long Possession was originally grounded upon some Fraud or Mistake, and that the Rival Government has a better and more unexceptionable Right and Title by the Ancient Charter of Christianity, the *New Testament*; or else it must be own'd that the Aggressors are answerable for all that Mischief which their New Claims and Pretensions have produced: and the others must be acquitted by all impartial Men, if it do appear, that what they have done or said, was only in their own necessary Defence.

Now the Presbyterian Teachers have in 160 Years time been so far from clearing their Pretended Right and Title from Scripture, and giving a convincing Proof of it to others, that very few of them here in
England

England seem to be convinced of it themselves: and the more the Case has been sifted and enquired into, the less Evidence still appears on their Side: And the Pretence of their Government and Discipline being founded by Christ Jesus is in effect dropt by some of those that are most concerned to defend it, I mean the Geneva Doctors abroad, and Mr. Calamy and his concurring Brethren here at home. The Doctors of Geneva clearly give up their *Jus Divinum* in those Words of their late Letter to the University of Oxford, *We have such Rites, as the Government and Necessity of our Common wealth requires; for by Rites* See Pref. to the First Part, P. 13. the Author of the Strictures owns, that they mean *the whole Geneva Discipline*. Mr. Calamy declares, that *he is so far from being an Enemy to Episcopacy, that he could with Freedom submit to it as a prudential Institution: but I must be so frank as to tell him, that he can only mean, that he is no Enemy to it in his real Judgment, for that he is an Enemy to it by his Practice, and even Profession, his Writings, and his constant Preaching in a Meeting House do clearly demonstrate. However, he fairly lays aside the Divine Right of Presbyterian Discipline, and does in effect own himself Indifferent to the Episcopal, Presbyterian, or Independent Scheme, as* Def. Part 1. P. 182. indeed Mr. Baxter had done before him. Nor is this to be wonder'd at, if it be considered that Mr. Calvin himself, the first Author of the Presbyterian Government, Introduc. P. 89. was

De Necessitate
reformati. ad
Cardinal Sa-
dolet vers. fin.

P. 19.

was so far from thinking that Form to be of Divine Right, that he certainly does Anathematize all those who do not revere and pay the most profound Obedience to that Hierarchy in which Bishops retain their Eminence, but so as to submit to Christ Jesus, and depend on him as their Head. The *Strictures*, the Author whereof seems to have been of a quite different temper from Mr. *Calamy*, would have *Calvin* mean no more, than that he approved of Bishops on condition that they embraced that Ecclesiastical Discipline, which the Apostles instituted at the Command of Christ Jesus; i. e. the Presbyterian Platform, which is just as if one of our Church should say, that he approv'd of the Presbyterians and their Government, upon condition that they would submit to Episcopacy. *Calvin*, to give him his due, never talk'd, or argued in so loose a manner as the Writer of the *Strictures* would here make us believe he did, *Monf. De la Motte* is a more equal and competent Judge; and he in his *Correspond. Fraternal*. p. 424. cites this very Passage from Mr. *Calvin*, to shew his Approbation of Episcopal Government; and indeed if this had not been Mr. *Calvin's* real Judgment, he must have been guilty of Self-Contradiction; for, as the Ingenious *Monf. De la Motte* further observes, Mr. *Calvin* had Subscribed the *Ausburgh Confession*, in which there are several exprefs Declarations in favour of Episcopacy: I will transcribe but one, viz. *We have often protested, that*

we

we approve with all our heart the Ecclesiastical Polity, and the Distinction of Degrees in the Church. We desire to preserve them, as much as in us lies. We do not disapprove the Authority of Bishops, provided they do not force us to act against the Commands of God. He that desires more to this purpose may consult Mons. De la Motte, in the place afore-cited. Now let any Man judge, whether the Presbyterians do most consult the Honour of Mr. Calvin, by supposing that he Subscribed this Confession contrary to his Judgment and Conscience, or the Episcopal Men, who are willing to believe that he acted and wrote consistently, and that when he Subscribed the Confession of *Ausburgh*, he did it in earnest. He was so far from being apprized of any Divine Right, on which his Scheme of Government was founded, that he understood the Word *Presbytery*, 1 *Tim.* iv. 14. which is the Capital Text among our Dissenters here in *England*, not of a College of Presbyters, but of the Order of Presbyters: So *Philip* knew nothing of *Alexander's* being the Son of a God, but verily thought that he himself was the Father of him; but the vain young Man finding himself greatly admired, could not bear to be thought of humane Extract, but perswaded, it not himself, yet his Followers, that he was the Offspring of *Jupiter*.

Institut. cap.
16. de Ordin.
Eccl. vers. sc-
nem.

On the other side we are to consider Bishops as an Order of Men that had most certainly the Government of the Church

Church for 6 or 700 Years together, next after the Apostolical Times that were first Eclipsed by the Monarchy of the Pope, who built his Sovereignty upon the ruines of their Power, and taught his School-men to say, That Bishops had no Power over Priests, but what they received from him; that they were both of one Order, and that there was no difference between them, but what the Pope had made, the Falsity and Absurdity of which will appear by the following Codes. At the Reformation, tho' they were redeem'd from Popish Slavery, yet they were laid under some new Restraints by the Civil Laws, and soon after most violently assaulted by a new sort of Men, who pretended an extraordinary degree of Zeal for the Reformation, and against the Episcopal Order, not considering that the wrong Notion which they had of it was really taught them by the Popish School-men, and was designed as one means whereby to raise the Pope's Grandeur. However, betwixt Papists and Protestants the Order was run down in a popular fury by Men that had neither Inclination nor Capacities to be competent Judges in the Case. And yet by the extraordinary Providence of God it has recover'd itself, and has gain'd a great many Friends both amongst Papists and Protestants, both at home and abroad: And it is clear, that if ever the Tyranny of the *Roman* Pontiff be ruin'd, it must be by every Bishop and Metropolitan's re-assuming

ming
Prov
in t
med
tion
Chur
Cour
prob
duce
Orde
ment
com
For
daily
one
that
lical
hund
and
est
Rom
chief
mun
thos
stitu
ment
own
above
first
that
the
and
m
this
Chu

ming their own Rights, and acting by Provincial, or larger Synods, as they did in those Ages when these Codes were framed. And considering in what Reputation this Ancient Government of the Church now is in some neighbouring Countries, as well as our own, 'tis not improbable that Divine Providence may reduce and humble the Pope by the same Order of Men and Ecclesiastical Government, by which he was pleased to overcome the Jewish and Heathenish World. For it is certain this is an Opinion that daily gains ground; and where there was one Man in the time of *Queen Elizabeth*, that believ'd Episcopacy to be of Apostolical, or Divine Original, there are many hundreds that believe it now both at home and abroad, and those Men of the greatest Name and Figure both amongst the *Romish* and *Reformed*. Nay, one of the chief Leaders of the opposite Party, *Edmund Calamy*, E. F. and N. has granted those Premisses, from which the Divine Institution of a Prelatical Form of Government must necessarily follow. He expressly owns, that *there was a Pre-eminence of some* Introduct. p. 41.
above others in the Gospel Ministry in the
first Settlement of the Church. He owns Def. Part 1. p. 77.
 that *Timothy* and *Titus* were sent to supply
 the place of the Apostles in settling Churches,
 and that it would be very hard to prove it
 unwarrantable to keep up such Delegates. By
 this he clearly grants that the Christian
 Church was founded in a State of Prelacy;
 and

and why it should not continue in the same State is what can never be shew'd. He says indeed, *we find no intimation in Scripture that they were designed for continuance*; but neither does he find any intimation, that they were not design'd for continuance: If he had, we should certainly have heard of it; and to what purpose is it to appeal to Scripture, if at last we are not bound to observe the Precedents there given us? If the Scripture do declare, that the Government of the Church was to continue as long as the Church itself (of which no one, that is in earnest a Christian, can doubt) of what Government is this Declaration to be understood? of that founded by Christ and his Apostles, or of any other? If of a Government not founded by them, let our Adversaries acknowledge that theirs is such; if of the Government founded and settled by them, this is own'd to be a Prelatical Form. He does indeed at one place venture to give a Reason why this should not be perpetual, but such a one as he does not think fit to own, and therefore gives it in the Name of *some Persons of worth in the Protestant Churches*, the Reason is, because of *the Withdrawment of those supernatural and miraculous Gifts, on which the Pre eminence of some above others was founded*: This is a Reason used by the Rights and others, to prove that now there is no divinely Authorized Ministry or Government in the Church, and it proves one

Introduç.
P. 41.

just

just as it proves the other. A true Christian would rather say, that as the *Blossoming of Aaron's Rod* prov'd the Primacy of *Aaron* in the Jewish Oeconomy, not only for his own Person, but for all the Heirs of the High Priesthood descended from him; so the extraordinary Miracles wrought by the Apostles did not only confirm their Right to preside in the Church, but that of their Successors to the end of the World. And after all, how does it appear that *Timothy*, and *Titus*, and others, who certainly were invested with an Apostolical Authority, had more miraculous Gifts and Powers than other Pastors?

But 'tis further pretended that *Timothy* and *Titus* were extraordinary Officers; that is, say they, they were *Evangelists*. That Evangelists as such were extraordinary Officers; and not designed for a Continuance is allow'd; and that *Timothy* has ^{2 Tim. iv. 9} this Title given him is certain, but of *Titus* this is not true. Nor is there any Reason to believe that *Timothy's* Presidence proceeded from his being an *Evangelist*: Not only the *Apostle*, but the *Prophet* is ^{Eph. iv. 11} placed before this Officer; and it seems to me, that this Office was clearly consistent with any other. *St. John* and *St. Matthew* were Apostles and Evangelists, *St. Mark* a Bishop and Evangelist, *St. Luke* was an Evangelist, and yet as is commonly believed one of the LXX. *Philip* was certainly both a Deacon and Evangelist, *Act. xxi. 8*. And why might not *Timothy* as well as *Mark*,
be

be both a Bishop and Evangelist? We know why Four of these are called *Evangelists*, namely, because they were so well skill'd in the History of our Saviours Life and Death, as to give it us in Writing. By parity of reason all others called *Evangelists*, were such as made it their study and business to make themselves acquainted with our Saviour's Actions, and Sermons, and Sufferings, and to relate such Passages of them in the Publick Congregation, as the present occasion required. And this was as useful and edifying an Office as any in the Church of God; and it was extremely necessary for some Years after our Saviour's Ascension: For it was a good while before the Gospels were written, and much longer still before they were dispersed, and Universally received: during all this time, the Evangelist who could confirm any great truth, add weight to any advice, or reprehension, by rehearsing any discourse, or relating any momentous Passage of our Saviour's Life and Death, must have frequent and great occasion to exercise his Abilities: But when the Four Gospels were committed to Writing, and were in every one's Hand, this Office of course ceased. It is not necessary to suppose, that any miraculous Gifts were necessary for this Officer, the Holy Ghost assisted him only *in calling to remembrance* what he had seen or heard by conversing with our Saviour himself, or learn'd from those who were themselves Eye-witnesses; there-

therefore he is rank'd below *the Prophets*. For it was certainly a more eminent Gift of the Spirit to Fore-tell things to come, ^{Eph. iv. 11.} than to be able to recollect what was past.

'Tis owned, that during the Apostles Lifetime, there were many Churches that had only Priests settled in them, and in those Churches the Apostles superintended as Bishops; in others, where they did settle Bishops, there they themselves acted as Primates, as in the Churches of *Ephesus* and *Crete*, and those Seven Churches mentioned in the Revelations. And all this is not to be confuted by telling us that the Word Bishop and Priest, or Elder, were of the same signification in the Apostolical Age: For so the Word *Cohen* in the Writings ^{Hebr. 173} of *Moses*, denotes *Aaron* himself as well as his Sons; yet there can be no doubt but *Aaron* had an Authority over the rest, not only as their Father, but as Priest of a Superior Rank, or *High-Priest*, as his Successors were called in the latter Writings of the *Old Testament*. And indeed if the sameness of Name or Title proved any thing, it would follow, that there was no Officer Superior to that of Deacon; for St. Paul calls himself *Διάκονος*, a *Deacon* of *Christ*, twice in one Chapter, *Col. i. 23, 25.* and as often as he is stiled a *Minister* by our Translators. The Dispute is not about names, but things, and if there were a real Superiority in the Apostles, and their Delegates, over other Priests

Priests and Evangelical Officers, this is all that is contended for.

It therefore Priests as they are now called, were ever the chief Governours or Pastors of the Church under Christ, it must be between the Death of the Apostles, and the commencement of these Canons: and this indeed is an Age whose History is very imperfectly handed down to us: and therefore here our Adversaries take Sanctuary, and would have it thought, that during this time there was a *Reign of Priests*, tho' where, or how manag'd, they cannot tell, nor give us so much as one clear Instance of it. For as to the Story of Twelve Priests at *Alexandria*, that did, during this Age, Ordain their Bishop, or Patriarch, 'tis so sorrily related, and by one who lived so many Hundred Years after, and has been so effectually confuted by *Hammond* and *Pearson*, that I cannot see with what face Mr. *Calamy*, and others, can insist upon it, without pretending to Answer the Objections against it, which seems to me insuperable. Tho' if the Story were true, yet still it proves, that even in that Church there was a Bishop, mis-called a *Patriarch* by the ignorant relater, that presided over the Priests and Clergy, as well as People.

And even in this Age it has pleased God not to leave his Church without other Witnesses: For *Clemens Romanus* do's clearly distinguish between the *ἐνέκρευτοι*, and *Πρεσβύτεροι*, and in another place by the High Priest,

Priest, Priests and Levites decyphers the Bishop, Priest and Deacon in the Christian Church, in his undoubted Epistle to the *Corinthians*. He do's indeed use the Word ἐπισκοποι, in the same manner that the Apostles do for both the Superior Orders; or else he uses that Word in the same Sense that we do now, and by Διέκονοι means all Officers under Bishops, as we often do by the Word *Minister*, which exactly answers that *Greek* one.

• *Ignatius* his Testimony is so clear in our behalf, that our Adversaries have no way to Answer it, but by denying his Epistles to be genuine. And if they would in earnest Answer the Vindication of this Book, Published by *Vossius*, *Usher*, *Cotelierius*, and above all by our incomparable *Pearson*, we would give them leave to triumph; but so long as their Arguments for these Epistles remain unshaken, so long, what the Dissenters say on this Head deserves no consideration. Mr. *Calamy* is contented to say an unmannerly thing of him, and instead of his Learning to shew his Breeding. *I could not*, says he, *help reckoning a* Def Part 2.
ny Modern, that should use such Language P. 152.
as St. Ignatius do's, as one out of his Wits,
or a Blasphemer. I remember I heard a Gentleman of a *Calibre* with Mr. *Calamy* say much the same thing of *St. Paul*. This must pass for a gross Calumny till he be able to prove his Words, which he can never do, except he mean, that *Ignatius* be a Blasphemer of Mr. *Calamy's Diana*,
Anar-

Ibid. 151.

Anarchy, or Democracy. But upon supposition his Epistles are genuine, *He might, says Mr. Calamy for what I know, magnify the Power of a Bishop above a Priest, as Divine, in opposition to those who might perhaps represent such difference in Degree (fix'd by humane Prudence to promote Peace and Order) as unlawful:* But *St. Ignatius* would never have thank'd him for this; for he declares to the *Philadelphians, the Spirit said unto me, do nothing without your Bishop:* For the Age of Inspiration was not yet at an end. However we are beholden to *Mr. Calamy,* for supposing Episcopacy to have been introduced *A. D. 108.* as it must have been upon *Mr. Calamy's* present concession; for he is owned to have suffered Martyrdom in that Year, and this was but Eight Years after *St. John's* Death, who lived to *A. D. 100:* So that if ever Presbyterianism had a Reign in the Ancient Church, 'twas a very short one. And toward the latter end of this Century we have the United Suffrage of *Origen, Tertullian, Clements Alexandrinus,* and *Irenaeus,* for the prevalence of Episcopal Government: and in this Age many of the Apostolical Canons were framed, as the most Learned *Cotelerius, Du Pin,* and *Beveridge* do unanimously assert, and as the latter has at large proved.

But now it will be seasonable to consider, what Share the Priests had in matters of Ecclesiastical Government; and that

1. In

I. In matters within their own Diocese: In case any Rules or Canons were to be made or executed either against Clergy-men, or Lay-men, that had been guilty of any Crime, there can be no doubt, that Bishops, especially in more early times, did advise with their Presbyteries: For near a Hundred Years after the Apostles, the Bishops had no Collections of standing Rules, or Laws, whereby to Govern the Church in external Matters; and during this time every Bishop, in prudence, if not in duty, upon all new Emergencies, or rather, while all Emergencies were new, would consult his standing Council. For the first 50 Years after the Death of St. *John* Provincial Synods of Bishops did rarely meet. The Apostolical Canons give Power to any Two Bishops to expedite all things relating to Elections or Ordinations; because it was hard for them in the Age of Persecution to meet in greater Numbers. What Correspondence they had with each other, was chiefly by the Deacons and other Messengers, who were sent from one Diocese to another, upon Ecclesiastical Affairs; or in their Occasional Meetings for the Ordination of Bishops, where they had opportunity of Conferring together, on the Affairs of their several Diocesses. But tho' Presbyteries in this Age were undoubtedly more used, as to the Point of Government, than in any of those that came after, yet I dare not be positive, that the Bishop had not Power

P R E F A C E.

to Act without them; nor have I met any one Authority that looks this way. *St. Ignatius* indeed charges the Priests and Deacons to do nothing without their Bishop; but I can't observe, that he charges the Bishop to do nothing without them. Nay, he intimates in his Epistle to *Poly-carp*, that it was the Bishop's duty, to see that his Priests lived in Subjection to him.

By degrees Bishops began to have Precedents and Rules to Act by; whatever Canons were agreed upon by Bishop and Priests, there is no doubt, but the Bishop might put in Execution, as occasion offer'd: and any neighbouring Bishop knowing and approving those Rules or Laws, made use of them himself; and what Canons had been received by several Diocesses when Provincial Synods began to meet, (as 'tis clear they did, especially in the East, from the middle of the Second Century. See *Tertull. de jejun. c. 13. de pudicitia, c. 10.*) were Enacted into Laws, for the whole Province; and some of these, 'tis probable, by degrees became Canons of the Universal Church. It was very much for the Credit and Authority of the Church, that her Proceedings should be Uniform, especially in relation to Censures; and the only way to secure this, was, for the Bishops especially of the same Country, to Act by the same Rule: But by this means it came to pass, that there was little occasion in a few Ages, for a Bishop to consult his Presbytery: For within

with
per
som
fore
by
ther
Bish
shop
tery
ther
also
this
than
When
led a
Deac
shops
Alexa
rather
make
them.
let the
reign
Consent
fit, say
have
ven in
a singul
he did
be attri
temper
ailing
ays he
See, to
hority,

within an Age, scarce any Case could happen, but that it was before-hand ruled by some former Canons and Precedents: therefore we have very rare mention of Presbyteries in the following Volume; and there are very few Instances in History, of Bishops consulting them. *Cornelius* Bishop of *Rome* did indeed call his Presbytery in the Case of *Maximus*, and the other Confessors; but he adds, that *he had also Five Bishops present with him*: so that this was rather a discretionary Meeting, than a Presbytery, properly so called. When *Alexander* Bishop of *Alexandria* called a Presbytery to condemn *Arius*, he had Deacons present with him, as well as Bishops and Priests: Besides 'tis clear, that *Alexander* had all ready drawn, and seems rather to demand their Consent, than to make them Judge in the matter. He tells them, that *he had called them together, to let them know what he had Written to Foreign Bishops; and that they might give their Consent to the Deposition of Arius*: For it is fit, says he, that you should know what I have Written; and that you retain it engraven in your Hearts. *St. Cyprian* indeed paid a singular regard to his Presbytery; and so he did to his People: but this must rather be attributed to the humble condescending Temper of the Man, than any Law or prevailing Custom. *I resolved with my self*, says he, *from the time of my entering on the See, to do nothing by my own Personal Authority, without the Advice of my Priests*,

Cornel. Ep. ad Cyp. 46. Secundum Patrum.

Coteler. Nic. in Const. Ap. L. 8. c. 28.

Ep. 6.

and Consent of my People. This is so far from proving any Right in Priest or People, that it proves the direct contrary. Our Lords and Commons would, I persuade my self, take it far enough from being a Complement, if any Prince should tell them, that *from the beginning of his Reign, he had resolv'd with himself, to make no Laws nor raise any Taxes without their Consent.*

II^{dly}, Let us consider what Privileges belong'd to Priests, in relation to the Province, or other Diocesses. The most frequent occasion of Provincial Synods was for the Election or Deposition of Bishops; and as Priests (excepting those of the vacant Church) had no share in the first; so it do's not appear, that ever they had a Vote in the Latter. There were indeed many Priests present at the first Synod of *Antioch*, held against *Paulus Samosatenus*, A. D. 265. tho' this was rather a Conference, than a Synod, properly so called. In the Synod wherein *Paulus* was Deposed, it do's not appear, that any but Bishops were present: this was Five Years after the other, A. D. 270. *Origen*, a Priest of *Alexandria*, was present in the Synod of *Bosra*, and was very useful in convincing *Beryllus*, the Bishop, of his Errors: but if he had been obstinate, whether he would have had a Vote in condemning him do's not appear. 'Tis certain, there were Priests at the Synods of *Eliberis*, 1st of *Toledo* and *Bracara*; but that they Voted do's not appear. In

Euseb. Hist.
L. 7. c. 28.

the
ha
w
no
ob
Co
Bis
Co
bri
I f
Att
ten
Act
Ma
nod
any
Infe
grea
belic
this
Subj
sigh
Br.
mak
whic
be g
sent
inter
are f
rence
stood
as C
thers.
Priest
Synod

the Subscriptions to the Two Latter we have the Names of Bishops only, which would make one believe, that Priests had no Votes there. The only Instance I have observed of Priests being Summoned to Council, is in *Constantine's* Letter to the Bishop of *Syracuse*, Summoning him to the Council of *Arles*, and ordering him to bring with him Two of the 2d Throne; i. e. I suppose Priests: but he adds, and Two Attendants; which I hope were not intended to Vote in Council. However the Acts of this Council run in the Name of *Maurinus, Bishop of Arles, and the Synod of Bishops there Assembled*; without any mention of the Priests, Deacons, or Inferiour Clergy, of which there were great Numbers there present, if we may believe the List of Names prefix'd to this Council which I, by mistake, call'd *Subscriptions, Part 1. c. 6.* in which oversight I follow'd Bishop *Stillingsfleet, Orig. Br. p. 75.* There is one thing which makes it probable they did not Vote, which is, that there can scarce an Instance be given of Priests mentioned to be present at Council; but that Deacons and inferiour Clergy, if not the People too, are said to be there, tho' with this difference, that the Priests sate, all the rest stood. Many Priests attended their Bishops as Companions, interior Clergy, and others, as the Bishop's Retinue. But if Priests had an ordinary Right to Vote in Synods, it ought to be determined what

P R E F A C E.

Priests; whether all whose Bishops were present, or Two or Three to represent every Diocese, or only the Priests of the Church and Diocese where the Synod met. And 'tis strange if these, or any other Priests, were of old thought to have this Right, that we no where find, the Priests entering their Protestations against those many Synods which, so far as appears, were held without any Priests at all. There is not the least hint of any Priests being present in their own Right, at any of the Synods, whose Canons compose the Code of the Universal Church; nor at that of *Sardica*, or in the *African* Synods. 'Tis scarce to be supposed, that if Priests had been sensible of any right they had to be called, they should be wholly silent, and never resent the neglect that was put upon them, in Bishops holding Synods without them. There are indeed Ten Priests who Subscribe their Names to the Second General Council held at *Constantinople*, the Canons whereof you have in this Book; but Five of them Subscribe expressly in the Name of the Bishops, whose Representatives they were: and it seems most probable that the others were Proxies too, especially because of the Words with which the Subscriptions begin, *viz. The 150 Bishops that met in the Council Subscribed*; and this Council is often called, the Council of 150 Bishops, tho' there are not now so many Names in the List, reckoning Priests and all. The truth is, most Subscriptions

are

are liable to one Exception or other, and therefore no great Dependence on any Argument drawn from them.

I would not have any one from hence infer, that Priests by the Laws of the Church were look'd upon as unqualified to Sit in Councils. We have a great Precedent, *Act. xv.* whereby it does appear, that *Elders*, i. e. Priests, did actually assemble together with the Apostles for the determination of the Question concerning eating Blood, &c. for they were not there as bare Spectators or Approvers, but they joined with the Apostles *in considering of this matter*, ver. 6. and no one can pretend with a well-grounded Assurance, that no Priests did ever Sit as Voters with Bishops in the Ancient Synods; but only, that there was no settled Law or Custom of the Church, by which any particular number, or Set of Priests could claim this Privilege; but that as in other things so in this the Priests were not to act but at the Direction of those of the first Order, and not to be all of Course present at Synods, as Voting Members; but only such of them, and upon such occasions, as the Bishops thought fit. If a Right can be founded on this single Instance of the Priests of *Jerusalem*, it must be only for those that belong to that Church or See, where the Synod meets. In a word this Instance is sufficient to prove, that Priests are capable of belonging to Synods as constituent Members; but, which of that Order shall be

chosen to Sit there, must be decided either by the Pleasure of the Bishops from time to time, or by the Custom of the Church introduced by the exprefs, or tacit Consent of the Bishops of each Province or Country for several Ages past.

Upon the whole, I think what I said, *Part 1. c. 16.* will hold good, viz. that it is the particular Privilege of English Priests, to have a Right to Sit as constituent Members in Provincial Synods, and are own'd in all conclusive Acts to have a Negative on the Bishops. 'Tis true in strictness Abbots ought to have been excepted, who from the 12th Century downward have sat even in General Councils; but they are Priests of a great Elevation, and own'd in all Popish Countries to be Bishops Peers: Here in England they Sat with Bishops, not only in Ecclesiastical, but in State-Assemblies. And when that Opinion, *that the Order of Priests and Bishops was the same*, prevailed in the Church of Rome, as it did for 4 or 5 Ages before the Reformation, no wonder that Abbots together with the Habit of Bishops, had their Honour and Privileges communicated to them by the Pope.

But no part of the Bishops Power has in all former Ages been look'd on as more peculiar to that Order, than Ordination. *St. Jerom*, in that Epistle, where he speaks in derogation of Episcopacy, and which is so much magnified by the Presbyterians, yet, to their Confusion, appropriates the Power of Ordination to the Bishops alone
which

which is the first and chief Privilege that our Dissenters would deprive them of. For this is the main Aim of all the Writings of Dissenters on this Subject, to prove, that Priests as well as Bishops may Ordain, or at least that Orders conferred by them are not invalid: and the reason of their Zeal in this Case is very apparent, viz. that if Priests cannot Ordain, then none of our Dissenters are duely Commission'd Officers in the Christian Church. The whole Design of Mr. Calamy's First Part of his Defence is to justify Ordination by Priests, by Arguments from Scripture answer'd over and again by others; which I shall therefore not repeat. I shall only give the Reader a Taste of his Skill, and Ingenuousness in citing Antiquity. He tells you, that *it was no uncommon thing for Priests to Ordain, even after Priests and Bishops were generally distinguish'd in the Church*, which he would prove from 2 Canons made to debarr them from conferring Holy Orders. The Canons he cites are the 12th of *Ancyra* (the 13th as I number them) and the 10th of *Amioch*, which Canons the Reader is desired to peruse in the following Book. He would have it thought that there were several Bishops in the same City, till it was forbid by Canons, but mentions none but the 56th of *Laodicea*, which says nothing of the matter. The 8th of *Nice* does indeed forbid the *Novatian* Bishops upon their Conversion to be received as Bishops, in places where there

P. 101.

is already an Orthodox Bishop, lest there should be 2 Bishops in one City, which would have been contrary to the settled Law of the Church; for the Epistles of *Cornelius* and *Cyprian* do demonstrate, that this was a received Maxim a long time before the Council of *Nice*, and was with the highest probability of Apostolical Institution. But Mr. *Calamy* no where gives us a more clear Specimen of his Integrity and good Luck in making Discoveries in Antiquity, than when he produces the 7th Canon of the 2d Council of *Sevil*, which was held in the 7th Century to prove, that the prohibiting Priests to Ordain was a new thing; tho' in the former place he would have it thought to have been done 300 Years before. First, I will present my Reader with Mr. *Calamy's* Abridgment of this Canon, viz. *Let the Priests know, that the Power of Ordaining Priests and Deacons is forbidden them by the Apostolical See, by virtue of Novel Constitutions, and to bear up the Dignity of the Bishops.* Thus he has given you his Sense of a Canon containing in the Original 48 Lines, according to one Copy, 45 according to another; and yet he has patched up this short Translation by following the shorter Copy in omitting those Words, *quadam autoritate veteris Legis, some things* (by which the Canon means chiefly Ordination) *are forbid by the Authority of the Old Law*; and by inserting those words which stand at 20 Lines distance from the other Words, and are only in the larger Copy, viz. *by the Authority of the Apostoli-*

cal

cal See. Next I will present my Reader with a faithful Translation of the Canon, not at large, but as I have render'd the following Codes. *Moses alone at the Command of the Lord Erected an Altar; he alone anointed, because he was the High-Priest of God, as it is written, Moses and Aaron among his Priests; what is only enjoind the Chief-Priests, of which Moses and Aaron were a Figure, let not the Priests, who were represented by the Sons of Aaron, assume to themselves: For tho' the Dispensation of Mysteries is for the most part in common between them and the Bishops; yet some things are forbidden them by the Authority of the Old Law, some by Novels and Ecclesiastical Canons, as the Consecration of Priests, Deacons and Virgins; as the Erection of an Altar, Benedictions and Unctions. For 'tis not lawful for them to Consecrate a Church or Altar, or to confirm or reconcile Penitents at Mass, nor to send Formal Letters; because they are not in the Summity of the Priesthood. The Canons permit Bishops only to do this, that the difference of Degree, and Summity of the Sacerdotal Dignity may appear. Nor must Priests Baptize in a Bishop's Presence, nor Consecrate the Eucharist, nor Preach, nor Salute the People, all which is forbidden them by the Apostolical See. Who would be Drudge to a Cause that cannot be maintain'd without such Shifts as these? Mr. Calamy tells us, that the Reasons why He and his Brethren are willing to keep a Succession of Ministers among the*

Part 1. p. 190.

Dis.

Dissenters are, *that their Worship may not cease, nor serious Religion die among them, nor their Principles want some to defend them.* What their Worship is I know not; nor did I ever yet meet a Man of sound Judgment, who had been present at it, and could give me an Account whether their Devotion were consistent, sound, and agreeable to good Sense and Religion; and I persuade my self that their very Orators can scarce tell, whether the Prayers they offer be well composed or not; and if they are so, it must be by mere chance, if, as is pretended, they are *extempore*: But if this be serious Religion to falsify Authorities and blacken the Church, by misrepresenting her Constitutions, as Popish Impositions, let me know what it is that I shall call Imposture and Prevarication? If the Cause be no better than this Defence of it, I shall leave it to my Reader to give his Judgment.

I proceed briefly to consider the Plea that has of late been made for the Peoples Power in Ecclesiastical Matters. And here I don't pretend to limit the People to such a Degree, as to say that the Church, or even the Magistrate has Power either by the Law of Christianity, or by any other means to force and drive People whether they will or nay, into Compliance with Ecclesiastical Constitutions, or even with the Gospel. Nor do I pretend that they cannot if they will make a General Revolt from the Church, or even

Chri:

Christianity itself: but my Meaning is to consider what Power they have, or ought to have in Church-Matters, according to the Charter of the Gospel, and the Practice and Laws of the Church, upon Supposition that they continue in her Communion.

And here the first Privilege claim'd for them is the Election of Pastors. And upon this head it must be own'd, that the *Eraſtians* and *Presbyterians* talk more plausibly, and with a greater Shew of Antiquity than on any other. 'Tis freely granted, that in the Ancient Church the People had this Privilege of chusing their Bishops in many places, tho' not in all, as will appear from these Codes. But here let it be observed, that our Adversaries have only the Practice of several Ancient Churches to urge in behalf of the People, not one Word from Scripture. And how comes it to pass that the Ancient Practice of some Churches should be of so great force when it is seemingly against us; but that the Practice of the Universal Church in all Ages is in their Account of no Value, when it speaks for us.

I say they have not one Word from Scripture on this Head. *Matthias* was chosen, as the other Apostles were, by Christ himself. The 120 that nominated him and *Barnabas* were not one fourth part of the Church; for this consisted, at the time of our Saviour's Resurrection, of 500 at least, 1 Cor. 15. 6. The Eleven Apostles and LXX Disciples make 81 of this Number; the rest were probably our Lord's

Apud Euseb.
L. 3. c. 20.

P. 24.

Lord's Relations, called his *Brethren*, *Act. i. 14.* of whom *Hegeſippus* ſays, that they govern'd or perform'd the Office of *Paſtors* in the Churches. Suppoſing they were ſome of the Chief of the Laity, or rather Candidates for the Miniſtry, yet this will not ſerve the turn of our *Eractians*; for in chuſing men to Officiate, every one has for himſelf a Negative, ſays the Book miſſed the Rights of the Church.

'Tis true the Apoſtles leave the Nomination of Deacons to the People, *Act. vi.* becauſe they were to be Stewards of the Church-Stock; but theſe Deacons were not *Paſtors*; the Souls of the People were not to be entrusted with them; that Charge had before been committed to the Apoſtles and LXX: But in this, and all other Caſes the Apoſtles had certainly a Negative; they could not be obliged blindly to lay hands on Perſons nominated by the Multitude. The Apoſtles in this caſe limit the People, and give them the Liberty of chuſing ſuch Perſons only as were well qualify'd for the Office; and where Biſhops were afterwards nominated by the People, yet ſtill they were obliged to recommend none but thoſe againſt whom no Canonical Objection could be made; nor was ever any one thought a Biſhop becauſe he was choſen by the People, except he were alſo Ordained by the Biſhops.

That Text, *Act. xiv. 23.* where 'tis ſaid truly according to our Tranſlation, that
Pau

Paul and Barnabas Ordained Elders (that is Priests) in every City, is so effectually cleared from the Cavils of the *Author of the Rights*, by Mr. Wotton in his *Vindication of his Sermon*, that there is no occasion for me to speak particularly to that matter. In a Word, whatever Church-Officers were made during our Saviour's Life were certainly Commission'd by him alone. He never consulted the People, or gave any hint that he intended any share of the Government of his Kingdom to them. When he left the World, he left a Commission with the Apostles to be his Representatives, and committed to them the same Powers which he himself had exercised, *as my Father sent me, so, says he, do I send you.* The Apostles never ask'd the People's Advice, whom they should Ordain for Pastors, but created some of the most early Converts in every Country, where they came to be *Bishops and Ministers of those who should hereafter believe.* We have not the least Direction or Hint in Scripture for regulating the Poll, or taking the Votes of the Laity. And where popular Elections did afterwards prevail, it did not proceed from any Opinion of Divine Right belonging to the People, but from the Concession of the Bishops and Clergy, which by degrees grew into a Custom. It was so far from being any Branch of that Liberty, with which Christ endowed his Church, that it was rather a Departure from the Pri-

Primitive Institution. And as where the People did, or do enjoy this Liberty (which yet I am not satisfied that they now do in any part of Christendom) they ought not to be arbitrarily deprived of it: So neither can I see what Cause there is why this pretended Privilege should be demanded by People which never had it, which is the Case of us here in *England*; for I think no single Instance of a Bishop chosen by the People in this Church can be produced.

If any have reason to complain on this Head, the Bishops are the Men: For it is clear they had this Power of old, in whole or in part, with the Clergy of the Dioceses or sometimes without them. And it both Bishops and Clergy are content for the sake of Peace, and out of regard to our Pious Princes of the Reformed Religion, to leave the Nomination of Bishops where it is at present lodged by Statute-Law, I can see no reason that others have to repine at it.

'Tis clear, that whatever Evidences are alledged from Antiquity on this Head, relate to the People's chusing a Bishop superior to a Priest; and therefore nothing to the purpose of them, whose Cause our Patriots pretend to plead, for they are so far from desiring a Vote in the Election of a Bishop, that, so far as in them lies, they have Voted down the very Order. What they ought to prove is, that People have the sole Right to chuse the

Pres-

Presb
and h
find t
from
do in
them
was t
plices
should
of the
out t
as Jo
L. 4.
throu
Churo
The
weigh
not y
Wh
popul
Men
may
lence
ly oc
this F
ple o
reason
Twen
body
Cond
Affair
ters o
they
Unior
mong

Presbyter that is to officiate among them : and here I am perswaded, that they will find themselves at a loss for Authorities both from Scripture and Antiquity ; and they do in effect acknowledge it by betaking themselves to the light of Nature, which was the Case too of *Corah* and his Accomplices ; they thought it unjust that *Aaron* Numb. xvi. 3. should lift himself up above the Congregation of the Lord ; that is, be made a Priest without the common Suffrage of the People, as *Josephus* justly understands that Text, L. 4. c. 2. These were a sort of Men that thoroughly understood the Rights of the Church, and acted upon those Principles. The Divine Appointment and Commission weigh'd nothing with them, for they had not yet chosen their God.

Whatever Opinion some may have of popular Elections, yet all wise and good Men have reason to wish that our Church may never be the Scene of so much Violence and Sedition as this would certainly occasion. Our Dissenters do exercise this Privilege : I don't think that the People of the Church of *England* have any reason to envy them in this particular. 'Twere much to be wished, that some body would give us a History of their Conduct and Methods of proceeding in this Affair, and shew us from variety of matters of Fact, how grave and unanimous they are in their Elections ; how much Union and Peace have been promoted among them by this means ; how much Reputation

putation they have gotten by their calm and discreet Behaviour upon such occasions ; what Moderation one Party of them have expressed toward another, when there has been a strong Competition. I am assured that Materials would not be wanting for such a History, whereby it might be made appear, that it is more for the Interest of Lawyers and Chirurgeons than Popular Elections should prevail, than for the Service of Religion.

The Non-conformists, before the late War did vehemently plead for the pretended Rights of the People in this particular ; this was always one chief Article of their Demands. The Writings of Cartwright, and others of that Stamp are full of this Notion : They make this Principle of the People's chusing their Pastor the price of our Saviour's Blood, so that no Authority can take it away, nor the People themselves part with it. *Cann*, in his Book of the Necessity of Separation, insists mainly on this particular, *Scct.* 2, 3. *Ball* speaks with more temper ; but still lays great stress upon it, so as to make the Election of the People necessary to the full, compleat Call of the Minister. Yet when at the Beginning of the Rebellion they had turn'd out many of the Loyal Clergy, the Presbyterians that were sent to succeed them, never ask'd the Consent of the People, no nor the Independents themselves, tho' they had formerly made loud Out-cries against the Presbyterians on this Account. How the

Pres

Presbyterians endeavoured to defend themselves against the Independents, and how the Independents were proved to be in the same pickle, the Reader may inform himself by reading *Hollingworth's Examination of Sundry Scriptures*, *Eaton and Taylor's Defence of the Position*, *Hollingworth's Rejoinder*, &c. At last the Assembly was forced in effect to renounce this Divine Right, and to assert that *the Election of Ministers does not of Divine Right belong wholly and solely to the People*; and though they would seem to be very cautious and reserv'd by putting in the Terms *wholly and solely*, yet some of the Arguings upon this Head render those two Words of little Importance. See *Jus Divinum Ministerij Evangelic.* P. 1. c. 8. pag. 126, &c. But *Emmanuel Knutton*, in his *7 Questions discussed*, makes clear Work of it; for, says he, "I think a Minister may be sent of God by a Synod of Godly and Learned Divines to a Pastoral Charge, though the People sometimes call him not: As if he be to Preach the Word in a profane Parish that desires not powerful Preaching, but hates it, and would oppose the coming in of such a Minister. The Synod may send him to such a place, and God may bless his Labours to the Conversion of many there.— There are too many ignorant Parishes in this Land, who will hardly ever call Zealous and Godly Minister to them, if our *Blessed Parliament* and *Reverend Synod* have not a Care to send such

such to them, p. 9. 'Tis certain that the *Triers* were in effect the *Patrons* of all *Benefices* in *England*, as *John Goodwin* shews in his *Trier Tried*. *Needham* had no way of answering *Goodwin*, but by telling him, that if the *People* were permitted to chuse their *Pastor*, the goodly and well-affected ones would have the least stroak in the *Choice*: See (*The great Accuser cast down*, p. 40.) and which is most pleasant, if, says he, there be no other *Supplies* made for *Parishes*, but such as the *Parishioners* cordially reverence and affect, the *Man* to be chosen in most *Parishes* would be a *Man* in a *Surplice* with a *Common Prayer-Book*, &c. p. 43. So that in effect we are to understand, that the *Liberties* and *Privileges* of the *People* are things to be insisted upon and demanded of *Kings* and *Bishops*, not of *Protectors* and *Common Wealths*; and that this *Divine Right* of *People* to chuse their own *Pastors*, is a *Principle* necessary for the pulling down of our *Church*, not for the building up one of the *Presbyterian*, or *Independent Model*. *Mr. Calamy* seems sensible of all this; and therefore to give him his due, is sparing, and truly moderate on this Head, as it he were really conscious of the *Mismanagement* and *Over-doing*, and *Contradictions* that their *Predecessors* involved themselves in upon this occasion.

But the *Author* of *the Rights* runs out into great excesses on this Subject, and among very many impudent things, he says one is, that there is no Instance in the *Three*

first Centuries of any one being made a Bishop, except by the Election of the whole Church; that is the People, in his way of speaking. Now I will present him with these following, not doubting, but that others on occasion may be produced.

Upon the Death of James the First Bishop of Jerusalem, as many of the Apostles and Disciples of the Lord (meaning the LXX.) as were then Living, and the Kindred of our Saviour met together, to chuse Symeon the Son of Cleophas, and Kinsman of Christ, into that Bishoprick. Ensch. Hist. L. 3. c. 113

When Narcissus Bishop of Jerusalem retired out the way, the Neighbouring Bishops appointed another in his stead, named Dios. L. 6. c. 92

One of those Bishops, who had Ordained Novatus at the intercession of the People, was admitted to Lay-Communion; but the Synod Ordained Two Bishops, and sent them to Succeed in the room of the other Two. L. 6. c. 42; Circ. med.

In the Epistle of the Bishops met in Synod at Antioch, A. D. 270. we have these Words, *We ejected the sworn Enemy of God, i. e. Paulus Samosatenus, and placed Domnus in his stead.*

And tho' I do not question but many more were chosen with the expresse approbation of the People, and many beside these here mentioned, without either the concurrence, or even the presence of the People, yet I believe it will be hard for our Adversaries to give us Five particular Instances of Bishops, said by any good Authors to have been chosen by the People during

during the first 300 Years: For it is by a great chance that any Writers of that Age give us the Circumstances of a Bishop's being Elected.

About the
Near 250.

But when the same Writer asserts, that it is in the Peoples power, to deprive Bishops as well as to Elect them, he exceeds all bounds. That the People are justified by *Cyprian*, and his Synod, for making a Defection from *Basiliides* and *Martialis*, is true; and the reason of it is good, and will hold, viz. because they had been notoriously guilty of Heathen Idolatry: by this they Deposed themselves, and the Bishops who Ordained *Sabinus* and *Felix* in their stead, did by Ordaining Successors pass Judgment upon their Predecessors: But there were several Bishops in *Spain*, that yet Communicated with *Basiliides* and *Martialis*, as the Synodical Letter implies, and probably they did it on supposition, that if they were guilty, they ought to have been formally Deposed by a Synod of Bishop; but manifest Idolatry was a Crime of that Nature, that St. *Cyprian*, and the *African* Bishops, justly thought, that there was no occasion for a solemn Process and Sentence against the Offenders. If it had been only a Suspicion of Idolatry or Heresy, they could not have been Deposed without a Synod: therefore when *Beryllus* Bishop of *Bostra* was accused of Heresy, A. D. 243. a Council was called to give Judgment in that Matter. *Euseb.* L. 6. c. 33. If indeed the People did give any

any Ecclesiastical Power, there might be a plausible pretence for taking it away; but it has been shew'd, that they cannot give it, nor consequently take it: tho' neither do's it follow, that because they could give, they could therefore take it away. The Priest can Baptize, but he can't Un-baptize; and in truth, tho' Bishops Ordain, they cannot Un-ordain; they can only by their Censures so far Depose, as to oblige the Delinquent from the Exercise of his Function. And yet I dare Answer for it, that when our Adversaries give an Instance of any one owned to be a Bishop meerly on account of his being Chosen by the People, without Episcopal Ordination, it shall be granted, that the People can Depose their Pastors. That they may in Fact relinquish the Communion of the Bishop, is not doubted; but that they can meerly of their own Heads withdraw their Obedience from him, according to the Laws of Christianity, without some flagrant and confessed Crime, is denied.

But both our *Erafsians* and *Presbyterians*, when baffled by Scripture and Antiquity, betake themselves to Suppositions. In case, say they, a Ship's Company of Christians be cast on an unknown Shore, without any Pastor regularly Ordained, and without any possibility of returning to their own Country, or to any other place where they may be supplied with one, or more, of the Holy Order; they will not permit us to doubt, but that, at least in this Case, the
People

People have power to chuse one to Officiate among them. But

1. The supposition is absurd, or however improbable to the highest Degree. God do's not provide for such contingencies, as can scarce be allow'd possible.

2. I don't deny but they might chuse one to read or rehearse good Prayers, and to give wholsom Advice to the rest; but I cannot see, that they could give him a Commission to Seal the Covenant on God's part, or to Act as his Ambassador. If God should by his Providence lay Men under such a necessity, he might, and would, dispense with the want of Sacraments.

3. When we are in the supposing vein, we may as well suppose too, that they should want a Bible as well as a Priest: and what, must the People make a new Bible too?

4. Necessity justifies what nothing else can: of this take another Instance, Suppose these Sailors to be Married Men, but to have left their Wives at Home behind them; and being without any possibility of returning to them, they take new Wives in the Country, where they are cast. I persuade my self, the strictest Casuist would allow of this: but will any Man from hence argue, that without any such necessity, a Man may at discretion discard a former Wife, and take a new one: the Application is easy.

Our Adversaries, when their hand is in, don't stick to assert that the People have a Right to Vote in Synods, and they imagine they can prove it from *Act. xv.* but

but on the other side let it be observ'd, that *Paul and Barnabas* were sent only to the *Apostles and Elders*, ver. 2. and they only came together to consider of this matter; the *multitudes* indeed were present, as they were in after-times, to give their Acclamations, but that they had any share in the Consulting or Decreeing Part, do's not appear. The best Copies omit the *κ* between *Πρεσβύτεροι* and *Ἀσέλγοι*, ver. 23. and then the *English Words* should run thus, *The Apostles and Elder-Brethren*, or the *Priests [their] Brethren send greeting*, &c. And it would be strange indeed if the People of *Jerusalem* were to make Decrees for the People of *Antioch*: this cannot be allowed upon the Principles of the *Erastians*, or of our Dissenters. But all this will not content the *Erastians*, they will have it, that the People have by the Law of Christ an Authority over their Pastors: their best Proof of this is from those Words of *St. Paul*, *Col. iv. 17.* where he orders the *Colossians* to say to *Archippus*, *Take heed of the Ministry*, &c. as if when a Master says to his Servant, *Bid my Son obey my Commands*, he must be supposed to give his Servant an Authority over his Children.

I shall in the last place observe, that *binding and loosing*, or *Excommunicating* and *Absolving Penitents*, is another Branch of Church Power, committed by Christ *Jesus* to the *Apostles* only; this has been already proved. 'Tis I think readily owned by all wise and good Members of our

d

Church

Church, that the exercise of it, by any that do not Act by the direction of a Bishop, is a remain of Popery. That Priests were sometimes allow'd to do it in the Ancient Church, is clear; but, I suppose, never without the expresse Commission of the Bishop. I don't think fit to take notice of those impious Sarcasms used by the Author of *the Rights* on this Subject. I shall only observe, that he has here vented his venom very plentifully, not only on the Abuses of this Sacred Censure, but on the very Institution. To be shut out from God's Church has always been thought to be a sore Punishment by those that have believ'd a God, and that he has *one Holy Catholick Church* here on Earth. *To be driven out from the presence of the Lord, was to Cain a Punishment too heavy to be born:* but alas! Sinners were then in a State of Childhood, to be terrified with *Spiritual Scare-crows*: Those of our Age are a more harden'd Iron-race, who cannot think there is any Privilege in being Members of the true Church; who cannot believe the terrors of God himself, before they feel them.

But if there must be Church Censures, our Adversaries are agreed in this, that they are to be inflicted by the People: But I trust 'tis clear, that the People never had any Commission from Christ, to exercise either this or any other Church-Power. The only clear Instance of an Excommunication in Scripture, was that
 passed

passed on the incestuous *Corinthian*, and that was both Decreed and Relaxed by St. Paul; Decreed by St. Paul only in the Name of our Lord Jesus Christ; Relaxed by St. Paul too, but at the request of the *Corinthians*. By whom the Sentence was pronounced is not so clear, only St. Paul says 'twas done ὑπὸ τῶν πλειόνων, by many, and therefore not by all; or by the Body of the People, but most probably by the *Prophets*, Persons of the Second Order; of which there was a great Number in this Church, as appears from the First *Epistle*, xiv. *Chapter*; the Word may be rendred by the great ones: So the Word πλείων signifies, *Matt.* xii. 41, 42. But take it in either Sense, and the *Prophets* are hereby most probably denoted, who, as Presbyters of the Second Order, executed the Commission of the Apostle.

κέκρινε,
1 Cor. v. 3, 4.
2 Cor. ii. 10.
κεχαρίσ-
μαί.
2 Cor. ii. 6.

In the following Codes you will meet with this, and all other Instances of Spiritual Authority exercised by Bishops principally, tho' all of 'em upon occasion delegated to Priests, except that of Ordination only. And with all judicious Readers the Authority of these Codes will easily weigh down all the Arguments and Insinuations of our Innovators.

But some think they have effectually silenc'd all pretence to Church-Power, by saying, that 'tis *Imperium in Imperio*, and that Two Independent Powers are inconsistent in the same Nation: But why should they be more inconsistent here in

England than they were formerly in *Judea*, where they for many Ages thrived very well together? *David* and *Salomon*, and their Successors, never found reason to complain of the High-Priest's Authority in Religious Matters: And this was the Pattern which our Church proposed to itself at the Reformation, according to the 37th Article of Religion. If Two Independent Powers are by express or tacit Compact in League together, and each Power knows and observes its Bounds, I can see no inconsistency or inconvenience in admitting both of them to be exercised; but especially there can be no danger, when they that exercise the Sacred Power confess themselves as much subject to the Civil as any other Persons whatsoever; and while the Temporal Magistrate has the sole Power of the Sword, and can as he pleases restrain the Religious Officer, and in effect force the Church to accept such Terms as he thinks fit to give. The Patriarch of *Constantinople* did for above a Thousand Years together exercise a much greater Authority in *Sacris* under Christian Emperors, than is now claim'd by our *English* Prelates, without any notable damage to the State. The *French* Monarchy and the present King of *France* have ever been jealous of a Rival Power, and yet do not pretend to limit Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction any otherwise than by the Canons of the First 4 General Councils: So that the *French* Prelates, tho' they have Sworn Obe-

Obedience to a Foreign Head, yet are permitted to exercise a much greater Authority, than our Bishops either have, or seem to desire: nay, I believe it would appear upon an Examination of particulars, that the *Greek* Bishops under the *Turkish* Tyranny, have really a more unlimited Jurisdiction over their Clergy and People, than our Bishops have here in *England*. It is very evident that the most refined Politicians are not afraid of Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction, when in the Hands of Presbyters, as appears by the late large Concessions to those of the North: whereby they have really more Power in the Church of *Scotland*, than all our Bishops and Convocations have here in this of *England*: So that in truth all the Outcries of the *Erafsians* against Church-Power, in opposition to our Church of *England*, seem to be only like the Sarcasms of the *Philistines* upon a *Sampson* in chains, and who, if he had been at Liberty, was terrible to none but such as themselves.

And after all, when they charge the Clergy with asserting Church-Power, as now exercised, to be wholly Independent, they do them apparent wrong. The Clergy know better than to deny that the Probate of Wills, and granting Administrations, and some other branches of Authority exercised in the Spiritual Courts, are wholly owing to the Concessions of our Kings, and the State; they are very sensible, that their legal Title to their Main-

tenance, and Subsistence, and whatever Privileges they enjoy by the Laws of the Land, are deriv'd from the Beneficence of our Princes and Civil Power; and cannot but know, as well as their Adversaries, that as to these particulars, they have them only at the will and discretion of the Queen and Parliament: But as to the Power of Administring Sacraments, Ordination, Excommunication, they believe these to be receiv'd from *Jesus Christ* alone, and that all the Empires and States in the Universe combined together, can neither give nor take away this Authority; tho' that they are in any measure countenanced or assisted in the Exercise of these Powers, they gratefully acknowledge to be a favour received from the Temporal Legislature.

There is certainly the least reason in the World to suppose, that Ecclesiastical Authority can ever be prejudicial to the State here in *Britain*, as it has in former Times, when our Bishops and Clergy profess'd Subjection to a Foreign Head, and claim'd and were allowed an Exemption from the Temporal Magistrate. And they that would alarm People with a Talk of the formidableness of Church-Power, cannot sure but laugh in their Sleeves, to find themselves believ'd: nor is it easy to guess what they intend by all this noise, except it be the utter Subversion of the Church: But it would have been more frank and generous in the Wolf, to tell

tell the Lamb that he would have her Bones right or wrong, than to bring a false and self-confuted Inditement against her for troubling the Water, and charging her with things done before she was born.

And here Mr. *Calamy* comes in for a share in what I am now saying; for he charges it as a Defect in our Constitution, that the Queen and Parliament are excluded from our Convocation: as if 'twere not enough for the Queen in Person to have a Negative, and in Parliament to have a revoking or rescinding Power, which is what our Prince and Parliament have exercised, and what the Bishops and Clergy have submitted to.

III. My Third End in publishing these Codes was to justify the Church, and to shew, that our Dissenters of all sorts are Men by themselves. The Particulars which I had in my View, in which I thought our Church might be Vindicated, are

1. The retaining the three distinct Orders of Bishops, Priests and Deacons, and them only.

2. The Pre-eminence of Metropolitans over the Bishops of every Province.

3. The Stress the Church lays on the Succession of Bishops.

4. The Enjoining Circumstances of Worship, and other Ceremonies.

1. By these Codes our Church is Vindicated in retaining the three Holy Orders, and them only. If indeed we had retained any, or all of the Inferior Orders

therein mention'd, I cannot but think, that these Canons had justified us in this too, upon supposition, that we had declared, that they were not so essential as the other, if we had barely allow'd them, and not made them necessary or integral Parts of our Constitution; but there is this great difference between the 3 Holy Orders and the other, that the former are every where mention'd as those Degrees of Men whose Ministrations were known and distinguish'd, and without which no Church was look'd upon as compleat; but to shew, that the Inferior Orders were never thought to be necessary in the same degree, let it be considered

1. That different Churches, or the same Church in different Ages has had more, or fewer of the Inferior Orders. *Tertullian* only mentions *Readers* in the *African Church* in his time; *Cyprian*, *Sub-deacons*, *Exorcists*, *Acolyths*. *Cornelius* Bishop of *Rome* mentions all the former in his Church, and adds *Ostiaries*. The Apostolical Canons mention only *Sub-deacons*, *Readers*, and *Singers*: The Constitutions add *Ostiaries*. The *Antiochian* Canons reckon *Readers*, *Sub-deacons*, and *Exorcists*: The *Laodicean* Canons, *Sub-deacons*, *Readers*, *Singers*, *Exorcists*, and *Ostiaries*; and they are only said to be of the Ecclesiastical Order, the other three of the *Priestly*, *ιερασμοι*. I shall only add that *Balsamon*, on the 51 Canon of *Basil*, names *Sub-deacons*, *Readers*, *Singers*, and *Θεωποι*, those that look'd after the

the Holy Vessels and Utensils; whereas Bishops, Priests and Deacons were in every Church without Variation.

2. In reckoning up these inferior Orders there is no steady *Series* to be observed; but sometimes the *Reader* is placed before the Sub-deacon, sometimes after, and so of the rest: whereas the three Holy Orders always keep the same place, viz. Bishops, Priests, and Deacons.

3. For ought appears, any one of the Inferior Orders might perform the Ministrations of the rest, which cannot be said of Bishops, Priests, and Deacons.

4. The Inferior Orders might, and still may be conferr'd by Priests in the Church of *Rome*; but those of the first could never be conferr'd by any but Bishops.

5. In the time of *St. Ignatius* there were none of the 3 Inferior Orders in being, whereas Bishops, Priests, and Deacons occur almost in every Page of his Epistles; therefore these Inferior Orders could not be of Apostolical Institution, as the others certainly were.

6. They were called *Orders* only in a more loose, improper Sense. Some *Romanists* say, that they are rather *Preparations*, than *Orders* properly so called. They were Censured as Lay-men, *Can. Ap. 36.* for the Bishop, Priest, and Deacon 43. were Deposed for being Gamesters, but those of the Inferior Orders, and Lay-men, Suspended from Communion: So that in a Word, none of them have been in all
d 5 Churches

Churches and Ages ; not any of them were ever thought necessary : Nor is there any Ecclesiastical Ministration, but what may be perform'd without them, and they were clearly of meer humane Institution : and by Consequence which of them shall be retained, and whether any of them, is a thing in itself indifferent.

But from all this it appears, that our Dissenters act without any Precedent in forming their Churches ; and indeed, properly speaking, they have no such thing as Holy Orders amongst them, not only because they have no Bishops, who have the Sole Power of Ordination, or without whom at least no Ordination is good ; but because *Order*, Gr. *τάξις* or *βαθμὸς*, is a relative Word, and supposes a Prior, or Posterior : whereas our Dissenters pretend only to one sort of Officers, that is Elders, or Presbyters, which cannot be called an *Order*, but upon Supposition, that there is some other Spiritual Office either above or below it : But as our Presbyterians have laid aside Bishops, so far as 'tis in their Power, so they have Deacons too ; for, tho' they, at least in some Churches, have those whom they call by that Name, yet they are only Temporary Officers with them, not created by Imposition of hands, nor have they any Spiritual Ministration assigned to them. I speak not of the Antipædo-Baptists ; for I am not satisfied that they have any Uniform Church Government amongst them.

2. From

2. From these Codes the Pre-eminence of Metropolitans over the other Bishops of the Province is evidently vindicated; for I suppose there is not any Sett of Canons contained in the following Codes, but gives us a clear Proof that there were such Chief Officers in every Province where those Canons took place; and consequently, that they were no where wanting, except perhaps in *Scythia*, or some remote barbarous Countries, where there was not above one or two Bishops. When St. *Ignatius* calls himself Bishop of *Syria*, in his Epistle to the *Romans*, c. 2. it is most rational to suppose, that he means he was Primate of that Province; for it is scarce credible, that there should be no other Bishop in all that Country, which was so large and so near to *Palestine*, where Christ and his Apostles first appeared. It is certain that *Antioch* was in the Ages soon after the greatest Metropolis in Christendom, next to *Rome* and *Alexandria*: and if, as our Dissenters imagine, Dioceses at first were much less than afterwards, then it is more probable still, that there were then more Bishops in *Syria*; but that *Ignatius* being the Primate, styles himself on that Account *Bishop of Syria*.

As the Apostles, during their Lives, were Primates or Metropolitans over all those Churches where Bishops were settled; so this might give occasion to Bishops by an Universal Consent to pay an extraordinary Deference to some one Bishop in each Province;

vince; and it is not improbable, that, in some places at least, the Apostles might settle some Bishops to super-intend the rest, and especially in the remoter Provinces, which they had no hopes of ever visiting again in person. And who more fit for this purpose than the Bishop of the Civil Metropolis, to which Bishops, as well as other Persons had frequently occasion of resorting; and when they were there, 'twas natural for them to consult the Bishop of that place in all difficult Cases, and to lay before him those Causes which they could not so effectually determine at home. The Bishop of the Metropolis by his large Acquaintance and great Interest, if for no other Cause, we may presume, would of Course be invited to make one at the Elections and Consecration of Bishops, and every thing of Moment that passed in the Province; and it could scarce be imagined, but that this by degrees would draw along with it some further Privileges: So that if the Apostles had done nothing themselves toward the settling Metropolitans, yet in all probability the Church of itself would have fallen into this Method of having one Bishop in a Province superior to the rest. But in *Africa* this Privilege was reserved, not for the Bishop of the Metropolis, but to him that was of the longest standing, how inconsiderable soever his City was, only the Bishop of *Carthage* was the standing Primate of that Province, and had a sort of Patriarchal Power

Power over the other Primates.

The Power of Metropolitans was not the same every where, and in all Ages: 'Tis clear that the Metropolitans of *Alexandria* had a Negative upon their Bishops in Synod; for when the *Egyptian* Bishops were called upon to Subscribe Pope *Leo's* Letter in the Council of *Chalcedon*, they excused themselves by saying, that they could not Subscribe till they had an *Arch-Bishop* Elected in the room of *Dioscorus* lately Deposed, without whose Consent they could do nothing of this sort, according to the Customs of *Egypt*, confirmed by the Synod of *Nice*; and this Plea was allowed by the whole Synod. 'Tis the prevailing Opinion that at the time of the Synod of *Nice*, Patriarchates were not set up, and consequently that the Bishop of *Alexandria* at that time was but a bare Metropolitan, and yet that even then he had a Negative on the Bishops of *Egypt*; and if they could not without his Consent Subscribe to what was enjoined by a General Synod, much less could they without his Consent Subscribe to any thing in a Patriarchal, or *Diocesan* Synod; and the *Chalcedonian* Fathers by allowing this Plea, do by Consequence acknowledge, that they did not think it incredible or unreasonable, that a Metropolitan should have this Privilege; but I would have no one from hence infer, that all Metropolitans had the same.

See *Act. 4.*
Concil. Chalc.

Yet it seems clear enough, that Metropolitans had a Negative in Elections of Bishops;

Bishops; for the 5th Canon of the Council of Nice Decrees, that *he who is made Bishop, without the Consent and Judgment of the Metropolitan, shall be no Bishop*; and the 5th declares, that the $\chi\rho\theta$ or Ratification of all that is done at an Election belongs to the Metropolitan. This $\chi\rho\theta$ has always been thought a Privilege; but if it were only Laying hands on the Person chose by a Majority, without his own Consent, then the Metropolitan was really but a Minister to the rest in this Case: And at this rate the least Bishop had more Power than a Metropolitan; for the first might finally dissent, the other must implicitly Ordain one nominated by the others. 'Tis true the 5th Canon says, *let the Majority of Voices prevail*; but 'tis on express Condition, that *all things be fairly and canonically carried*: And who was Judge of this at the first Instance, but the Metropolitan? And he is expressly made so by those Words, *Let no Bishop be made without his Consent*. Balsamon on *Can. Afr. 13.* shews that Metropolitans were of old Judges in the Election of Bishops; that not only the Consecration, but Confirmation belong'd to him. The Use I would make of this is, that none ought to envy those remains of Metropolitical Power, that are still lodged in the Arch-Bishops of our two Provinces, but rather to own the Modesty of our Metropolitans in cheerfully submitting to some Statutes, which do in this particular as well as others retrench their Power, rather

ther than bring themselves and People again under the *Romish* Yoke. And I think that both Clergy and People ought to look upon it as a Blessing that our Church is under the Direction of the same Officers and Governors which the Church enjoy'd, during the purest times, that we have a perfect History of her Government.

Patriarchs indeed did, in the latter end of the 4th, and in the 5th Century top upon the Metropolitans, and reduced many great Provinces with their Bishops under the Direction of *one*. But the Patriarchal Power was never admitted in all Countries as the Metropolitcal was. We here in *Britain*, and the *Cypriots* were never subject to any Patriarch as such; nor is there any Reason why we should hereafter be so.

The Pope of *Rome* made early Attempts on the Liberties of the Christian Church; but he could never carry his Point in the Eastern Parts of Christendom. He was indeed but too successful in his Usurpations here in *England*; but what Power he claim'd was as pretended *Vicar of Christ*, not as *Patriarch of the West*; for he could never satisfy himself with that Title: And if he claim us as part of his Patriarchate, the Pretence is new; but we suppose our selves by the Council of *Ephesus*, to be as much exempt from the Patriarch of *Rome*, as the Metropolitan of *Cyprus* was from the Patriarch of *Antioch*. In the mean time we have as great Reason as ever our Ancestors had

had in the Reign of King *Edward VI.* to pray, *From the Tyranny of the Bishop of Rome, and all his detestable Enormities; Good Lord deliver us.*

By what has been said, it appears, that the Primates or Metropolitans were the Bishops of chief Authority Universally throughout the Christian World in the Ancient Church, and consequently, that all Business was finally to be determined by them; yet not Personally, if it were of extraordinary moment, but in Synod. When the Emperors became Christian, they sometimes heard Appeals from Provincial Synods, or, which was more agreeable to the Oeconomy of the Church, ordered a greater Synod to be called, to hear and decide the Controversy. In a Word, without Metropolitans our Constitution had been less perfect, and not according to the Primitive Platform; and by putting our selves under any Patriarch we should make an alteration altogether unnecessary, and less agreeable to true Primitive Antiquity.

3. Our Church is hereby vindicated in laying a stress upon Succession: For by perusing these Canons, you'll see, what a regard the Ancient Church had to the preservation of it. Scarce any Synod met, but that in their Acts, their Method of proceeding, or their Canons, they act as Men that took the greatest care that none should be admitted Bishops, or to the Holy Orders, but by Bishops only; and that if any invaded the Holy Offices by
any

any clancular or indirect means, they should be Deposed. A great part of these Canons were made for regulating Ordinations, especially those of Bishops, by providing that none should be Ordained, except in extraordinary Cases, by less than Three Bishops of the same Province, with the express Consent of the Majority of the rest; that strange Bishops should not be admitted to join with those of the Province on such occasions, but those only who were Neighbours, and well known, and the validity of whose Orders was not disputed. And they who have look'd into Church-History further, know how jealous both Clergy and People were of the regularity of their Bishop's Ordination; and how even Schismatics and Hereticks were ready upon occasion to raise Objections against those who came into their Seats without the usual and establish'd Forms: and that there were frequent Provincial Synods, whose chief Business it was to enquire into any Omissions of this Sort: so that one may safely pronounce it morally impossible for any one to possess himself and continue for any tract of time in a Bishoprick, but he who came in by the Canonical Method.

But lest by any extraordinary Casualty some one Bishop should surreptitiously intrude himself into the Office, the Church has taken care, that his Irregularity should not descend to those at whose Ordination he concurr'd, by requiring, that no Bishop

shop should be Ordained, except in case of necessity, but by Three, or Two at the least; that so, if it should chance that One of them was not Canonically Ordained, yet still there might be Two, or at least One, against whom there could lie no exceptions: And if but One of the Ordainers were really a Bishop, I see no reason to doubt, but that the Ordained was so too. If indeed there be any difficulty in the Case, our Saviour seems to require Two at least, *Mat. xviii. 19.* But if there be no Competition, or if One of the Competitors be both more deserving and more acceptable than the rest, there is no necessity, except what proceeds from Canon Law, for above One: For I suppose 'tis evident, that one Apostle might, and did, Ordain a Bishop; as for Instance, *St. John* Ordained *Euodius* and *Ignatius*; *St. Paul*, *Clement*; and by parity of reason, One of their Successors might do the same. There are indeed but few Instances in History of such Ordinations; but the Consecration of *Euagrius* by *Paulinus* only, was allowed to be valid, tho' he, for peace sake, were Deposed from his Bishoprick: and so were those performed by *Austin* Arch-bishop of *Canterbury*, and afterwards by *Ishamar* of *Rocheſter*: and that more Ordainers were ord'narily required, 'tis to be imputed to the care of the Church in this particular. Two or Three Ordainers were required, not to make the Ordinance valid, but Canonical.

Innocentij
Pap. Ep. 14.
ad Bonifac.

Christ

Christ *Jesus* has taken more abundant care to ascertain the Succession of Pastors in his Church, than ever was taken in relation to the *Aaronical* Priesthood. This last descended by Inheritance, or Tradition, from Father to Son; and the Right that any Priest or *Levite* had to his Office, depended on the honesty of a Woman, and upon an Action performed in the dark; and the validity of their Ministrations upon the Legitimacy of their Birth: and how could the Sons of *Aaron* certainly know that they were his Posterity, or how could they be able to demonstrate it to others? certainly upon no Principles but what are more dubious than those upon which we believe our Bishops to be the Successors of the Apostles, in an uninterrupted Line: For in this case, the Succession is transmitted from Seniors to Juniors, by the most publick and solemn Action, or rather process of Actions, that is ever perform'd in a Christian Church; an Action done in the face of the Sun, and Attested by great Numbers of the most authentick Witnesses, as Consecrations always were. And I suppose it cannot bear any dispute, but that it is now more easily to be prov'd, that the Arch-bishop of *Canterbury* was Canonically Ordained, than that any one Person now living is the Son of him who is called his Father; and that the same might have been said of any Arch-bishop or Bishop that ever sat in that or any other Episcopal See, during the time

time of his being Bishop : nor is it easy to say, by what Method Providence could have made the Succession of Pastors more clear or indubitable than by this which has actually been used. Yet hideous outcries are made by the Modern Adversaries of the Church, concerning the Uncertainty of the Succession. And no wonder if the professed Enemies of the Priesthood take up any cry against Religion and its Ministers : But that they who assert a Gospel-Ministry should join in with them, is matter of surprise, especially because they don't inform us of any other Method, whereby the Pastors may prove their Commission, or shew by what Authority they Act in the Name of Christ Jesus. Nay, the great Champion of the Dissenting Cause, Mr. Calamy, do's, after all his Declamations against the Church on this score, retolve the Call of the first Reformers, and of himself and his Brethren into this very Principle. As for Luther, Zuinglius, Bucer, Oecolampadius, and many others, says he, repeating the Words of Whitaker, *they were Authorized teachers in the Church of Rome ; and that they might therefore warrantably set others over the Churches. I make the same Reply,* adds he, *to those who enquire, how we came by our Call to the Ministry ? Many of those, whom they in 1662. thought fit to cast out of the Publick Churches, were Ordain'd in the Church of England by Bishops ; and tho' others were not so, yet their Ordainers were : Nay, further, he declares in these Words, That they*

Def. Part 7.
p. 71.

who set apart others for the Ministry by a *Solemn Investiture, be duly Authorized, is a thing that we lay a stress upon.* Now one would think that after all this, he and his Brethren, were agreed with the Church in this point, *viz.* that we ought to have a great care to preserve the Succession inviolable: and why should he, or any one else think, that the Ancients were not as solicitous as they, or we, as to this particular.

'Tis strange after all this, to observe what Clamours Mr. *Calamy* makes against the Church, for allowing the Ordination received from *Popish* Bishops, and disallowing that which is received from *Protestant* Presbyters, whether at Home or Abroad. He do's not think fit to argue; but allows himself all the Liberty of a popular Orator, in aggravating and laying the worst colours on this practice of the Church; and in this too he is followed, or rather out-done by *the Rights*. But that we may distinctly, and without prejudice, consider this matter, the Church in this Case is to be blamed, either for allowing Ordination by a *Popish* Bishop, or disallowing Ordination by *Protestant* Presbyters; or if the Church be not blameable either upon one Account or the other, it must be own'd to be meer Cavil.

Now sure there can be no fault in allowing Ordination receiv'd from *Popish* Bishops; our Dissenters, if we may judge by Mr *Calamy*, do the same. They allow the

Ibid. p. 110.

Def. Part 2.
p. 63, 64.
& 236.

the plea of *Cartwright*, that *Luther*, *Zuinglius*, &c. were Ordained in the Church of Rome, and might therefore Ordain others, as it is just before cited. For sure 'tis no greater fault to derive our Succession from Bishops Consecrated in the Church of Rome, than for others to derive theirs from Priests Ordain'd by such Bishops: Why then so many bitter Invectives against the Church of *England*, for doing that which other Protestant Churches have done, if they don't now do it as well as we. If we allow'd their Ordination to be good, as all Protestants did in the Reign of *Queen Elizabeth*; pray, why is it not so in the Reign of *Queen Anne*? Mr. *Calamy* in the place afore-cited, derives the Succession of the Dissenting Ministers from our Bishops. Many of those cast out 1662, were Ordained in the Church of *England* by Bishops; and tho' others were not so, yet their Ordainers were: And by deriving their Succession from that of the *English* Bishops, they derive it from *Rome* too. And if they do lay a stress upon Succession, as they pretend, let them shew how 'tis possible for us, or for any other Church on this side of the World, to carry our Succession up above the Reformation, without taking the Church of *Rome* in our way: let them shew how we can reject or annul Orders given by a *Romish* Bishop, without rejecting and annulling our own Ordination, and consequently theirs too.

It remains therefore, that if the Church is blameable, 'tis for not allowing Ordination perform'd by Protestant Presbyters; and if it could be made appear, that any Presbyters of the second Order, inferior to Bishops, were ever allowed to have the Power of Ordination by any Act of the Church, by any clear and well-recorded matter of fact; or that any but those of the first Order in the Apostolical Ages, or since, till the Age of *Luther*, were ever allowed a Power to conferr Holy Orders, it would deserve our Consideration: But it is certain they have not one Precedent of this sort from Antiquity, that will bear being related in plain *English*; and as to what they alledge from Scripture, 'tis all built upon wrong Surmises and Suppositions. But I don't here pretend to Dictate to my Spiritual Guides: If the Convocation can be convinced, that they, as a Provincial Synod, have Power of Dispensing with a Law of the Universal Church for once, without pretending to annul it (for that is not in their Power) if they have any probable grounds, that they shall thereby put an end to an old Schism, without giving Rise to a new one, I shall by all means desire to see it done, for Peace is a most desirable thing: But Mr. *Calamy* ought not to think that the Bishops and Clergy of the Church are to be rallied, or harangued into a Compliance, or to be frightened with his false Fire. 'Tis not to be wonder'd, that he is in a great heat upon

upon this occasion more than once; for if they could carry this one Point, they would in effect carry their Cause.

But we are told with great vehemence, that by this Judgment and Practice we Unchurch all foreign Protestants. Now suppose this, I think it would be an uncommon Act of Generosity in our Bishops and Clergy to renounce their Rights and Privileges, because the Enjoyment of them was inconvenient to others. Sure 'tis possible for us to shew our Charity toward them in a better manner, than by countenancing them in an Error; and the best Charity would be to communicate this Privilege to them, which certainly our Bishops are ready to do, whenever they are desired. In the mean time we are willing to make all reasonable Allowances for those Prejudices, or Mistakes, or Difficulties, which may hinder them from embracing such an Offer; for sure 'tis possible to be moderate without betraying our Constitution.

4. By these Codes our Church is vindicated in enjoining Circumstances of Worship, and other Ceremonies; for by perusing them you will see that the Ancient Church very frequently did the same. A great deal is said by Mr. Calamy upon this Head, meerly *ad faciendum populum*, to catch the Vulgar. *Are our Lord's Institutions defective, that we must add to them? are we wiser than he?* And yet he owns that Christ has left the Circumstances of Worship

P R E F A C E.

xcvii

Worship and Sacraments undetermined. The very same Questions might have been put to the Apostles, if there had been then any Dissenters of Mr. *Calamy's* Spirit, when they set apart the *Lord's-Day* for Divine Worship; when St. *Paul* orders the Men to wear their Hair short, the Women long; and that they should be veiled, when they prayed or prophesied: And the very same Apology which would serve the Apostles, will likewise justify our Bishops, till Mr. *Calamy* can prove, that the Apostles did this by particular Revelation; and shew, if they did act by Revelation, why those Injunctions are not as necessary to be observed now, as they were then. Will Mr. *Calamy* tell us, that the Kiss of Charity was required to be used among Primitive Christians by Revelation? But were it so or were it otherwise, let us only suppose it were enjoined in our Church: I persuade my self that it would meet with as much opposition even as the Surplice, or Cross in Baptism. And yet, if it were in the Apostles time enjoined by Revelation, I should think that for that reason it should still be used; and if it were not enjoined by Revelation, why may it not now be commanded as well as it was then? But the great Grievance is that Ceremonies are so strictly enjoined; and that Men cannot Communicate with the Church, unless they can submit to them. Let Mr. *Calamy* prove that any one could Communicate in the Apostolical Church,

Introduct.
p. 6. 7.

that refused the Kiss of Charity, or to join in the Love-Feasts, or any other External Usage of the Church. Let them shew, that in the next Age after the Apostles any one could be Baptized without the Trine Immersion, or confirm'd without the Holy Unction.

Def. Part 1.
p. 139. &c.

But Mr. *Calamy* calls our Church to an Account for the diversity of her Ceremonies from those of the Ancient Church. He asks *what is become of the Milk and Honey in Baptism, of the Water mixt with Wine in the Eucharist?* and very large he is on this common place, as if either the Primitive Church or ours ever thought Ceremonies unalterable; or that they ought to be the same in all Churches and Ages. What we of the Church have always asserted is, that every Bishop in his Diocese has Power in these things, except where he is restrained by the Canons of a Provincial, or Greater Council; or since the Church and State are united, by any Civil Law or Statute; and we are so far from thinking any meer Ceremony necessary in all Times and Ages; that on the other side Mr. *Calamy* can't be ignorant of the Declaration of our Church, that they are all alterable, and that every Country may use such Ceremonies as they think best. The Publisher of these Codes is in himself as little fond of Ceremonies, as any Man that is true to the Constitution; but he cannot be indifferent as to the Authority, by which they are enjoined; and he

thinks

thinks himself as much obliged to conform to Kneeling at the Sacrament, and to have his Children crossed at Baptism, as he should have been, if he had lived in the Apostles Days, to give, and take the Kiss of Peace, to wear short Hair; or to abstain from Bloud; and if I did not think I was bound in Conscience, yet I should think my self bound in Prudence, and for Peace sake to submit to them, except I was able to prove them unlawful.

The only ill Consequence Mr. *Calamy* can name of conforming to the Ceremonies is, that it *would cherish an Imposing Spirit*, in the Governors of our Church. Introduct.
p. 52 'Tis worth the Reader's while to know what he means by an *Imposing Spirit*: Our Bishops at the Reformation laid aside some hundreds of Ceremonies, and only retained those 4 or 5 concerning which the Dispute now is. The old *Puritans* refused Conformity to these too, and demanded an Abolition of them; and they and their Descendents, of whom Mr. *Calamy* is not the least, continue to call this remainder of 4 or 5, by the name of *Impositions*; while they themselves have all along in their own Intentions been the real *Imposers*, they would put upon us the Discipline and Government of the Church of *Geneva*, or *Scotland*, instead of the Ancient Catholick Government already receiv'd, they would, and have, even *vi & armis*, Deposed our Bishops, and even Episcopacy itself, so far as 'twas in their Power, condemned our Liturgy, subverted our Constitution, and all under pretence of a great

that refused the Kiss of Charity, or to join in the Love-Feasts, or any other External Usage of the Church. Let them shew, that in the next Age after the Apostles any one could be Baptized without the Trine Immersion, or confirm'd without the Holy Unction.

Def. Part 1.
p. 139. &c.

But Mr. Calamy calls our Church to an Account for the diversity of her Ceremonies from those of the Ancient Church. He asks *what is become of the Milk and Honey in Baptism, of the Water mixt with Wine in the Eucharist?* and very large he is on this common place, as if either the Primitive Church or ours ever thought Ceremonies unalterable; or that they ought to be the same in all Churches and Ages. What we of the Church have always asserted is, that every Bishop in his Diocese has Power in these things, except where he is restrained by the Canons of a Provincial, or Greater Council; or since the Church and State are united, by any Civil Law or Statute; and we are so far from thinking any meer Ceremony necessary in all Times and Ages; that on the other side Mr. Calamy can't be ignorant of the Declaration of our Church, that they are all alterable, and that every Country may use such Ceremonies as they think best. The Publisher of these Codes is in himself as little fond of Ceremonies, as any Man that is true to the Constitution; but he cannot be indifferent as to the Authority, by which they are enjoined; and he

thinks himself as much obliged to conform to Kneeling at the Sacrament, and to have his Children crossed at Baptism, as he should have been, if he had lived in the Apostles Days, to give, and take the Kiss of Peace, to wear short Hair; or to abstain from Bloud; and if I did not think I was bound in Conscience, yet I should think my self bound in Prudence, and for Peace sake to submit to them, except I was able to prove them unlawful.

The only ill Consequence Mr. *Calamy* can name of conforming to the Ceremonies is, that it *would cherish an Imposing Spirit*, in the Governors of our Church. 'Tis worth the Reader's while to know what he means by an *Imposing Spirit*: Our Bishops at the Reformation laid aside some hundreds of Ceremonies, and only retained those 4 or 5 concerning which the Dispute now is. The old *Puritans* refused Conformity to these too, and demanded an Abolition of them; and they and their Descendents, of whom Mr. *Calamy* is not the least, continue to call this remainder of 4 or 5, by the name of *Impositions*; while they themselves have all along in their own Intentions been the real *Imposers*, they would put upon us the Discipline and Government of the Church of *Geneva*, or *Scotland*, instead of the Ancient *Catholic* Government already receiv'd, they would, and have, even *vi & armis*, Deposed our Bishops, and even Episcopacy itself, so far as 'twas in their Power, condemned our Liturgy, subverted our Constitution, and all under pretence of a great

Introduc.

P. 52

Aversion to *Impositions*. You may call Ceremonies by what Name you please; but they are really innocent things, at least ours are so; whereas Division weakens our Discipline, and even our Civil Government casts a reproach upon our Reformation, and our Common Christianity; and has made more Atheists and Deists, than perhaps any thing else whatever. And whereas he tells us, that this *Imposing Spirit is always growing where it is indulged*, that is as much as to say, that if they complied with the Church in the Ceremonies already enjoined, the Queen, Parliament, Bishops, and Convocation would still be admitting more, which shews their good Opinion of their Temporal Governors, as well as Spiritual.

Introduct.
p. 53.

He tells the Bishops, that *by looking back they might see the mischief they had done, and the Divisions they had created by their Impositions*: So the Lewd Boy when he had set his Mother's House on fire, because she had corrected him for refusing to say his Prayers in that manner, and at that time that she had ordered him, cried out, *See Mother what comes of your hard Usage of me*. Ceremonies could have done no more harm here than in other Nations, particularly where the *Lutheran* Religion prevails, if we had not had a sett of Men, whose chief Business it has always been to enflame the People against them. The *English* People have no more natural Aversion to Ceremonies than any of their Neighbours; but are harangu'd out of their

P R E F A C E.

ci

Duty by their Leaders. As for the People I heartily pity them; we cannot shew too great Tenderness and Charity to a very great part of them. 'T would be happy, if we could distinguish betwixt the Captors and the Captives.

In fine, we have in these Codes the Government now establish'd in our Church confirm'd by the Unanimous Suffrage of the Ancients; for it will appear upon an impartial Survey, that there is no Church under the Heavens that does more resemble the Primitive Platform. And our Dissenters amongst unprejudiced Posterity will have the Glory of endeavouring to subvert it. Mr. *Calamy* seems to please himself with a fancy, that with his *Moderate Nonconformity* he has done her Business; what else could prompt him to erect another Imaginary *National Church* in her stead? In this he was certainly too hasty, at least while our Good QUEEN'S Eyes are open. And if the Church may be allow'd to Survive till his, or his Brethren's Arguments prevail against her, I dare preface she will prove Immortal.

Introdu.
p. 89.

As these Codes are a very considerable Proof of the Soundness of our Constitution; so they will shew that our Dissenters had none in the Ancient Times that resembled them, but those who were stigmatized with such Names as they cannot endure to have apply'd to themselves, and which we do by no means delight to bestow upon them. I have been often thinking, which Canon of all these Collections

Defence, Part
1. pag. 140.

does most seem to favour them ; and that which at first sight seems to speak most on their side, and on which Mr. *Calamy* flourishes so much, is the last Canon of *Nice*, which obliges all People to stand on the Lord's Day in Divine Worship ; but then on second thoughts I consider, that if they had lived in those Ages and Places where this Canon prevailed, they must, according to their Principles, have used Kneeling, Prostration, Sitting, or any Posture but Standing ; for if they had stood, they had been guilty of that Crime of which they seem more afraid than any other, viz. of cherishing an *Imposing Spirit*. The Christians of the *Nicene* and *Primitive* Ages were of the same Principles with us of the Church of *England* : And if they had lived in our Church and Age, they would have used the Posture now enjoind, which is Kneeling ; and if we had lived in that Age, we in Compliance with the Authority of the Church would have stood at Prayers ; for they are greatly mistaken to think that 'tis a Ceremony or Circumstance for which we contend. No, 'tis for Principles of Peace and Obedience.

V.

The *Fifth*, and Last End which I proposed to my self was, that both Clergy and Laity by looking into the Ancient Polity of the Church may the better understand their own, and have some Rules whereby to govern themselves in dubious Cases. They that offend their Brethren—by *Usury* are by the *CIXth* Canon of our Church

Church to be presented by the Church-Wardens. Some ignorant Men have from thence Interr'd, that all who lend Money upon Interest are hereby made liable to Ecclesiastical Censures; but this proceeded only from want of Knowledge in the Ancient Canon-Law; for by that it will appear, that 'tis only enormous Usury, which makes People liable to be presented: The XVIIth Canon of the Synod at *Nice*, from whence all Canons upon this Subject in after-Ages took their Rise, only forbids the Use of 12 *per Cent.* and 50 *per Cent.* and other unreasonable Arts of Extortion; the Church never intended wholly to prohibit moderate and Legal Usury. The Church does now, as she has always done, make it a Rule never to hold a Publick Fast on the *Lord's-Day*. If we seek for any Modern Canon to this purpose, we shall be disappointed, but by looking into the Codes of the Primitive and Universal Church, you will find, that this was a standing Rule amongst the Ancient Christians. See *Can. Ap. 54. Gang. 66.*

18. No Bishop with us is Ordained without 2 or 3 other Bishops assisting the Metropolitan, not by virtue of any Modern Canon, or express Rubrick, but according to the Ancient Settled Law of the Church Catholick: See *Can. Ap. 1, &c.* So all Clergy-men do generally forbear Marrying in *Lent*, not that there is any Canon forbidding it now in force in our Church; but by reason of an Universal Custom first

introduced by the 52d Canon of *Laodicea*. So that some of these Canons seem still to be in force, by the consent of all Churches, that have any regard to Antiquity. *Christopher Justellus* in his Epistle Dedicatory to his *Bibliotheca Juris Canonici*, tells his Friend *Leschasterius*, that "the Rights of the *Gallican Church* are Founded on the first Four General Councils; and that it is an Ancient and Authentick Tradition in the Parliament of *Paris*, that all Innovations and Usurpations of the Pope, and his Clergy, are to be regulated by them; and that by these they Govern themselves in receiving Appeals from the Spiritual Courts.

In some cases our present Code of Canons and our Rubricks are silent, as whether the Priest in Baptizing shall use three Immersions, or affusions, or but one: therefore in this case the Clergy-man, I suppose, cannot follow a better Rule than that prescribed by the Primitive Church, which is, to repeat the Immersion, or Affusion, at the mention of every one of the Divine Persons. I am sensible that some particular Men and Churches have used one Ablution only; but the Authority of particular Men and Churches is not to be laid in the Scale against that of the Code of the Primitive Church. See *Can. Ap.* 42.
50.
and the *Decrees* of the General Council of *Constantinople*, which Condemns *Eunomius*, for using but one Ablution. See *Can. 7. Const.*

'Tis true where Clergy-men have directions given them by their present Canons or Rubricks, they are to seek for no other. For they have by their Subscriptions obliged themselves to observe the Rubricks, and by their Oaths to obey the Bishop according to Canon: and therefore no doubt but our present Canons are more to be regarded by the Clergy, than even those of *Nice*. The Dissenters make great Remonstrances against the Oath of *Canonical Obedience*; for which I can see no just Cause: if Clergy-men were sworn to obey all the Canons, 'twere a very harsh Oath indeed; but they are Sworn only to obey the Bishop according to Canon, that is, when he requires any thing of you enjoined by the Canon; but a great difference is to be made between prohibitive and preceptive Canons. You are always under the Obligation of the former, (unless they be Antiquated by a long non-use) so far at least, as to be liable to Censure if you disobey them; as for Instance, those Canons whereby you are forbid to *Marry at any Hour, but between 8 and 12 in the Forenoon*; or to hold *Fasts, Prophecying, or Exorcisms*. See *Can. LXII, LXXII*. But the other, called preceptive Canons, do not oblige Clergy-men to actual Obedience till the Bishop think fit to enforce them by his special Injunction; such is the *LXXIV Canon*, whereby Clergy-men are ordered to *wear Gowns with standing Collars*: For whatever is forbid, is what

has been found of very ill consequence to the Church, and therefore diligently to be avoided for the future: Whereas things that are enjoined, have sometimes little other reason, than the Will of the Law-maker.

Def. Part 2.
p. 302.

I cannot here do otherwise than take notice of a curious piece of Antiquity, with which Mr. *Calamy* obliges his Readers on this Head, which is, that the Oath of Canonical Obedience was forbid Clergy-men by the 13th Canon of the Council of *Chalons*, *A. D.* 813. But here too he cites the Canon with his usual fidelity, that is, he leaves out the first Words of the Oath, by which the Clergy-men were forced to Swear, that *they were worthy*, [*viz.* of Orders] *and that they will do nothing against the Canons; and be obedient to the Bishop that Ordains them, and that Church in which they are Ordained.* No wonder that an Oath with such ensnaring Words at the beginning of it should be forbidden; and the last Words of it seem to be an unreasonable addition. It is very probable that this Canon was designed against those strowling *Scotch* Bishops who Ordained any for Money, mentioned *Can.* 43. and who, because they had not Learning enough to examine the Clerk, made him Swear, that he deserved Orders.

Further, If a time should come again, when Alterations in the Externals of Religion should be under the Consideration of our Church Governors, here private Clergy-

Clergy-men, and Lay-men too, may learn how to direct their Judgments in reference to such Alterations as shall be proposed; and that is, always to incline to that side which will bring us nearer to the Primitive State, rather than to that which affects Novelties, so far as we may without endangering the Peace of the Church and the Common Safety. As for Ceremonies, a good Christian will be very little concern'd: but as he would forego those we have for the sake of a safe Peace with those of one Party, so he'd be willing to submit to as many more, on condition, that he could thereby gain Peace with another. There is one thing in which all good Men must own that an Alteration might be made very much for the better, and that is, in restoring Discipline. 'Tis true we are not altogether destitute of Discipline; and they who object this defect against us, for ought I could ever see, want the Practice of it, as well as the Church do's: But it will make a pious Christian full of resentment, to see how severe the Primitive Discipline was, how remiss and indifferent our own. I am told the *Erastian* Party have a Design *here* to begin their Attack upon the Church; and instead of Penance, to oblige the Delinquent to Pay a certain Sum of Money toward the Publick Necessities of the State. Thus those who are concerned may see, that the abuse of Discipline by Lay-Chancellors, especially the allowing of Commutations of Penance, gives a handle to those
who

who are disaffected to the Church, wholly to strip her of the Exercise of this Power, and to entrust it for the future in other Hands; and all this under a specious pretence of the *Publick Good*. I have but one thing more to offer upon this Head, and that is, that whenever Discipline is restor'd, it be with this express Condition, that no Money be Paid by Rich or Poor; but the whole Process transacted *Gratis*: For 'tis clear, that all corruption, remissness and defect in Discipline, has proceeded chiefly from that accursed root the love of Money, which ought therefore for the future to be banish'd from the Spiritual Judicature.

Some have with vehemence insisted, that whenever Alterations are to be made, the first Collect in the *Post-Communion* should be inserted between the Consecration and Administration, or some *Prayer of Oblation* added in that Place. Now, tho' I am fully convinced that the Eucharist may as justly be called a *Sacrifice* as any, save that offered on the Cross, yet I can see no necessity for any such Alteration. The Consecration-Prayer, and the Words used by the Priest at the Administration, seem sufficient, if rightly understood and applied. In the Consecration Prayer *Christ* is said, *by the Oblation of himself upon the Cross, to have made a full and perfect Sacrifice*: and in our Saviour's Words of Institution, inserted into this Prayer, *the Bread* is call'd *his Body*, given, i. e. *Sacrificed for us*; *the Wine*, *his Blood shed as a libation for us*, i. e. *for the remission*

remission of our Sins, as follows presently after. Nothing then can be more clear, than that the Eucharist is hereby declared to be a Sacrifice; and in the Words of Administration, the merits of it are apply'd to every Receiver, *The Body of Christ which was given for thee* (and is now exhibited to God in thy behalf) preserve thy Body and Soul to *eternal Life*: No wise Man is for Alterations, but in case of apparent necessity, which I cannot perceive in the matter now before us. 'Tis true the Eucharist is so commonly styled the *Oblation* or *Sacrifice* in the following Codes, that if the Prayer of Oblation had continued in the place where it was first fix'd in *Edward VI's* Time, it might very well have been justified by the common Practice of the Ancient Church, and even by the Scripture itself: But I fear that an Alteration of this sort, as things now stand, might be attended with no good Consequences.

And whereas in the main, I cannot but think, that the Canons of the Ancient Church were excellent Rules of Discipline and Government; yet in one particular I must confess, that they stand in need of an Excuse, that is, discountenancing the Marriage of those that were in the Three Holy Orders. The truth is, the Sentiments of the Governing Part of the Church seem to have been overborn in this particular, by the prevailing Opinion of the Vulgar, *viz.* That there was a sort of Turpitude in Conjugal Embraces. And this is not to be

wonder'd at, considering the great Swarms of Hereticks that wholly declared against Marriage, as the Followers of *Saturninus*, the *Eustathians*, the *Hieracites*, the *Menandrians*, the *Cataphrygians*, and several others. We know by sad Experience, that the Opinions of the professed Enemies of the Church do too much prevail among them, who do not renounce her Communion. No wonder therefore that the Pastors of the Church thought fit in some measure to make allowances and concessions to the prejudices of the Vulgar. It appears by the 4th Canon of *Gangra*, that it was a prevailing Opinion among Men in those Days, that *the Eucharist ought not to be taken from the Hands of a Married Priest*. The Bishops shew'd their courage in Condemning that Opinion, and their prudent compliance in discouraging the Marriage of Priests, so far as they could in reason, least the People should leave the Catholick Church, and go to the Heretical Assemblies under pretence of receiving the Ordinances in greater Purity. And it would have look'd odd indeed in those Ages, when Virginity was look'd on as the greatest Perfection, and the Laity did as it were by strifes run into Monasteries, if the Clergy had done nothing at all to shew their regard to Continence, and the Single Life. 'Tis clear to me, that even in the 7th Century the Clergy (especially in the East) were far enough from any fondness to Celibacy, or Living Unmarried. The Council of *Trullo* clearly intimates,

imates, that in that Age, even Bishops in Africa, and other Places, lived with their Wives; and that many Priests were Married a Second time. 'Tis true, the Council forbids this for the future; but they plainly tell you, that it was *at the Emperor's desire*, not thro' their own inclination. They don't condemn the Bishop's co-habiting with his Wife as sinful, but as offensive; nay, they plainly reproach the *Barbarian* Priests, that is, those in *Scythia* and *Russia*, for abstaining from their Wives. See *Can. Trul.* 3, 6, 12, 13, 30. It further appears, that these Fathers could not be brought to the high flights of the *Roman* Church, which even in those Ages forbade conjugal co-habitation to the Sub deacon, and all Orders above that. Opinions have their Periods, Vicissitudes and Revolutions, as well as other things. The reigning Opinion of these Ages was, that even the conjugal Duty was not consistent with perfect Purity: As the prevailing Opinion of this Age, on this side of the World, among the unlearned Part of Mankind, is, that the fewer Ceremonies a Church has, the more Pure she is. I have not at present time to dispute the case, but shall leave it to my Reader to determine, which is the more plausible Opinion of the Two. I cannot but give the preference to the more Ancient.

And further, when I speak honourably of these Codes, I would not be so understood as to be thought a Friend to many things mention'd in the Papal Decrees, and

even

even in the Canons of *Trullo* and *Sardica*.
But 'tis time that I proceed to shew,

In what manner I have performed this
Work ; and here

Defence, Part
1. from Page
125 to 135.

1. I have inserted no Canons but what belong'd to the Codes, and of these I have not omitted any. Mr. *Calamy* runs out into a long common place transcribed from Mons. *Daillee*, of 10 Pages together, complaining of the Writings of the Ancient Fathers, and lessening their Authority.

1. As differing in Points of Doctrine from us, and all Protestants, He ought to have shew'd that their Faith was different from ours: As for matters of Opinion, there was a great Latitude in the Ancients, as well as amongst us ; but we learn the Doctrines of the Church from the Councils and Synods, rather than from particular Fathers.

2. As having many spurious Writings attributed to them ; but here they may converse with, and receive Evidence from the Fathers met in whole Bodies together, Evidences of which there can be no doubt but that they come from them, whose Names they bear. There is but one Collection of Canons which has ever been suspected, and that is of those called Apostolical ; but, even they have been asserted by so many Learned Pens, that I perswade my self our Dissenters will not dare attack them, unless it be by a Rehearsal of Mons. *Daillee*'s Arguments, which have been already answer'd by Bishop *Beveridge* and

Core-

Cotelerius; and it ought not to be passed over in silence, that they have discovered an unanswerable Testimony for these Canons from the Acts of the Council of Constantinople, held by *Nectarius*, A. D. 394. where the *Apostolical Canons* are cited by *Nectarius* Bishop of Constantinople, A. D. 394. in a Synod of Bishops, to prove that a Bishop ought not to be Deposed by two, but by a Synod, referring clearly to the 66 of those Canons: This is a Demon- 74 stration that *Daille* is in an Error when he denies that there were any more than 50 of these Canons extant in this Age; and that these 50 were not made till about this time. If *Nectarius* cited, and the whole Council approved the Canon numbered 66 then 'tis evident, that there were 74, more than 50; and if these Canons were known, and owned by the Name of *Apostolical Canons*, by a Synod of the most considerable Bishops of the World; not only *Nectarius* of Constantinople, but *Flavianus* of Antioch, *Helladius* of Casarea in Cappadocia, *Theophilus* of Alexandria, *Gelasius* of Casarea in Palestine, *Gregory Nyssen*, &c. as it is very evident they were; then I suppose it needs no Proof, that they were in being long before, and had been received under the Name of *Apostolical Canons* time out of mind, so long that none of those Aged Bishops questioned the Authority even of one of those which are most suspected. *Alexander* of Alexandria cites the $\frac{1}{2}$ of these Canons against those

those Bishops who received *Arius* after he was Deposed by him, and that before the Council of *Nice*; and *Constantine the Great* cited the ^{14.}_{11.} in Commendation of *Eusebius*

for refusing to be translated from the Bishoprick of *Casarea* to that of *Antioch*: And 'tis true those Authorities are of a more ancient Date than this of the Synod of *Constantinople*; but they don't reach to the latter part of the Canons, which have been most objected against, as this other does. You have the Acts of this Synod of *Constantinople*, in the first Volume of Bishop *Beveridge's Pandects*, p. 678, 679. not in the *Volumes of Councils*. As for the Papal Decrees, I do not publish them with a design to use them as Authorities, or to shew the true Ancient Government of the Church, but merely because they fall within that Period of Time mention'd in my Title-Page.

3. As very obscure; but on the other side the Evidences here produced are of that Nature, that our Dissenters will have no Cause to complain of them, unless it is because they are too clear and bright; and as to the main, cannot be obscured by any Mists or Clouds which they can raise. If there be any dark Passages in these Codes, 'tis there you shall find our Adversaries taking Sanctuary. If it had not been for a Canon or two, whose various Lectons have made them less plain, Mr. *Calamy* had had nothing to

say

ay for himself in point of Antiquity ;
 s will appear to him that considers what
 have said in the Notes on *Can. Anc.* 13.
Ant. 10. but there is one thing which was
 forgotten on that occasion, which I there-
 fore insert here, *viz.* that whereas Mr. Ca-
 lamy every where argues on supposition
 that the *Chorepiscopi* were mere Priests.
 Monf. Blondell, the Great Blondell so mag-
 nified by Mr. Calamy, does set himself to
 confute this Opinion, in his *Apology*, p. 95,
 27. and has evidently proved the con-
 trary to what Mr. Calamy, to serve a pre-
 sent turn, is pleased to assert, *viz.* That
 they were Bishops of the Superior Order.
 4. As not giving us the Sense of the
 Universal Church ; but if the Fathers do
 not, these Codes certainly do, especially the
 Code of the Universal Church, which used
 in Ancient Synods to be laid in an emi-
 nent place next to the Holy Bible.

I have not published any of the *French* or
Spanish Canons ; for by them you can't know
 what was the Discipline or Government
 of the Church in General, or of any great
 Branch of it : but only of those Dioceses
 whose Bishops were present at the making
 those Canons, nor scarce of them. As
 for Instance, the Synod of *Arles* in *France*,
 held *A. D.* 314. is said to have had three
British Bishops present at it ; and yet we
 are sure that the Canons there made were
 not receiv'd in *Britain* ; for when *Austin*
 came first into this Island, the Christians
 he found here were *Quarto-decimans*, that
 is,

is, kept the Feast of *Easter*, not according to the Western, but the Eastern Mode. Yet the first Canon of this Council Decrees *Easter* to be observ'd as it is over all the World, i. e. on the Sunday after the Full-Moon; and further requires the Bishops of Rome to direct his Letters to all, giving an Account when it shall be observed; whereas at Austin's Arrival it is clear that the British Bishops had no Correspondence with him of Rome; so that if we had Bishops there, yet, it seems, the Canons there made did not take place in this Island. Nor are we to suppose that the Second Council of *Arles* was of greater Authority than the First; and consequently when the Fifth Canon of that Council charges the Metropolitan in disputed Cases upon the Election of a Bishop to join with the Majority. We are not to suppose that this Canon could cancel or annul the 4th and 5th of *Nice* before mention'd though in truth the Metropolitan acted rather as a Judge, than an Elector or Voter; but yet so as to be under the Correction of a Greater Synod.

I desire it may be further observ'd, that none of the Canons of the Primitive or Universal Code were made in *Italy*, or the *West*, but chiefly by *Greek* and *Eastern* Bishops, and in Councils held in their Countries; at which it is not credible that any number of *Latin* Bishops were present, excepting at the General Councils. And the first Ancient *Latin* Code

consisted wholly of *Greek* Canons, with those of *Sardica* only added to them: And as old Heathen *Rome* receiv'd its Laws from *Athens*, so Christian *Rome* itself in the Primitive Time receiv'd its Canons from *Greece* and the *East*. As for the Canons of *Sardica*, they were had in reputation with the *Easterns*, not for any Opinion they had of the Pope and Western Bishops, but on the Account of him for whose sake they were made, viz. *Athanasius*: For when the Orthodox Faith prevailed in opposition to that of *Arius*, *Athanasius* who had been the chief Assister of it, was thought a Person to whose Memory they could not pay too much Honour, and the Canons made for his Safety had a Place given them, even in the *Greek* Code. The *African* Church had a great and deserved Reputation in the early times; and therefore their Code of Canons was received both by the Eastern and Western Churches, though the *Africans* Symbolized more with those of the East, than of the West. Though the Churches of *Spain* and *Gaul* made many Canons, yet they never had so great a Reputation as to be received into any of these Codes, nor even those few that were during those Ages made in *Italy*: And what is most of all to be admired, all this time there was not one Canon made at *Rome*, except you'll call them Canons which you have in the latter part of this Book under the Name of *Decretals*.

I pro-

is, kept the Feast of *Easter*, not according to the Western, but the Eastern Mode; Yet the first Canon of this Council Decrees *Easter* to be observ'd as it is over all the World, i. e. on the *Sunday* after the Full-Moon; and further requires the Bishop of Rome to direct his Letters to all, giving an Account when it shall be observed; whereas at *Austin's* Arrival it is clear that the British Bishops had no Correspondence with him of Rome; so that if we had Bishops there, yet, it seems, the Canons there made did not take place in this Island. Nor are we to suppose that the Second Council of *Arles* was of greater Authority than the First; and consequently when the Fifth Canon of that Council charges the Metropolitan in disputed Cases upon the Election of a Bishop to join with the Majority. We are not to suppose that this Canon could cancel or annul the 4th and 5th of *Nice* before mention'd; though in truth the Metropolitan acted rather as a Judge, than an Elector or Voter; but yet so as to be under the Correction of a Greater Synod.

I desire it may be further observ'd, that none of the Canons of the Primitive or Universal Code were made in *Italy*, or the *West*, but chiefly by *Greek* and *Eastern* Bishops, and in Councils held in their Countries; at which it is not credible, that any number of *Latin* Bishops were present, excepting at the General Councils. And the first Ancient *Latin* Code

consisted wholly of *Greek* Canons, with those of *Sardica* only added to them: And as old Heathen *Rome* receiv'd its Laws from *Athens*, so Christian *Rome* itself in the Primitive Time receiv'd its Canons from *Greece* and the *East*. As for the Canons of *Sardica*, they were had in reputation with the *Easterns*, not for any Opinion they had of the Pope and Western Bishops, but on the Account of him for whose sake they were made, viz. *Athanasius*: For when the Orthodox Faith prevailed in opposition to that of *Arius*, *Athanasius* who had been the chief Asserter of it, was thought a Person to whose Memory they could not pay too much Honour, and the Canons made for his Safety had a Place given them, even in the *Greek* Code. The *African* Church had a great and deserved Reputation in the early times; and therefore their Code of Canons was received both by the Eastern and Western Churches, though the *Africans* Symbolized more with those of the East, than of the West. Though the Churches of *Spain* and *Gaul* made many Canons, yet they never had so great a Reputation as to be received into any of these Codes, nor even those few that were during those Ages made in *Italy*: And what is most of all to be admired, all this time there was not one Canon made at *Rome*, except you'll call them Canons which you have in the latter part of this Book under the Name of *Decretals*.

I pro-

Church, that so we may for the future avoid whatever gave occasion to those Corruptions.

2. To shew the Necessity there was of a Reformation, and a Separation from the Church of *Rome*; the next Step we shall take, will be into the Mire and Filth of Image-Worship, and perfect Popish Tyranny, which two things alone sufficiently demonstrate the Reasonableness and Necessity of a Reformation.

3. I suppose it will be some Gratification to the curious to know the Practice of the Church, when she was in the most polluted State, especially because out of this Darkness some Light will shine, some Insight will be given into the History of the Church in those middle Ages.

4. Further, the Usefulness of this Work will appear, if it be considered, that we shall meet with a great deal of Information and excellent Rules of Discipline, even in the Popish Canon Law, and the very Council of *Trent* itself.

5. But I shall endeavour to render it particularly useful to those of our Church, by having especial regard to all the Canons and Constitutions made in *England*; and shall end with *An Essay toward the Code of the Canons of the present Church of England*, and shall shew which of the Canons made before the Reformation are still in force.

A

TABLE OF THE CODES,

Which are the

CONTENTS Of this BOOK.

I. THE CODE *of the* PRI-
MITIVE CHURCH, }
containing the APOSTOLICAL } pag. 3.
CANONS }
76
85

II. THE CODE *of the* UNIVERSAL
CHURCH, *containing*

1. The NICENE CANONS 20 p. 40
2. The ANCYRAN CANONS 25 p. 58
f 2 3. The

A T A B L E

3. *The* NEO-CÆSAREAN CANONS 14 p. 69
4. *The* GANGRAN CANONS 20 p. 76
5. *The* ANTIOCHIAN CANONS 25 p. 82
6. LAODICÆAN CANONS 59 p. 95
7. *The* CONSTANTINOPOLITAN CANONS 7 p. 114

To which were afterwards added

8. *The* EPHESINE CANONS 8 p. 123
9. *The* CHALCEDONIAN CANONS 29 p. 126

In all—— 207

III. THE CODE of the EASTERN CHURCH, as Settled in the Synod of Trullo, A. D. 683. containing

1. *The whole Code of the Primitive Church, viz. Apostolical Canons* } 76 } ubi supra.
85 }

2. *The whole Code of the Universal Church, with one Canon added to those of Chalcedon* } 208 } ubi supra.

3. *The* Sardican Canons 21 p. 143

4. THE CODE of the AFRICAN CHURCH 138 p. 156

5. *The*

of the **C O D E S**

5. *The Canons of Dionysius of Alexandria* 4 p. 193
6. ——— of Peter of Alexandria 15 p. 194
7. ——— of Gregory Thaumaturgus 11 p. 196
8. ——— of Athanasius 3 p. 199
9. ——— of Basil the Great 92 p. 201
10. ——— of Gregory Nyssen 8 p. 220
11. *Answers of Timothy of Alexandria* 18 p. 222
12. *The Canons of Theophilus of Alexandria* 13 p. 225
13. ——— of Cyrillus of Alexandria 2 p. 229
14. ——— of Gregory Nazianzen 1 p. 230
15. ——— of Amphilochius 1 p. 230
16. ——— of Gennadius 1 p. 232
17. ——— of Cyprian (*viz.* Can. Ap. 39)
47) 1 p. 233
18. ——— of the Synod of Trullo 102 p. 233

IV. THE CODE of the WESTERN,
or LATIN CHURCH, Collected by
Dionysius Exiguus, containing

1. Of the APOSTOLICAL
CANONS 42 } ubi supra.
50 }
- f 3 2. THE

T A B L E

2. Of THE CODE of the UNIVERSAL CHURCH, Canons 193 ubi supra. (*for the Latin Church never admitted the 8 Ephesine Canons; the Three last of Constantinople, the 28th and 29th of Chalcedon; and they contracted the 4th and 5th of Ancyra into one Canon; and therefore of 207 Canons they make but 193*)
3. Sardican Canons 21 ubi supra.
4. THE CODE of the AFRICAN CHURCH } ubi supra.
 containing Canons 138 }

The DECREES,

- | | | |
|--------------------------|----|--------|
| 1. Of Siricius | 15 | p. 253 |
| 2. Of Innocent the First | 57 | p. 255 |
| 3. Of Zosymus | 4 | p. 265 |
| 4. Of Bonifacius | 4 | p. 266 |
| 5. Of Cœlestine | 22 | p. 267 |
| 6. Of Leo Ist. | 49 | p. 268 |
| 7. Of Gelasius | 28 | p. 276 |
| 8. Of Anastasius | 8 | p. 281 |

Here Ends the Collection of *Dionysius Exiguus*,
the following were added by other Hands, viz.

- | | | |
|-------------|---|--------|
| 9 Of Hilary | 6 | p. 282 |
|-------------|---|--------|

10. Of

of the C O D E S.

10. <i>Of</i> Simplicius	2	p. 284
11. <i>Of</i> Fœlix		p. 285
12. <i>Of</i> Symmachus	3	p. 287
13. <i>Of</i> Hormisdas	3	p. 291
14. <i>Of</i> Gregory II.	17	p. 293

f 4 . C O N-

F

for

I.

N

Son

II.

CONTENTS

OF THE

PREFACE.

THE Preface is designed to shew
the Usefulness of this Work, and
the manner in which it is per-
formed i

The Usefulness of this Work appears

I. In that it gives us a just Scheme of
the Government and Discipline of the
Ancient Church ii

None ever did it before in this manner iii

Some Defects observ'd in Du Pin as to this
Particular iii, iv, v

II. To shew that the Church, during those
Ages was govern'd by its Pastors v

That

CONTENTS

<i>That this was no Usurpation, and that</i> <i>נאִשְׁתַּחֲוֶה, Heb. xiii. 17. signifies obey</i>	p. vi
<i>Church does not always denote the Peo-</i> <i>ple, particularly Matt. xviii. 17.</i>	vii, viii, ix
<i>And in other places</i>	x, xi
<i>The Pretence of those considered, who al-</i> <i>low Pastors to be Governors, but not in</i> <i>External Matters</i>	xv
<i>How to make new Articles of Faith, and</i> <i>prescribe Modes, are parallel</i>	xvi
<i>That the Apostles exercised a Power in</i> <i>Externals</i>	xviii
<i>It seems good to the Holy Ghost, how</i> <i>to be understood</i>	xix
<i>Mr. Calamy's equivocating on the Word</i> <i>necessary</i>	xxi
<i>Where the Mystery of Iniquity was work-</i> <i>in the Apostles Days</i>	xxi, xxii
<i>Mr. Calamy's Argument from Rom. xiv.</i> <i>considered</i>	xxii, xxiii
<i>First Reformers supposed the Pastors to have</i> <i>Power in Externals</i>	xxiv
<i>Ceremonies don't render a Church less pure</i>	xxiv
<i>Moderate Nonconformity and the Rites</i> <i>akin</i>	xxv
<i>Power in Externals yet in our Pastors</i>	xxvi

Mr.

of the PREFACE.

Mr. Calamy's Assertion, That he is not bound by any Canon p. xxviii

What Canons he and his Brethren are subject to xxix

His Obligation to obey Temporal Laws proceeding from his being represented in Parliament considered xxx

III. *By what sort of Pastors the Church was governed during these Ages* xxxiii
No Reason for an Alteration in this Government xxxv

They who oppose it, ought to make out their Right very clearly xxxvi

The Doctors of Geneva and Mr. Calamy give up the Jus Divinum of Presbyterian Government xxxvii

Mr. Calvin Anathematized those who dissented from Episcopacy xxxviii

Presbyters, how understood by him xxxix

Episcopacy, how run down, and yet has in a great measure recover'd itself xl

The Church founded in a Prelatical Form of Government xli

Ought so to continue xlii

Of Timothy's being an Evangelist xliii

What was the Evangelist's Office xliv

The same Signification of the Words Bishop and Presbyter, an Objection of no force xlv

No

CONTENTS

<i>No Instance of an Ancient Church govern'd by Priests only</i>	p. xlv
<i>Clemens Romanus, Ignatius, &c. bear Testimony to Episcopacy</i>	xlvi, xlvii
<i>Priest's Share in Church-Government</i>	xlvi
1. <i>In their own Dioceses</i>	xlvi
<i>Instances of Presbyteries called</i>	li
2. <i>In the Province, particularly of their Sitting in Provincial Synods</i>	lii, &c.
<i>The peculiar Rights of English Priests, of Right to Vote in Synods</i>	lvi
<i>Power of Ordination peculiar to Bishops</i>	ibid
<i>One Bishop in a City, a Primitive Rule</i>	lvii
<i>Mr. Calamy's fraudulent Abridgment of the Canon of Sevil</i>	lviii, &c.
<i>Of the People's Power of Electing Pastors</i>	lxi
<i>It never prevail'd in England</i>	lxiv
<i>How the Nonconformists have varied in this Point</i>	lxvi
<i>Five Instances of Bishops chosen without the People</i>	lxix
<i>That the People cannot depose a Bishop</i>	lxx
<i>The Supposition of a Company of Christians without a possibility of regularly Ordained Pastors answered</i>	lxxi
<i>That the People have no Power to Vote in Synods</i>	lxxii
	Nor

of the P R E F A C E.

<i>Nor to Excommunicate</i>	p. lxxiii
<i>Of the Excommunication of the Incestuous Corinthian</i>	lxxiv
<i>The Objection of Imperium in Imperio answered</i>	lxxv
<i>How the Power of Pastors is independent</i>	lxxvii
<i>No just Grounds for fear of the Eccle- siastical Authority being injurious to our State</i>	lxxviii
 <i>IV. The Fourth Design is to justify the Church</i>	 lxxix
<i>1. In retaining the Three Holy Orders, and them only.</i>	
<i>The Inferior Orders never thought so ne- cessary as the others</i>	lxxx
<i>Our Dissenters have properly no Orders</i>	lxxxii
<i>2. In the Pre-eminence of our Metropoli- tans</i>	lxxxiii
<i>Some Metropolitans had probably a Nega- tive in Synod</i>	lxxxv
<i>And most of them in Elections</i>	lxxxvi
<i>Patriarchs not erected every where, and particularly not in Britain</i>	lxxxvii
<i>3. In our laying a Stress upon Succession</i>	lxxxviii
<i>One Ordainer sufficient</i>	xc
<i>The Right of Succession more clear in the Christian, than in the Jewish Church</i>	xci
	Dis-

CONTENTS

<i>Dissenters resolve the Call into this Principle of Succession</i>	p. xcii
<i>The Church Vindicated for allowing the Ordination of Popish Bishops</i>	xciii
<i>And for disallowing that of Protestant Presbyters</i>	xcv
<i>Of our Unchurching all foreign Protestants</i>	xcvi
<i>4. In enjoining Ceremonies, &c.</i>	ibid.
<i>Supposing the Kiss of Charity were enjoined</i>	xcvii
<i>That Men could not Communicate with the Apostolical Churches without conforming to Ceremonies</i>	ibid
<i>The Diversity of our Ceremonies from those of the Primitive Church</i>	xcviii
<i>Of Cherishing an Imposing Spirit</i>	xcix
<i>Mr. Calamy's charging all the Mischief of our Divisions on the Bishops</i>	c
<i>Whether the Canon of Nice, which requires People to stand at Prayers, favour our Dissenters</i>	cii
 <i>V. The Fifth and Last Instance of the Usefulness of this Work is, that it explains some of the Laws and Customs of our Church, and directs us where we have none.</i>	
<i>Instances of the former</i>	cii
<i>Of the latter</i>	civ

Of

of the PREFACE.

Of the Clergy-mans Oath of Canonical Obedience cv

Mr. Calamy's Quotation of a Canon of Chalons against the Oath of Canonical Obedience cvi

Of Alterations of Ceremonies ibid.

Of restoring Discipline cvii

Of a Prayer of Oblation cviii

Concerning Marriage of the Clergy being restrained in the Ancient Church cix

The Publisher of these Codes no Friend to some Particulars contained in them cxii

II. *The manner in which this Work is performed.*

1. *No Canons inserted, but what belong'd to the Codes* cxii

And which obviate Mr. Calamy's Objections against the Fathers cxii, &c.

Authority of the Apostolical Canons vindicated cxiii

Papal Decrees why inserted cxiv

Spanish and Gallick Canons, why not inserted cxv

Why I proceed no further cxviii

This Volume of old the Code of the English Church cxviii

Old


CONTENTS, &c.

*Old English Church had a great regard
to the Eastern* p. CXII

2. *How the Canons are contracted* CXX

3. *Notes added chiefly for the sake of the
Laity* ibid.

*The Publisher's Design to proceed here-
after to the Canon-Law of the Impurer
Ages* CXXI

 The Asterisk [*] at the Beginning of
any Canon shews, That the Canon so markt is
translated at large without any Abridgment or
Omission.

THE

Ap

I

T

tive
Mod
by th
they
draw
Rom
most
as P
ther
Sr. C
nod;
in th
Thira
but a
that

THE CANONS

Commonly Called
Apostolical or Ecclesiastical.

P R E F A C E.

THESE Canons are called Apostolical, because they contain the Discipline of the Apostolical or Primitive Church: Some of the Ancients as well as Moderns have believed that they were framed by the Apostles themselves, or at least that they are the very Rules observed by them, and drawn up in Form by St. Clement Bishop of Rome, who conversed with them: But the most Learned and Judicious Papists, as well as Protestants, do assert, that they were neither dictated by the Apostles, nor collected by St. Clement, nor by any one Person or Synod; but were Composed by several Synods in the Decline of the Second, and in the Third Century, and Collected not all at once; but as they were made, some after others, and that they were the Rule of Discipline, or the

B Code

Code of Canons for the Primitive Church, or however for the Eastern Part of it.

The late Primitive Bishop Beveridge has with great Labour proved, that there were many Synods held in the Second and Third Century; that 'tis very clear that some of these Canons were made by those Synods; and that 'tis in the highest degree probable that the rest were so too; that St. Athanasius and St. Basil the Great do refer to them, as Ancient Ecclesiastical Canons; and that they are spoken of by several Synods in the Fourth Century, particularly by the most famous Synod of Nice, and that of Antioch.

Dionysius Exiguus inserted into the Code of the Western Church the first 50 of them according to his Account, but omitted the other, either because they were not extant in that Greek Copy from which he Translated them, (they being, as was before intimated, Collected at several times) or because he thought some of the remaining Canons would be offensive to the Bishop and Clergy of Rome, as contradicting the practise of that Church in the Age that he lived. The Synod of Trull reckons expressly the whole 85 Canons as part of their Code.

These Canons are by most divided into 85; but by Cotelerius, and some others, into 76: I follow this last Division, but as I go along I shew my Reader, by the Figures in the Margin, the other Division: As to the Substance or Words of the Canons, there is little or no variation.

Apostle

A

I.

any

Th
intell

1.

Churo

2.

Bishop

3.

sufficie

Arch-I

dion

tus Bi

withou

much

dain a

of the

are pr

of a P

this is

ders: 7

City of

4. H

called

late to

Apostolical Canons:

1. **L**ET a Bishop be Ordained by two, or three Bishops, a Priest by one Bishop, and so likewise a Deacon, and any other Clergy-man.

This is a very instructive Canon, for here the intelligent Reader will observe,

1. The three Holy Orders retain'd in our Church distinguish'd from each other.

2. The Power of Ordination reserv'd to the Bishops only.

3. That two Bishops are, in case of necessity, sufficient to Ordain a new one: Nay, *Austin* First Arch-Bishop of *Canterbury* did, by the Direction of *Gregory* Bishop of *Rome*, Ordain *Mellitus* Bishop of *London*, and *Justus* of *Rocheſter*, without the Assistance of any other Bishop, much more may one Bishop, without Priests Ordain a Priest: and tho' it be the present Practice of the Church of *England* for all the Priests that are present to Lay on Hands at the Ordination of a Priest, yet 'tis clear from this Canon, that this is not essential to the conferring of Priests Orders: *Titus* by himself was to Ordain Priests in every City of *Crete*, and so was *Timothy* at *Ephesus*.

4. Here you have all that attend at the Altar called Clergy-men, a Name so much envied of late to the Evangelical Officers, which yet was

B 2

rarely

Apostolical Canons.

rarely given by the Ancients to any but those of the Inferiour Orders under Deacons.

5. 'Tis evident, that when these Canons were Penn'd, there were other Clergy-men besides Bishops, Priests, and Deacons, viz. Singers and Readers, which are mention'd by *Tertullian* in the latter End of the Second, and by *St. Cyprian* in the Third Century, who adds *Sub-Deacons*.

3, 4, 5. * 2. Let the Bishop or Priest be Deposed, that offers at the Altar any thing beside the Institution of the Lord, as Milk, Honey, Strong-Drink, instead of Wine, Birds, Animals, or Pulse, excepting only the first Fruits of Corn, and Grapes in their proper Season, and Oil for the Lights, and Incense for time of the Holy Oblation: All other Fruits are to be carried to the Bishop, and Priest's House; and 'tis known that the Bishop and Priests are to give Portions to the Deacons, and other Clergy.

'Tis clear from this Canon, that the Bread and Wine for the Communion were offer'd to Almighty God in the Primitive Church. *Irenaeus* in the Second Century speaks of this Practice; this is still retained in our Church: for after the Alms for the Poor, and other Devotions of the People have been humbly presented, and placed upon the Holy Table by the Priest, as also so much Bread and Wine, as he shall think sufficient, the Priest shall say, *Almighty and Everlasting God*—— We humbly beseech thee most mercifully to accept our Alms and Oblations: for as the Word *Alms* relates to the Money collected by the Poor, so the Word *Oblation* refers to the Bread and Wine, which is in this Canon called the Institution

Code of the Primitive Church.

of our Lord. The offering of First Fruits in the Church is mention'd also by *Irenaeus*; and as for *Lights*, they were absolutely necessary in the Church while Christians held their Assemblies in the Night, or before Day, as they were forced to do during the times of Persecution. Bishop *Beveridge* shews from *Hippolytus*, who wrote in the beginning of the Third Century, that the Use of *Incense* in the Church was introduced before that Time; and 'tis evident from this Canon, when it was used, viz. at the time of the Holy Oblation: And here it is to be observed, that not only the presenting the unconsecrated Elements to God was called an Oblation; but that the Consecration itself was attended with a solemn Presentation of the Symbols of the Body and Blood of Christ to the Father; therefore this Holy Memorial was called a Sacrifice, an Oblation, &c. and the Holy Table an Altar: but it was not reputed a Sacrifice expiatory for the Sins of Quick and Dead, as it is now in the Church of Rome.

* 3. Let not a Bishop, Priest or Deacon turn away his Wife under Pretence of Religion under pain of Suspension from the Communion, and Deposition, if he persist.

Balsamon says, that in the Greek Church even Bishops were permitted to retain their Wives till the Council in *Trull*, that is, such Wives as they had Married before they were Ordained Sub-Deacons. See Can. 19.

I turn the Greek ἀποπιστευόμενος being suspended from Communion; for I suppose this will appear to be the Meaning of it from Can. 10. He that is finally or indefinitely Excommunicated is said to be wholly cut off from the Church. Can. 21, 22. See also Can. 17. And it is to be observed

that the Degrees of Censure in the Apostolical Canons are these, viz.

1. Ἀπορίζεσθαι, to be Suspended from Communion; then, if he be a Clergy-man,

2. Καθαίρεισθαι to be Deposed, and

3. Both Clergy-men and Lay-men for very great Crimes, ἐκβάλλεσθαι are cast out of the Church, to which they could not be restored without Penance.

As these Three Degrees of Penance are every where visible, so no where more than Canon 47, 48, 49, 50.

And in this Place 'tis very evident, that the Ἀπόρισμα, was a less Punishment than Ἀκαίρεσις, and therefore must have been only a Suspension from Communion, for a perfect Excommunication is a much greater Punishment than Deposition.

E

* 4. Let not a Bishop, Priest or Deacon undertake any Secular Employ, upon pain of Deposition.

Bishop Beveridge shews from St. Cyprian, and others, that by *Worldly or Secular Employs* we are to understand Soliciting in Law-Suits, travelling to Fair, and Marts, driving Trades, or pleading Causes, except in Defence of Widows or Orphans: But whatever honest Business Clergy-men engage in for the necessary Defence, or Good of the Church comes not under this, or any other Canon. When they go to Synods or State-Assemblies to see *nequid Ecclesia detrimenti capiat*, they are about their Holy Mother the Churches Business, if not their *Heavenly Fathers* too.

3.

5. If any Bishop, Priest or Deacon Celebrate the Holy Feast of *Easter*, before the Vernal

Vernal *Æquinox*, as the *Jews* do, let him be Deposed.

The *Jewish* Calculations were very faulty; their ordinary Year consisted only of 354 Days, so that for the most part their Passover which they kept on the 14th Day of the Month *Nisan*, must fall a considerable time before the Vernal *Æquinox*, which the Primitive Christians justly look'd upon as the Beginning of the Natural Year. Once indeed in 84 Years they intercalated one Month of 30 Days; but this was not enough, for in 84 Years time they lost 32 Days, as Bishop *Beveridge* has observed: so that when their Account was at the best, 'twas two days at least too soon, and sometimes above a Month. It may be observed that this Canon does not condemn those who kept it on the 14th Day of the First Month regularly calculated: And 'tis not improbable that it might be framed by those who did so observe it, as the Eastern Christians of the first Ages generally did, especially those in *Asia*; tho' in after Ages they that kept *Easter* in this manner were universally condemned, and called by the *Latines*, *Quarto Decimani*; and *Easter* was by common Consent celebrated on the Sunday following the first Full Moon after the Vernal *Æquinox*. But this Canon seems to be directed against them only, who followed the Errorous Calculations of the *Jews*. There were at the latter End of the Second Century several Councils held concerning this Affair at *Rome*, and *Gaul* in the West; *Pontus*, and *Palestine* in the East. This Canon was probably made by one of the latter Synods: but tho' there were several Dispute concerning the Critical Day on which *Easter* was to be kept; yet all unanimously agreed in this, that this Feast was to be observed.

Apostolical Canons.

6. If any Bishop, Priest or Deacon, or any of the Sacerdotal Catalogue do not communicate, when there is an Oblation, let him be suspended from Communion, except he have a just Excuse, as one that gives Offence to the People, and as reflecting on him that makes the Oblation, as if he did not perform it as he ought.

Oblation here, and throughout these Canons signifies the Holy Action of Consecrating, and offering the Sacramental Body and Bloud of Christ. See Note on Can. 2d.

40. * 7. It is fit that all Communicants, who come into the Holy Church of God and hear the Scriptures, but do not stay for Prayers and the Holy Communion, be suspended from Communion, as occasioning Confusion in the Church.

Communicants, throughout this Book stands for the *Greek* *μεσδς*, which tho' it be commonly render'd *faithful*, yet I think is at least for the most part more commodiously rendred *Communicants*, i. e. those Christians, who have been Baptized, and Confirmed, and admitted to Communion, as distinguish'd from the Clergy, Catechumens, and Penitents.

41. * 8. If any one pray together with one that is out of Communion, tho' in a private House, let him be suspended from Communion.

42. * 9. If any Clergy-man join in Prayer with a Deposed Clergy-man, as a Clergy-man let him be Deposed.

Code of the Primitive Church.

As a Clergy-man, i. e. as owning his Ministrations to be valid, or allowable. The Deposed Clergy-man was to be treated as a Lay-man in all respects.

* 10. If any Clergy-man, or Lay-man, being suspended from Communion, or † Excommunicated for some gross Crime, and not yet admitted to Penance, go out of his own City and be received in another without Commendatory Letters, let them who receive him be suspended from Communion, and he, who is so received; but if he were before suspended, let the time of his Suspension be lengthen'd, because he has put a Fallacy upon the Church of God.

† Gr. *ἀνακλησάτω*. See *Can. Nic. 11. in Not. & Can. St. Basil 81.*

The Use of Letters Commendatory was very early in the Church; St. Paul mentions them, *2 Cor. iii. 1.* And 'tis not easy to be conceived, how Discipline can be restored, but by the reviving of this Practice. 'Tis surely Irregular to admit all Chance-comers to the Communion, who, for ought we know, may stand Excommunicated by their own Bishop; of the Difference between Commendatory, and Pacific and Formal Letters. See *Can. Calc. 11. Apost. 25, 26. Ant. 6. Sardic. 13.*

From this Canon it appears, that the Word *ἀνακλησάτω* signifies in these Canons only to be suspended from Communion for some certain time; for if the Person going to another City had before been Excommunicated indefinitely, how could the time of his Excommunication have been lengthen'd. See *Can. 17.*

14.

* 11. Let not a Bishop be allowed to leave his own Parish, and leap into another, tho' he be violently importuned by many, without some just Cause compelling him to do so, on the Account of his Ability to bring greater Advantage to the People there by his godly Doctrine; and [let this be done] not of his own Head, but by the Judgment of many other Bishops, and at their most earnest Entreaty.

Parish, so I render *παροικία*, because 'tis evident, that this *English* Word is deriv'd from that *Greek* one; but the Reader is to observe, that it does in all the *Greek* Canons denote what we now call a *Diocese*. See *Can.* 12, 27.

Neither this, nor any other Canon does absolutely condemn *Translations* of Bishops, especially when done for the good of the Church; nor can I think it a fault for a Bishop, who by his Charity and Generosity has reduced himself in a poor *Diocese* to accept of a *Translation* to a richer, in order to enable himself to do more good, or perhaps to do Justice to his Creditors.

'Tis certain, as things now stand, that a poor Bishop is capable of doing very little good in his Station.

15, 16.

12. If a Priest, or Deacon, leaving his own Parish, go to and continue in another, without his Bishop's Consent, let him only Communicate as a Lay-man: and if the Bishop to whom he goes receive him as a Clergy-man, and persist in so doing, let him be suspended from Communion, as being a Master of Mis-rule. See *Can. Caled.* 10. *Afr.* 54.

Here

Here observe, that he who goes from one *Parish* to another is supposed to go also from one Bishop to another, there being no such thing in those early times as a Priest acting independently of any Bishop, and the Bishop and Clergy living together in a Body in the City, or other Capital Place of the Diocese.

13. He who after his being Baptized has been involved in two Marriages, or has kept a Concubine, cannot be a Bishop, or Clergy-man.

17.

Whether ὁ διὰ τῶν δύο συμπλακῶν should not have been rendered, *He that has been at once involved in two Marriages*, I will leave to the Critics to determine. I must confess I am inclined to take the Words in this Sense, especially because there had been no occasion to prohibit him from being a Clergy-man who had successively married two Sisters (See *1 an. 15.*) if all were unqualified for the Clergy, who had been Married twice successively to any two Women.

14. He that Marries a Widow, or one that is divorced, or a Harlot, or a Servant, or an Actress cannot be a Bishop or Clergy-man.

18.

To Marry a Widow was not reputable among the Ancients. See *Grotius* on *Lev. xxi. 13, 14.* and *Whitby* on *1 Tim. v. 9.* and the same may be said of Marrying any other sort of Women here mentioned: therefore no wonder if the Primitive Church forbid it to all Clergy-men, who were in an especial manner bound to follow after things of good report, and to give no Offence either to Jews or Gentiles.

15. He

19. 15. He that Marries two Sisters, or his Neece, cannot be a Bishop or Clergy-man.

20. * 16. Let the Clergy-man, who gives Security for any one, be Deposed.

This may at first sight seem a severe Canon, especially considering that a Clergy-man being Deposed in the Primitive Church was incapable of being restored: but I suppose the Reasons of this Canon might be to hinder Clergy-men from impoverishing themselves by their Indiscretion; and that the Church might not be deprived of their Service, as it must be if they proved insolvent, and were taken into Custody: And further it might be thought hard, that Clergy-men should pay other Mens Debts out of the Church-Stock; for it may be justly supposed, that the Generality of the Clergy had no other ways, or means of subsisting, or paying Debts. Some understand this Canon of those, who made it a Trade, and an Art of filthy Lucre to offer themselves Security for others, and so involv'd themselves in Law Business, and a Secular Way of Living.

21, 22,
23, 24.

17. He who is made an Eunuch by the Injury of others, or is born so, if he be worthy to be a Bishop, let him be one: But let not him who makes himself an Eunuch be a Clergy-man; if he be a Clergy-man, let him be Deposed; if he be a Lay-man, let him be suspended from Communion for three Years.

This

This
Valefi
Centu
Bisho
posed
ginnin
Canon
nu is
by a
munic
tution
c. 16.
short
they
for a
sons v
time,
riors;
so Ex
21, 2

18.
if he
Thes
Comm
to or

† T
sonab
for or
itself
reason
be b
becau
(tis c
twice
Word
scribe

This Canon was probably made against the *Valeſian* Hereſy, which prevailed in the Second Century. And further, 'tis not improbable, as Biſhop *Beveridge* obſerves, that *Origen* was depoſed for this unnatural praſtiſe about the beginning of the Third Century, by Virtue of this Canon, for *Three Years*. *Cotelerius* after *Morinus* is of opinion, that theſe Words were added by a later hand, the longeſt time for Excommunication mention'd in the Apoſtolical Conſtitutions being but Seven Weeks. See *L. Conſt.* 2. c. 16. Before *Montanus* Suſpenſions were for a ſhort time; between his and *Novatus's* time they ſcarce exceeded Two Years; afterwards for a much longer Term. But ſometimes Perſons were Excommunicated not for any certain time, but 'till they complied with their Superiors; of this ſee an Inſtance, *Can.* 29. See alſo Examples of Total Excommunication, *Can.* 21, 22.

18. Let a Biſhop, Prieſt, or Deacon, 25, 26
if he be caught in Fornication, Perjury or Theft, be Depoſed, but † *not ſuſpended from Communion*; and ſo likewise [let it be done] to other Clergy-men.

† The reaſon of this was, that it was unreaſonable that any one ſhould be twice Punished for one Crime, as is intimated in the Canon itſelf: For the Canon at large gives us this reaſon why the peccant Clergy-men ſhall not be both Depoſed and Excommunicated, viz. becauſe the Scripture ſays, *God will not revenge.* ('tis commonly by miſtake *ἐκδίκησεν* for — *ἔσται*) *twice for the ſame thing by afflicting [thee.]* The Words are by the Framers of the Canon tranſcribed from *Nab.* 1. 9. according to the LXX.
Our

Our English Translation is, *Affliction shall not rise up the second time.* And tho' these Words are by some thought to be mis-applied, yet I cannot be of that opinion. I suppose the Prophet upon foretelling the Destruction of *Nineveh*, comforts the *Jews* with this Promise, that the Hostile City which had been so injurious to God's People being destroyed, God would no more punish them for those Sins, for which He formerly sent *Senacherib* from *Nineveh* to harra's them: And Man cannot follow a better Pattern than that of God himself.

N. B. *Dionysius Exiguus* divides this Canon into Two. *Balsamon*, and the other *Scholias* do not follow him in this particular; therefore they who use these Canons as Published by them, will find, that from this Canon forward their Division does not agree with either of those which I observ'd. The 27th with *Dion. Exig.* and the 19th according to the Account which I use, is the 26th with *Balsamon*.

37.

19. Of those who enter Batchelors into the Clergy, We order, that Readers and Singers only do Marry afterwards, if they so please. See Can. Calced. 14.

By this Canon it appears,

1. That Marriage was no impediment of Holy Orders in the Ancient Church, as 'tis now in the Church of *Rome*; for a Married Clergy-man cohabiting with his Wife might be Ordained Bishop, says *Balsamon*, till the Council of *Trull*: Whereas in the present Church of *Rome* none can be Ordained *Sub-deacon* till he have dismiss'd his Wife.

2. That if they took the Superior Orders in a State of Celibacy, 'twas the effect of their

OWN

own choice; they were under no constraint in this point.

3. That it does not appear by the express Words of this Canon, that if any in those called *Sacred* or *Superior* Orders did Marry, they were therefore to be Deposed. In the mean time there was good reason for the Church to disapprove the Marriage of the Clergy in those Ages, because of the Persecutions which they had reason daily to expect, while they lived under Heathen Emperors: This *St. Paul* calls *the present distress*; for which he says, *'tis good for a Man not to marry*, 1 Cor. vii. 26. When Persecution was at an end, the great reason of these Canons, which discountenance the Marriage of Clergy-men ceased; and yet after these times of Peace the Canons grew more severe; and what was at first discouraged out of necessity, was at last forbid out of Superstition and Worldly Policy. See Can. 43.

20. We charge that a Bishop, Priest or Deacon, that beats the faithful when they have committed a fault, or the Infidels when they do wrong, be Deposed.

28

This Canon seems only an Exposition of that of *St. Paul*, *a Bishop must be no striker*. 1 Tim. iii. 3.

* 21. If any Bishop, Priest or Deacon, being justly Deposed for open Crimes, be so bold as to meddle with the *Liturgy* formerly entrusted with him, let him be wholly cut off from the Church.

29

Liturgy here signifies all Holy Offices performed by Bishops, Priests and Deacons; and perhaps

perhaps the Holy Books which contained the Forms of Publick Administrations.

30.

* 22. If any Bishop, Priest or Deacon, obtained his Dignity by Money, let him, and he who Ordained him, be Deposed, and wholly cut off from Communion, as *Simon Magus* was by *Peter*.

Here you have an Example of an indefinite and full Excommunication, call'd *Cutting off*: They who would have these Canons made by the Apostles themselves, say here, *by me Peter*.

Further it may be observed, that tho' for common Immoralities Clergy-men were only to be Deposed; yet for *Simony*, and not submitting to Ecclesiastical Censures, Ordained Persons were to be wholly Excommunicated. Indeed, in case of *Simony*, it may be said, that he who obtained Orders by this means, his Orders were null *ab initio*; and so there was no Punishment severe enough to be inflicted on the Delinquent but Excommunication. If he who was Deposed would not submit to that Censure, there was no Remedy left but total Excommunication: This therefore was a piece of Discipline absolutely necessary; nor could the Delinquent justly alledge that he was punish'd twice for one Crime: For he was Deposed for Immorality; but Excommunicated for Obstinacy in not submitting to his former Punishment.

It should seem that *Simony* had crept into the Church in those early Days; He indeed who desired to be Ordained had a greater Temptation to Purchase Orders after Churches were well endowed, than during those Times of Persecution: But the Bishop who Ordained was more liable to be tempted to take Money, while

Bishopricks

Bish
sent
is sai
Chu
of w
sure
ance
want
Holy

2.
Secu
let-
Con
cate

By
that
Depu
nen
the
Case
the I
But
Sam
the
in hi
Depo
in hi
M

Non-
non
disca
diffe
Chri

2
gath

Bishopricks were very poor (which is the present Case of the *Greek Church*, where *Simony* is said to reign.) And tho' the Dignities of the Church were then of small Value in comparison of what they were afterwards; yet we may be sure that they afforded a comfortable Subsistence: and therefore Purchasers would not be wanting, if Bishops were so base as to expose Holy Things to Sale.

23. If any Bishop making use of the Secular Power, do thereby obtain a Church, let him be Deposed, and Suspended from Communion; as they also who Communicate with him.

314

By the *Secular Power* we are to understand that of the Heathen *Roman Emperors*, or their Deputies, and it was a shameful thing for Clergymen to apply to such Persons in opposition to the Church. We are indeed sure that in one Case, even the Orthodox Bishops did apply to the Heathen Emperor *Aurelian*, about *A. D. 270*. But it was in opposition to the Heretick *Paulus Samosatenus*, that he might be removed from the Bishoprick of *Antioch*, and *Domnus* placed in his stead; but it was after *Paul* had been first Deposed, and *Domnus* been Elected and Ordained in his stead by a Synod of Bishops.

Mr. *Calamy* gives us a cast of his *Moderate Non-Conformity* when he tells us, that if this Canon took place, our English Bishops were at once discarded: For he (charitable Man) could see no difference between a Heathen Emperor, and a Christian Prince. See *Calam. Def. Part 1. p. 115*.

24. If any Priest, despising his Bishop, gather a Separate Congregation, and Erect another

323

another Altar, being not able to Convi~~ct~~ his Bishop of any thing contrary to Godliness and Righteousness; let him, and the Clergy-men that conspire with him, be Deposed, and the Lay-men be Suspended from Communion, after a Third Admonition from the Bishop.

By this Canon it appears, that there was yet but one Altar or Place of Assembly, for the most part, in every Diocese; and that the Bishop and Clergy lived together in a Body, and constant Communion with each other.

Further, He that would Convi~~ct~~ his Bishop, must do it before the Metropolitan in Synod, as appears by the 27th Canon: For Priests were never permitted to Sit Judges on their Bishop. This Canon is earnestly recommended to the Consideration of Dissenters of all Sorts; and let them shew, how 'tis possible to maintain Unity without the observation of it.

33.

25. If any Priest or Deacon be Suspended from Communion by his Bishop, let him not be received [into Communion] by any other, except that Bishop chance to die. *See Can. 10.*

34.

26. Let no strange Bishop, Priest or Deacon, be received [to Communion] without Letters Commendatory; which Letters are to be Examined, and they who bring them admitted to Communion, if they are found to be *Preachers of Righteousness*; if otherwise, let them be entertained, but not admitted to Communion.

The
New
Clerg
Chur
Bosine
dence

27

to o
and c
nothi
sent;
whic
Cour
is Ch
dinar

The
tropo
'tis e
vince,
exerc
who
may
tropo
He
was a
posed
Can.

28

in Ci
and
out C
belon
Orda

The *Hospitality* so much commended in the New Testament, is the Entertaining of those Clergy-men, and others, who came from other Churches with *Commendatory Letters*, and upon Business, or for maintaing a mutual Correspondence between the Christians of divers Cities.

27. The Bishops of every Province ought to own him who is Chief among them, and esteem him as their Head, and to do nothing extraordinary without his Consent; but every one those things only, which concern his own Parish, and the Country subject to it: Nor let him [that is Chief Bishop] do any thing [Extraordinary] without the Consent of all.

35.

Tho' there was no such Title as that of Metropolitan, or Arch-Bishop, in those Ages, yet 'tis evident, that there was one in every Province, that had the same Power, which is now exercised by our *Primates*, or Arch-Bishops. He who desires further information in this Point, may Read Bishop *Beveridge's* Treatise, *De Metropolitanis*, in his Defence of these Canons.

Here is a Demonstration, that the old *Parishes* was a *Diocese* as we now speak: For it is supposed to have a *Country belonging to it*. See *Can. 11.*

28. Let not a Bishop presume to Ordain in Cities and Villages not subject to him; and if he be Convicted of doing so without Consent of those to whom such Places belong, let him, and those whom he has Ordained, be Deposed.

36.

29 If

37.

29. If any one being Ordained Bishop, do not accept the Office and Care of the People entrusted with him, let him be Suspended from Communion till he do accept it: But if he go, and be not accepted by reason of the perverseness of the People, let him remain Bishop; but let the Clergy of that City be Suspended from Communion, because they have not been Correctors of a refractory People.

This Canon supposes that it was in the breast of the Bishops, and not of the People, to supply a vacant See, by Electing a new Bishop.

The reasons why the Clergy were to be censured when the People were refractory, were,

1. Because the People were in the Primitive Times very much at the direction and devotion of the Clergy; and therefore if they did not accept their Bishop, it was presumed, that the Clergy were the occasion of it.

2. To Suspend the Clergy from Communion, was in effect to Suspend the People too: For they could not Communicate without Priests; and it has always been against the Laws of the Church, to Excommunicate the Multitude.

38.

* 30. Let there be a Synod of Bishops twice every Year, the First on the 4th Week after *Easter*, and the other on the 12th Day of *October*, and let them Determine all Doctrines of Religion among themselves, and put an end to all Ecclesiastical Controversies that may happen. See Can. Nic. 5. Ant. 20.

Here

Here and elsewhere Provincial Synods are called the Synods of Bishops; and this Provincial Synod was the *Dernier Resort*, from which there lay no Appeal in the Primitive Church.

* 31. Let the Bishop have the care of all Ecclesiastical Goods, which he ought to dispense, as being under the Divine Inspection: Let it not be allow'd him to purloin any of them, or to bestow them on his Kindred, whom, if they be Poor, he ought to relieve, as he do's the rest of the Poor; but let him not on their account expose to Sale what belongs to the Church.

39

32. Let the Priests and Deacons do nothing without the knowledge and consent of the Bishop; for with him the People of God are entrusted, and of him the account will be demanded.

40

St. Ignatius gives us the sense of this Canon, when he says, that *the Priest must not make an Oblation, nor Baptize, nor Celebrate a Love-Feast, without the Bishop's allowance.* The Priests who are by Institution from the Bishop, sent and Commissioned to perform all Priestly Offices in any place, cannot be said to act contrary to this Canon.

33. Let those Goods which are the Bishops Personal Property, if he have any, be distinguish'd from those which belong to the Lord; that when he Dies he may have the Liberty of leaving his own Goods to whom, and in what manner he pleases:

For

For he may have a Wife and Children, or Kindred, or Servants.

Dionys. Exig. makes but One Canon of the last Two.

Kuetzing, Things belonging to the Lord, were the Holy Vessels for the Sacraments, Utensils and Books of Divine Offices. See *Can. Calc.* 22. *Antioch.* 24.

41.

34. We give Order, that the Bishop have the Goods of the Church in his Power, to dispense them by the Hands of the Priests and Deacons to them that are in want: and let him take share of such things as he wants for the supply of himself, and his Brethren, that come from other Churches; that they may by no means be under any want.

42, 43.

* 35. Let the Bishop, Priest or Deacon, who spends his time in Dice and Drinking, either desist, or be Deposed; the Sub-Deacon, Reader, Singer or Lay-man, be Suspended from Communion.

Cicero totally forbids Dice to Persons of Condition and Education, *Offic. L. 1. c. 42.* yet *Cato* plaid at Dice, says *Plutarch* in his Life; so did *Augustus*, if we may believe *Suetonius*; i. e. they plaid *negotium*, for Pastime, not for Lucre's sake.

44.

* 36. Let the Bishop, Priest or Deacon, who demands Usury of those to whom he Lends, desist, or be Deposed.

This Canon does not forbid Usury to Lay-men, as it would undoubtedly have done if Usury had been

been tho
as being
especiall

37. I
that on
ed from
them t
be Dep

The
to guar
Heretick
it. The
would n
is very
stians ha

38.
or Dea
the O
fed.

Oblat
and so
was con
The
or Dea

39.
tize on
before
has bee
be Dep
ction l

Pollu
See Ca

Code of the Primitive Church.

22.

been thought unlawful ; but to the Clergy only as being a thing of ill fame. See *Can. 14.* and especially *Can. Nic. 17.*

37. Let the Bishop, Priest or Deacon, that only prays with Heretics, be suspended from Communion ; but if he suffer them to Officiate as Clergy-men, let him be Deposed.

45.

The Primitive Church took all possible Care to guard itself and Members against Heresy and Hereticks, and there was very great Reason for it. The Story of St. *John* the Apostle, who would not wash in the same Bath with *Cerinthus*, is very remarkable to this purpose ; then Christians had a Zeal for Divine Truth.

38. We order, that the Bishop, Priest or Deacon, who has received Baptism, or the Oblation from an Heretic, be Deposed.

46.

Oblation or *Sacrifice* ; for the *Greek* is *θυσια*, and so the Sacrament of Christ's Body and Blood was commonly Called of old. See *Can. 2.*

The Words may be turn'd, *the Bishop, Priest or Deacon, who allows the Baptism, &c. of Heretics.*

39. If a Bishop or Priest do again Baptize one who has really received Baptism before : or if he do not Baptize one that has been polluted by wicked Men, let him be Deposed as one that makes no Distinction betwixt Priests and Pretenders.

47.

Polluted by the wicked, i. e. Baptized by Heretics
See *Can. 38.*

THE

This is the Canon of St. Cyprian, who asserted that the Baptism of Hereticks of all sorts was null and void, and had on his side all the African Fathers, and most of the Greeks of that Age. St. Austin afterwards introduced a Distinction which has much prevailed since his time, viz. that the Baptism of Heretics was null, if not performed in the Name of Father, Son, and Holy Ghost; but that such Baptism is good, by whomsoever performed. Yet the Trullan Fathers oppose this Canon of St. Cyprian, Can. Trul. 2. One would think that this Doctrine of Re-baptizing Hereticks prevailed at Rome in the time of Dionysius Exiguus; for it is certain that his Collection of Canons, of which this was one, was received in that Church: but we know that in the Third Century, Stephen Bishop of Rome, and his Italian Bishops, did violently oppose St. Cyprian and the Africans in this Point.

48. 40. If any Lay-man rejecting his own Wife take another, or a Woman divorced by another Man, let him be suspended from Communion.

49. 41. If any Bishop or Priest, do not Baptize into the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost; but into Three [Persons] without beginning, Three Sons, or Three Paraclets, let him be Deposed.

Three Persons without Beginning, i. e. Three Fathers. The Athanasian Creed reflects on these Heretics, when it teaches us, *that there is one Father, not three Fathers, one Son, not three, &c.* for what is so wild that some Heretics have not dared to assert?

42. form the
[or Sacrament]
Death
our Lord
but into
Ghost.
three A

Bishop
Followe
Baptized
for us;
Canon m

The c
answer
no won
and a F
own No
heartily
to cont
Christ h
forming
Faith, I
sion.

This
Ex

43. any of
from M
for Mo
let him
cast ou
a Lay-r

42. If any Bishop or Priest do not perform the three Ablutions of one Mystery [or Sacrament,] but one Ablution into the Death of Christ, let him be Deposed; for our Lord said not *Baptize into my Death*, but *into the Name of Father, Son, and Holy Ghost*. Ye therefore, O Bishops, make three Ablutions.

50.

Bishop Beveridge shews that *Praxeas* and his Followers, in the close of the Second Century, Baptized into *one* only, viz. Christ, who died for us; and therefore against these Hereticks this Canon might probably be made, L. 2. C. 6.

The Original Form of Baptism is a most unanswerable Argument against all Anti-Trinitarians, no wonder therefore if they opposed a Practice, and a Form of Baptism so contradictory to their own Notions: and on the other side all who heartily believe a Divine Trinity ought earnestly to contend for retaining of that Form, which Christ himself instituted, and that Mode of performing it, which is most agreable to that Faith, I mean the Trine Immersion, or Affusion.

This is the last Canon translated by Dionysius Exiguus, and received into the Latin Code.

43. If any Bishop, Priest, Deacon, or any of the Sacerdotal Catalogue do abstain from Marriage, and Flesh, and Wine, not for Mortification, but out of Abhorrence, let him amend, or else be Deposed, and cast out of the Church, and so also shall a Lay-man.

51.

In the Second Century the *Encratites*, *Satur-ninus*, and *Tatian* disallowed of Marriage, and the Eating of Flesh; and the last abhorred Wine to such a Degree, that he consecrated nothing but Bread and Water for the Eucharist.

Here you see the happy Temper of the Primitive Bishops who would not debar themselves, or others from the use of Marriage, any more than from Wine or Flesh.

One would think this Canon was made before the 19th. No wonder that the Church of Rome have always had an Aversion to these Canons; for the Doctrines and Practices aimed at here, and in some of the following Canons, were, by the time of *Dionysius Exiguus*, if not before, receiv'd, or at least encouraged in that Church.

52. 44. If any Bishop or Priest do not receive one that turns from his Sins, let him be Deposed, as one that is a Grief to Christ.

53. 45. If a Bishop, Priest or Deacon do not use Flesh and Wine on Festival Days, let him be Deposed as one that has a fear'd Conscience, and is a Cause of Scandal.

They who abstained from Wine and Flesh did it out of an Opinion that the Creation was evil, and that the World was not made by the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ.

Festivals in the Ancient Church were the Lord's-Day, the Sabbath Day, and the Commemorations of their Martyrs. See *Can. Laod.* 49, 51.

54. 46. If a Clergy-man be taken Eating in a Victualling-House, except in a Journey, let

let hi

* 4

calun

For it

of the

* 4

a Prie

from C

'Tis

Church

Bishops

dered,

both in

did in

Opinion

* 49

do bitt

the use

or has

let him

* 50

care of

instruct

pended

sist in

51. I

ply any

et him

and if h

* 52.

n the C

earing

ng of C

posed.

let him be Suspended from Communion.

* 47. If any Clergy-man do unjustly calumniate a Bishop, let him be Deposed: 55.
For it is written, *Thou shalt not speak evil of the RULER OF THY PEOPLE.*

* 48. If any Clergy-man do calumniate a Priest or Deacon, let him be Suspended from Communion. 56.

'Tis not to be wondred that the Primitive Church was so very tender of the Reputation of Bishops, Priests and Deacons, if it be considered, that the success of all their Ministrations, both in regard to their own People and Infidels, did in a very great measure depend upon the Opinion that others had of them.

* 49. If any Clergy-man, or Lay-man, do bitterly reproach any one that wants the use of his Limbs, or is deaf, or blind, or has any Infirmary in his Legs or Feet, let him be Suspended from Communion. 57.

* 50. If any Bishop or Priest neglect the care of the Clergy and People, and do not instruct them in Religion, let him be Suspended from Communion; and if he persist in his neglect, Deposed. 58.

* 51. If any Bishop or Priest do not supply any of the Clergy if they be in want, let him be Suspended from Communion, and if he persist, Deposed. 59.

* 52. If any one do publicly Read in the Church the Books of impious Men, bearing false Inscriptions, to the perverting of Clergy and People, let him be Deposed. 60.

By *impious Men*, here we are to understand Hereticks, who when they could not defend their Opinions by the genuine Scriptures, forged new ones: Such were the Gospel of *St. Jude*; the Travels of *St. Paul*, and *Tecla*, &c.

61. * 53. If any Communicant upon Information preferr'd against him, have been Convicted of Fornication or Adultery, or any forbidden Practice, let him not be admitted into the Clergy.

62. 54. If any Clergy-man out of the fear of Man, whether Jew, Heathen or Heretick, deny the Name of Christ, let him be cast out [of the Church;] if [he deny] the Name of a Clergy-man, let him be Deposed; and if he repent, let him be receiv'd as a Lay-man.

Cotelerius's Copy has here ἀποριζέτω, instead of ἀποβαλλέτω; but that this latter is the true Reading, appears not only from the Tenor of the Canon, but from *Balsamon*, *Zonaras* and *Aristenus*, who did so read and understand it.

63. 55. If any Bishop, Priest or Deacon, or any of the Sacerdotal Catalogue, eat Flesh with the Bloud, which is the Life thereof, or what is killed by a Beast, or dies of it self, let him be Deposed; and if a Lay-man, let him be Suspended from Communion.

This is an Enforcement of the Apostolical Decree, *Act. xv.* which was long observed in all Churches; and it was reasonable it should, so long as the Heathen Religion prevailed, as it did

did
very
Eadie
bid
the
with
in the
gion
in th
opini
him
those
neib
eat n

*
ing
bath
fed;
pend
and

The
lower
never
at lea
very z
which
was k
West.
himse
in Le
fast o
(which
So you
self to
no bod
you.

did when these Canons were framed: for it is very probable, as Dr. Spencer argues, that the Eating of Bloud and Strangled Animals was forbid in regard to the Heathen Superstitions, that the Christians might not Symbolize too much with them: but this Observation was kept up in the Church long before the Old Heathen Religion was Extinct; and is, or lately was retained in the Eastern Churches. If any one be still of opinion, that Bloud ought not to be eat, let him Enjoy it for me: only let him not censure those who use their Christian Liberty: for neither if we eat are we the better, nor, if we eat not, are we the worse.

* 56. If any Clergy-man be found Fasting on the Lord's Day, or on any Sabbath-Day except one, let him be Deposed; and if a Lay-man, let him be suspended from Communion. See *Can. 45* and *Can. Gangr. 18.*

64.

The one Sabbath-Day on which Fasting is allowed is *Easter-Eve*. This Canon is what could never go down with the *Romanists*; they have, at least ever since the Time of *Innocent I.* been very zealous for their Saturday-Fast: 'tis probable which those Heresies, in opposition to the Sabbath was kept as a Festival, never prevailed in the West. The Rule by which *St. Ambrosius* govern'd himself in this particular deserves to be written in Letters of Gold, viz. *When I go to Rome, I fast on the Sabbath, when I am here at Milan, (which followed the Eastern Practice) I do not. So you, to whatever Church you come, conform your self to it's Customs; if you desire to give offence to no body, and that no body should give offence to you.*

Mr. Calamy gives us a broad hint of the Opinion he has of this Canon as if it were groundless and Tyrannical, without considering that it was designed against as gross a Heresy as ever infected the Christian Church; I mean that of asserting that the World was made by an evil God, and that therefore the Day, on which the Creation was finish'd was to be kept as a Fast.

65.

* 57. If a Clergy-man go into a Synagogue of Jews or Hereticks to pray, let him be Deposed; if a Lay-man, let him be suspended from Communion.

66.

58. If a Clergy-man in a Quarrel strike any one and kill him, let him be Deposed, tho' it were with the first Blow; if a Lay-man, let him be suspended from Communion.

N. B. In the *Apostolical Canons* publish'd by Tillius and Bishop Beveridge, these two Canons are placed Can. 56, 64. and so that Canon in their Account is the 65th.

67.

* 59. If any one force a Virgin whom he keeps, if she be not engaged [to another Man] let him be suspended from Communion; but let him not be allowed to take another, but retain her whom he had chose, tho' she be poor. See *Can. Chal.* 27.

If the Virgin had been Engaged to another, then say the Scholiasts, the Raptor had been guilty of Adultery; and the Virgin must of right have belong'd to the Man to whom she was pre-engaged.

* 60. If

* 60. If any Bishop, Priest or Deacon be Re-ordained, let him be Deposed; as likewise he who ordained him, unless it appear that he received his [first] Ordination from Hereticks: for they, who are Baptized or Ordained by them, are neither Clergymen nor Communicants.

68,

* 61. If any Bishop, Priest, Deacon, Reader or Singer do not keep the Holy Fast of *Lent* Forty Days before *Easter*; or the *Wednesdays* or *Fridays*, let him be Deposed, if he be not hindred by some Bodily Infirmary; but if he be a Lay-man, let him be suspended from Communion.

69,

Bishop *Beveridge* has shew'd that *Lent* was observed in the Second Century, and that the *Wednesdays* and *Fridays* Fast was probably observed even in the time of the Apostles; for there is mention of the *Stationary Days* in *Herm. Pastor*.

Here probably you have all the Degrees of Clergy that were in the Eastern Church when these Canons were framed.

* 62. If any Bishop or other Clergy-man Fast, or Feast with the *Jews*, or accept any Doles, or Presents of unleavened Bread, or the like from their Feasts, let him be Deposed; and if a Lay-man, suspended from Communion.

70,

* 63. If any Christian carry Oil to the Temples of the Heathen, or Synagogues of the *Jews*, or light Candles at their Feasts, let him be suspended from Communion.

71,

72.

* 64. If any Clergy-man or Lay-man take Wax or Oil out of Holy Church, let him be suspended from Communion, † and make Restitution with the Addition of a Fifth Part.

† The following Words are not extant in Bishop *Beveridge's* Edition, nor that of *Tilius*.

73.

* 65. Let no one purloin to his own Use any of the Sacred Utenfils, whether of Silver, Gold, or Linen; and if any one be taken doing so, let him be punish'd with Suspension from Communion, for 'tis a flagitious thing.

'Tis not to be wonder'd if they had in some Churches Golden Chalices, in the Second and Third Century, when Men thought no Cost too great for the Service of God. *Lucian*, who wrote in the Second Century, is suppos'd in his *Philopatriis* to upbraid the Christians for holding their Assemblies in an Edifice gilded or adorn'd with Gold, *κίον· χρυσοῦρον*; and *Prudentius* in the Third Century introduces *St. Lawrence's* Persecutors, as insulting the Christian Bishops for making their Libations in Gold, and using Golden Candelsticks in their Nocturnal Assemblies; for the Christians were not always under Persecution.

74.

66. If a Bishop be accused by Credible Persons, that are Communicants, let him be cited by the Bishops; and if he appear and Plead, and be convicted, let Sentence be pass'd: but if he do not obey the Summons, let him be cited by two Bishops, a second time; and if he do not
then

then
he b
ing,
tence

67
Evid
tho'
ptur
Witn

68
[for
terri
Son,
just
Inhe
tion
that
Com

69
Eye
let
blind
in p

70
man
nicar
him
if he

71
Prof
shou
any
cour

Th

then appear, a third time; and if then he be guilty of Contempt, in not appearing, let the Synod pronounce such a Sentence against him, as they think fit.

67. Admit not a Heretick to give in Evidence against a Bishop, nor any one, tho' he be a Communicant: For the Scripture saith, *In the mouth of two or three Witnesses every Word shall be establish'd.*

75.

68. A Bishop is not allow'd to Ordain [for a Successor] whom he pleases, by con-
ferring his Episcopall Dignity on a Brother, Son, or any other Relation: For 'tis unjust that a Bishoprick should descend by Inheritance. Let therefore such Ordination be null and void, and [the Bishop that is guilty of it] be Suspended from Communion.

76.

69. If any one have an Infirmary in his Eye or Leg, but deserve to be a Bishop, let him be so; but not if he be deaf or blind, lest this be an Impediment to him in performing Ecclesiastical Offices.

77, 78.

70. Let not a Dæmoniack be a Clergy-man, nor pray together with the Communicants; but, when he is dispossest, let him be receiv'd [to Communion;] and if he deserve it, be [a Clergy-man.]

79.

71. It is not to be allowed, that any Profelyte from Heathenism, being baptiz'd, should presently be made a Bishop; nor any one [lately reclaimed] from a lewd course of Life, unless it be by *Divine Grace.*

80.

This Canon is referr'd to by the 2d of Nice.

I shall explain the last Words of this Canon best by giving the most noted Example of it that is, perhaps, to be met with in History. When there was a Publick Assembly at *Milan*, A. D. 374. for the Election of a Bishop to succeed in the room of *Auxentius*, lately deceas'd, one *Ambrose*, who had then the Temporal Government of that City committed to him by the *Roman* Emperor, came to appease the Heats that arose between the Orthodox and *Arians* on this occasion: And when he had in a long and grave Oration compos'd the Passions and Violence of each Party, they were struck with such an Admiration of his Person and good Qualifications, that they unanimously Voted him to the *Episcopal Throne*, tho' he was but a *Catechumen*, and therefore not yet Baptized; which as soon as the Emperor *Valentinian* understood, he commanded him to be Baptized, and Consecrated Bishop of that See, as being Chosen by a Divine rather than Humane Suffrage. He was accordingly Consecrated, and prov'd a great Ornament both to the See of *Milan*, and to the whole Church of Christ. *Timothy* was Consecrated Bishop of *Ephesus*, by Prophecy, i. e. by Revelation; and so undoubtedly were many more in the Apostolical Times.

¶ Tim. iv.
24.

81.

72. We have before charged, that a Bishop should not descend to Places of Administration in the Civil Government; but let him always be at leisure to do his Ecclesiastical Duty. Let him either obey, or be Deposed.

It can scarce be supposed, that while the Heathen Religion remain'd Establish'd by Law, any one could perform a Command, or execute an Office in the Common Wealth, without doing

ing
Bish
in t
ten
Cau
7
dair
thei
Sub
foun
way
mist
don
W
Case
ted
of m
this
Acci
Insta
yet
lent
large
Bulin
disch
asser
mily
Nam
was
Bisho
Copi
deser
simus
by th
by th
the

ing something in favour of Idolatry: Therefore Bishops were for good reason forbid all Places in the Civil Government; and *Paulus Samosatenus*, A. D. 272. was Deposed for breaking this Canon, as well as for his Heresy.

73. We do not permit Slaves to be Ordained Clergy-men, without consent of their Owners; for this would be to the Subversion of Families; but if any be found deserving, and their Owners give way to it, by making them Free, and dismissing them from their Houses, let it be done.

821

We are not to wonder that Slaves in some Cases should in the Primitive Times be admitted into the Clergy: For it has been the Lot of many extraordinary Persons to be reduced to this Condition by the Fate of War, and other Accidents; *Epictetus* and *Æsop* are Two great Instances of this sort, who tho' they were Slaves, yet were Men of noble Minds, and most excellent Judgment and acuteness; that had Souls large enough for the greatest Command, or Business, that ever any one Man was capable of discharging. *Grotius* does with great probability assert, that *St. Luke* had been a Slave to the Family of the *Lucilij*, and thence had his diminutive Name *Lucas*. However, 'tis certain *Onesimus* was a Slave to *Philemon*; and yet afterwards Bishop of *Coloss*, say some of the Ancients. Most Copies of this Canon have after the Word *deserving*, the following Clause, *viz, as our Onesimus was*; but 'tis certain that *our* was inserted by those who would have these Canons framed by the Apostles, (*See Can. 22.*) and was not in the Ancient Book made use of by the *Arabian* Inter-

Interpreter of these Canons; and some suspect the whole Sentence to have been added.

83. 74. Let the Bishop, Priest or Deacon, who spends his time in any Civil Administration, and affects to retain his Place under the *Roman* Emperor, and his Sacred Ministry too, be Deposed.

Civil Administration, so I turn the *Greek* Word *σημασία*: For we are sure the *Lat. Militia* has this Signification, and that the *Greeks* did *Latinize* very much, especially in Words relating to Government. This Canon is a sufficient Proof that the Word so signifies, at least in this place; for it is used as an equivalent to *ῥωμαίων Ἀρχή*. See Can. 4. 72. Can. *Chalc.* 7.

84. 75. If a Clergy-man do unjustly reproach the Emperor, or any one in Command, let him be Deposed; If a Lay-man, let him be Suspended from Communion.

85. 76. Let every one, both Clergy-men and Lay-men, have by him the Venerable and Holy Bible, i. e. the *Old Testament*, containing the 5 Books of *Moses*; 1 of *Jesús*, Son of *Naue*; 1 of the *Judges*; 1 of *Ruth*; 4 of the *Kings*; 2 of *Paralipomena*; 2 of *Esdras*; 1 of *Esther*; 1 of *Job*; 150 *Psalms*; 3 of *Solomon*, viz. the *Proverbs*, *Ecclesiastes*, *Canticles*; 16 of the *Prophets*: (besides, let it be remembred that Novices be taught the Wisdom of the most Learned *Syrach*.) Of the *New Testament*, the 4 Gospels of *Matthew*, *Mark*, *Luke* and *John*; 14 Epistles of *Paul*; 2 of *Peter*; 3 of *John*; 1 of *Jude*;

Jude
of th

As
never

sent C
reject
of thi

joyns
and fu
stamen

receiv
the A
Ecclesi

only,
For a
Books

bred,
rendre
and se

Judith
Three
and th

a Pap
tion'd
in all

are o
these

that o
that in
tury)

Macca
they w
mentio

liev'd
themse
Canon

Jude; 2 Epistles of Clement; and the Acts of the Apostles.

As the Church of *Rome* in Ancient Times did never receive these ³⁴ last Canons, so the present Church of *Rome* ³⁵ has greater reason still to reject and oppose them, especially for the sake of this Canon, which not only permits, but enjoins the Laity the use of the Holy Scripture; and further, because the Books of the *Old Testament* here rehearsed are those only which are received as Canonical by us Hereticks: For all the *Apocryphal* Books are omitted, except that of *Ecclesiasticus*, which is recommended to Novices only, and mention'd a-part from the *Sacred Code*: For after having reckon'd up the Authentick Books, the Canon adds, *besides let it be remembered, &c.* The Word *ἔξωθεν* might have been rendred *not belonging to*, viz. the *Old Testament*; and so *Cotelerius* takes it. 'Tis true the Book *Judith* is mention'd in *Cotelerius's* Edition and Three Books of *Maccabees*, both in his Copy, and that of Bishop *Beveridge*: But *Cotelerius*, tho' a Papist, yet frankly owns that *Judith* is mention'd only in one Old Manuscript, and omitted in all the rest. And as to the *Maccabees*, they are omitted in the most Ancient Transcript of these Canons that is any where extant; I mean that of *Joannes Antiochenus*; and it is owned, that in the Age in which he lived (the 7th Century) the *Greek Church* had not receiv'd the *Maccabees* into their Canon of Scripture, which they would certainly have done had they been mention'd in this Canon, which they then believ'd to have been framed by the Apostles themselves. *Du Pin* ingenuously owns, that the Canon of the *Old Testament* here mentioned, is agreeable

agreeable to that of *Melito, Euseb. Hist. L. 4. c. 25.* and to that of the *Hebrews*; and consequently to Ours.

It may not be amiss to Advertise the *English* Reader, that by *Jesus the Son of Naue* according to the *Greek* Termination, is meant *Josuah the Son of Nun*, who is called *Jesus* in our *English* Bible, *Act. vii. 45. Heb. iv. 8.* Further, the *Greeks* call the 2 Books of *Samuel*, the First and Second of *Kings*; the 2 Books of *Chronicles*, *Paralipomena*, or *Remains*; and by the 2 Books of *Esdras*, you are to understand those Books, the First of which we call *Ezra*, the Second *Nehemiah*; and indeed the *Hebrews*, as well as *Ancient Greeks*, give them both one Name.

The Two *Epistles of Clement* were of Old read in many Churches, especially the First: They are both extant in that *Alexandrian* Manuscript of the Bible in the Queen's Library, which is supposed to be the most ancient in the World: So that there can be no doubt, but that they were read in that Age and Church, where that Book was written and used.

After the *Epistles of Clement* all Copies now extant, and that used by the Synod of *Trullo*, had these Words added, *And the Constitutions published to you the Bishops by me Clement in 8 Books, which you must not publish to all, because of the Mystical Things contained in them.* Now if these Words were really in the Original Canon, they are to be understood of some Book now lost, or (as the Synod of *Trullo* supposes) of the 8 Books of *Apostolical Constitutions*, which were afterwards miserably vitiated and corrupted by Hereticks; and therefore rejected by the Synod of *Trullo*, in that very Canon by which the *Apostolical Canons* were received. But I rather think that these Words were foisted into the *Apostolical Canon* by

by son
cannot
Judgm
nons
guilty
one, bo
him —
not P
Christ
oblige
Jews
the Na
the fo
Bishop
was no
ginal.

Let
of Re
Book
be rec
the o
Antiq

by some senseless Monkish Impostors : For I cannot think that any Man of Prudence and Judgment, such as the Composers of these Canons do appear to have been, could have been guilty of so great an absurdity, as to say, *Every one, both Clergy-men and Lay-men, must have by him — the Constitutions, &c. which you must not Publish to all;* by *all*, meaning those very Christians, who, by the foregoing Words were obliged to have them in their Hands : For as to *Jews* or *Heathens* none of the Holy Books of the *New Testament* were to be Communicated to the former, or of either Sort to the latter. Bishop *Beveridge* is clearly of opinion, that there was no mention of the Constitutions in the Original.

Let not the Reader be offended that the Book of *Revelations* is not here mentioned ; For no Book was longer doubted of, whether it should be received into the Canon than that, so that the omission of that Book only shews the great Antiquity of these Canons.

THE
C O D E
OF THE
Universal Church.

P R E F A C E.

Cardinal Baronius in his *Annals*, Tom. 3. Anno Christi 341. Numb. 34. had taken notice, that Two Canons of the Synod of Antioch, were evidently cited in the Council of Chalcedon, (Act. iv. 11.) out of some old Collection unknown to us; but without any mention of the Council of Antioch; the First of which Canons, which was read in the 11th Action, is called the 95th, and the other the 96th, in that Code which was then allowed and read in the Synod; when yet these Canons in the Synod of Antioch are Numbred the 16th and 17th: So that it is very clear (as the Cardinal judiciously adds) that these Canons were not quoted out of the Synod of Antioch; but from some Publick Collection approved by the Authority of the Ancients.

Lef.

Lef
Contr
States
hint
the S
Monu
there
der, v
Num
were
cæsar
Gang
up 79
Antio
Num
falls
Counc
shop
of the
the C
in wh
made
had i
vail o
quoted
they
Synod
of Ho
unwa
there
Ch
Lefsch
public
Code

Lefchaffetius in his *Book* concerning the Controversy between *Paul* the 5th and the States of *Venice*, A. D. 1607. improved this hint of the Cardinals, by observing, that in the Synod of *Trullo*, and some other Ancient Monuments, the Canons of the several Synods there Confirmed were reckoned up in this order, viz. 1st. Those of *Nice*, (which were in Number 20.) 2dly. Those of *Ancyra*, (which were in Number 25.) 3dly. Those of *Neocaesarea*, (in Number 14.) 4thly. Those of *Gangra*, (in Number 20.) All which make up 79. In the 5th place, they reckon those of *Antioch*, and by adding 16 to the aforesaid Number 79, you have the Number 95, which falls upon the very Canon then read in the Council of *Chalcedon*; beginning, If any Bishop without a See, &c. which is the 16th of that Synod. And this way of Numbring the Canons, viz. not according to the Order in which they were placed by the Synods that made them, but according to the Place they had in the Publick Code, did so much prevail of Old, that there are Instances of Canons quoted by the Name of *Nicene* Canons, tho' they are known to have been made by other Synods; because those Canons being placed out of Honour to that Synod, all the rest were by unwarry Men supposed to have been framed there too.

Christopher Justellus by this Light which *Lefchaffetius* had given him, and which he publickly and ingenuously owns, Published the Code of the Univerſial Church in the Year

1610;

1610; and has, to a Demonstration, proved that this is the Τάξις, or Ἀκολουθία Κανόνων, the Set or Train of Canons, to which the Ancients do so frequently appeal.

This Code was first drawn up in the Reign of Theodosius the Great, soon after the First Synod of Constantinople, by one Stephen Bishop of Ephesus, whose Name Justellus had seen prefix'd to this Code in the Palatine Library, and contained at first only the Nicene, Ancyran, Neo-cæsarean, Gangran, Antiochian, Laodicæan and Constantinopolitan Canons; the Ephesine and Chalcedonian were afterwards added.

The General Synod of Nice consisted of 318 Bishops, who met together An. Dom. 325, to Condemn the Doctrine of Arius, who, tho' he believed Christ Jesus to have existed before the World, yet denied that he was God, or of the same Substance with God; but asserted, that he was made, as all other Creatures, out of nothing; tho' some of them did afterwards yield, that he was of a like Substance, tho' not the same. This Synod did also determine the time of Easter, not in any Canon, but in a Synodical Epistle, extant in Socrates, L. 1. cap. 9. And farther, they made these following Canons.

Nicene

Nicene Canons.

1. **I**F any one be made an Eunuch by a Physician for any Disease, or by the *Barbarians*, or by any one whom he serv'd as a Slave, he may continue or be admitted into the Clergy; but not if he makes himself an Eunuch, when he was a sound Man.

'Tis very evident by the Fact of *Origen*, and the 17th *Apostolical Canon*, and the several Imperial Laws, which were made to prohibit it, that it was not uncommon in that Age for Men to emasculate themselves, misunderstanding that Text, *Mat. xviii. 8, 9*. One *Leontius* a Priest is said to have done this, that he might with the less Suspicion enjoy the Conversation of a Virgin called *Eustolia*, *Socrat. L. 2. C. 21*. *Balsamon*, who lived toward the latter end of the Twelfth Century, says, that he had known many ask leave of a Synod to castrate themselves, on account of some Disease, after they had been Ordained; for he asserts, that none were allowed to be made Eunuchs, after they were in Orders, without a Licence.

2. Because many things have been done contrary to the Ecclesiastical Church, inso-much that some Men have lately been proselyted to the Faith from a Heathen Course of Life, and having for a while been Catechumens

chumens have been presently Baptized, and thereupon prefer'd to be Bishops, or Priests, It is Decreed, that nothing of this sort be done for the future : and if any after a long time be convicted of any Sensual Sin, let him be dismiss'd from the Clergy. [The Bishop] that does otherwise, shall do it at the peril of his Orders, as one that dare oppose the Great Synod.

By the *Ecclesiastical Canon* is most probably meant 71 *Apostolical Canon*.

80

Sensual Sin; this I take to be the most probable Meaning of *ἡδονὴν ἀειδισίαν*. *Balsamon* and *Zonaras* do hereby understand any gross Crime ; but mention the Opinion of others, viz. that the Heresy of the *Novatians* is here struck at, who refused to Communicate with such as had lapsed in times of Persecution, tho' they did repent ; for this they thought a *Spiritual Pride*, a *Sin of the Soul*.

3. The Great Synod strictly forbids Bishops, Priests and Deacons, and all Clergymen, to retain any * *Woman* in their houses, under pretence of her being a *Disciple* to them ; but only a Mother, Sister, Aunt, or other unsuspected Person.

* So I turn the Greek *συνέπαυσις*, for want of a proper *English* Word to render it by. *Bishop Beveridge*, after *Justellus*, has effectually shew'd that this is the Meaning of it, viz. a Woman kept not for Lust, or breeding of Children, but for Improvement in Religion. Afterwards

wards
they
ritual
Fortu

4.
all t
vinc
must
have
signi
the
wha
trop

5.
prev
ing
Com
a Sy
that
have
grea

L
so,
Obl
abou

+
cial
only
18.

N
Exco
shop
A

wards Women Recluses had such Men whom they conversed with, not for Carnal, but Spiritual Reasons: yet neither of them had the good Fortune to be believ'd.

4. A Bishop ought to be *constituted* by all the Bishops that belong to the Province; but if this be not practicable, Three must by all means meet; and when they have the Consent of those that are absent, signify'd by Letter, then let them perform the *Consecration*, and the Ratification of what is done must be allow'd to the Metropolitan.

5. Let Sentence according to † *Canon* prevail, that Clergy-men, or Lay-men, being Excommunicated be not receiv'd to Communion by others: and let there be a Synod of the Bishops of every Province, that Examination be made whether any have been Excommunicated by the too great Severity, or Rashness of the Bishop.

Let one Synod be held before *Lent*, that so, all Animosity being remov'd, a pure Oblation may be offered to God; the other about *Autumn*.

† That is, *Apostolical Canon* 12. The Provincial Synod is here styled a Synod of Bishops only. See *Can. Ap. 30. Antioch. 20. Chalc. 19. Afr. 18. Trull. 8.* 38

N. B. By the Discipline of the Church of this Age, Excommunication is supposed to be pass'd by the Bishop only; but under Correction of a Synod.

A pure Oblation. See *Can. Apost. 2. & 6.*

* 6. Let

6.

* 6. Let Ancient Customs prevail, [as for Instance] those in *Agypt, Libya, and Pentapolis*: that the Bishop of *Alexandria* have power over all these, since the same is customary for the Bishop of *Rome*. Likewise in *Antioch*, and other Provinces, let the Privileges be secured to the Churches. This is manifest as any thing at all, that if any be made a Bishop, without Consent of his Metropolitan, this Great Synod has determin'd, that such a one ought not to be Bishop. If any two or three, out of Affectation of Dispute, do contradict the Suffrage of the Generality, when duely pass'd according to Ecclesiastical Canon, let the Votes of the Majority prevail.

Ruffinus his Sence of this Canon seems to be very true and clear, tho' in fewer Words than the Canon itself, viz. that the Ancient custom be kept both in *Alexandria* and *Rome*; that he [the Bishop of *Alexandria*] have the Care of *Agypt*; the other [the Bishop of *Rome*] of the Suburbicary Churches, i. e. over all those Places in *Italy, Sicily, Sardinia, Corsica, &c.* over which the Præfekt, or Vicar of the City of *Rome* had Jurisdiction in Temporal Affairs: and even the old *Latin* Paraphrastical Version of these Canons, which was more Ancient than that of *Dionysius Exiguus*, confines the Jurisdiction of the Bishop of *Rome* to the Suburbicary Churches, and so does the *Arabick* Version of *Josephus Aegyptius apud Bever.* tho' in other Words. And not only the Two Editions of Canons already mentioned, but that of *Dionysius Exiguus*, and even *Isidorus Mercator*, call the several Districts in which the Bishops of *Rome* and *Alexandria* exercised their

Juris.

Juris
a Pa
of a
for
triar
Arist
that
had
were
the
tiona
why
vileg
Mel
20
of A
try,
Bish
conf
agai
L
rity
than
call

7
Dig
the
Cuf
tain

A
Adri
salen
call
and
whic
it;

Jurisdiction, *Provinces*; whereas the District of a *Patriarch* was always called his *Diocese*; that of an Inferior Bishop, his *Parish*: And therefore by *Metropolitans* here can't be meant *Patriarchs*, as *Valesius* and even *Bals. Zonar.* and *Aristemus* would have it: tho' it must be own'd, that the *Three Metropolitans* here mention'd had probably the largest *Provinces*; or however were the most remarkable on the account of the largeness of their *Cities*, and had a proportionable Deference paid to them. The reason why such particular care was taken of the Privileges of the Bishop of *Alexandria*, was, that *Meletius* Bishop of *Lycopolis* being Deposed about 20 Years before this Council, by *Peter* Bishop of *Alexandria*, in a Provincial Synod, for Idolatry, and other Crimes, did yet ordain several Bishops and Clergy-men in *Aegypt*, without the consent and in opposition to the Sentence passed against him by the Bishop and Synod.

Let it further be observed, that the Authority of *Metropolitans* must have been much Older than this Synod: For here their Privileges are call'd *Ancient Customs*.

7. Saving to the Metropolitan its proper Dignity, let the Bishop of *Ælia* have the next place of Precedence: because Custom and Ancient Tradition have obtain'd, that he should be Honoured.

Ælia was that City which the Emperor *Ælius Adrianus* built near to that Place where *Jerusalem* formerly stood, and was in after-Ages call'd *Jerusalem*. The Metropolitan was *Cæsarea*, and this Canon does not take away his Authority, which reach'd all *Palastine*, but rather confirms it; only gives the next place to him of *Ælia*.

* 8. As

8.

* 8. As to those who call themselves *Puritans*, If they come over to the Catholick and Apostolick Church, the Holy Synod Decrees, that † *they who are Ordained shall continue in the Clergy*; having first professed in Writing, that they will adhere to the Decrees of the Catholick Church; that is, that they will Communicate with those that have Married a Second time, and such as have lapsed [under Persecution:] when none but they are found to be Ordained in any City or Village, they shall remain in the same Order; but if any come over where there is a Bishop or Priest of the Catholick Church, 'tis clear that the Bishop of the Church ought to retain his Dignity; and he that had been named Bishop by the Puritans, shall have the Honour of a Priest, unless the Bishop think fit to impart to him the Nominal Honour [of a Bishop] Otherwise he shall provide for him the Place of a Village-Bishop, or Priest; that so there may not be Two Bishops in one City.

† Some would have turned these Words, let them remain in the Clergy after they have first received imposition of Hands, after *Dionys Exiguus*, the old Translator, and *Josephus Aegyrius*; but I rather chose to follow *Bals.* and *Zon.* and Bishop *Beveridge*; or rather, the Greek Original.

By the *Puritans* we are to understand the *Novatians*, who would not Commune with the Catholick Church, under pretence that her Communion was Polluted by admitting those to the Sacred Mysteries, who thro' infirmity had sacrificed

sified
upon
row
ment
them
not
that
Mar
Nice
For
ther
ing
Idols
so ze
fore
ted;
to m
Puri
Faith
there
not

9.
Prie
been
and
have
the c

Th
Apost
ed C
migh
was i
were
Depo
them

ficed to Idols in Times of Persecution, tho' they upon the first opportunity expressed their Sorrow and Repentance, and, with St. Peter, lamented their Fall. The Church did not receive them till after a long Penance; the *Novitians* not at all. Afterwards a Humour took them, that they would admit none to Communion, who Married a Second Time. Before the Council of *Nice* the main occasion of their Schism ceased: For when the Emperor was become Christian there was no further apprehension of Mens being forced by the Civil Power to Sacrifice to Idols; and as to the other Point, they never did so zealously insist upon it, as the former: Therefore no doubt but they were generally Converted; and by this Canon the Fathers endeavoured to make room for them in the Church. These *Puritans* were not only Orthodox as to their Faith, but they retained Episcopal Ordination: therefore Orders received amongst them were not look'd upon as null or invalid.

9. Whoever have been promoted to be Priests without Examination, or having been Examined have Confessed their Sins; and yet Men acting contrary to the Canon have laid hands on them; such as these the Canon does not admit of.

The Canon here mentioned, is the ^{13 14 15}
^{17 18 19}
Apost. Can. If such Persons had been allowed Ordination, the Discipline of the Church might have been defeated: For a long Penance was enjoined such Delinquents; but Clergy men were not allowed to be under a long Penance. Deposition was the Censure to be passed upon them.

D

10. What-

10.

10. Whatever Persons having laps'd, have afterwards been Ordained thro' ignorance, or even with the knowledge of those who Ordained them; this shall not prejudice the Canon of the Church: For being discovered they shall be Deposed.

Having laps'd, viz. by Sacrificing to Idols, delivering up their Bibles, &c. The Canon here meant is probably Can. Apost. 54.

62.

11.

11. As to those who have transgressed without necessity, loss of Estate, and the like, during the Tyranny of *Licinius*; as many of them as do ingenuously repent, let them † if they were [formerly] Communicants, spend Three Years amongst the Hearers; for Seven Years they shall be Protestors; but for Two Years they shall Communicate with the People in Prayer, without [being admitted to] the Oblation.

By Transgressing, here is meant Sacrificing to Idols, &c.

My Reader may here once for all observe the several Stations of all that were retainers to the Christian Church. The *Mourners*, *προσκληντες* were such as having been guilty of very gross Crimes were Excommunicated, and not yet admitted to Penance, and therefore were called *αδικοι* in the 81 Canon of St. Basil, and in the 1 Canon of *Peter of Alexandria*; but stood without the Church Doors begging of Clergy and People as they went in, to intercede for them. Sometimes *Catechumens* were by way of Penance obliged to stand among the *Mourners*. See Note on Can. Neo-Ces. 5.

Just

Just within the Church Door stood the *Κατηχούμενοι*, *Catechumens* or *Learners*, such as professed Christianity, but were not Baptized; with them stood the *Hearers*, who were indeed a less perfect Sort of *Catechumens*; namely, such as did not yet desire or intend to be Baptized, but were, as it were, *Seekers* or *Sceptics* in Religion. The Learned *Cotelier* in his *Notes on Const. Apost.* L. 2. c. 34. and L. 8. c. 5. proves, that Heathens were of Old admitted to hear all the Service to the *Missa Catechumenorum*. As soon as any Christian Criminals were admitted to Penance, they were allowed to come and stand amongst these *Hearers*. Behind these also stood the *ἑπὶ τὸν ἕλκοντες* or *Ἐπειγόμενοι*, *Demoniacs*. See *Can. Ancy.* 17. Next above the *Hearers* were the *ὑποπίπτοντες*, *Prostrators*, so call'd, because tho' they were dismiss'd with the *Catechumens*, yet not before they had prostrated themselves before the Bishop, Clergy and Communicants, who also all fell down in Devotion together with them; and then the Bishop rising up did also erect these Penitents, and used a proper Prayer on that Occasion. These were such as had been Communicants, but had been guilty of some gross Crime. Above these were the *Πιστοί*, the *Faithful* or *Communicants*, as I generally turn this Word: together with these were the *Συνιστάμενοι*, *Co-standers*, as I beg leave to call them, till some more proper Name offers it self, who had their places amongst the Communicants, and differed in nothing from them, but on'y this, that they were not admitted to the Holy Mysteries till the time of their Penance was compleated; these are they *who Communicated with the People in Prayer, without being admitted to the Oblation*. The Bema, Chancel, or Chaire, was the most Sacred Part of the Church, in the

middle whereof stood the Altar or Holy Table; and at the East end the Bishop had his Throne, and on each hand of him the Priests had their *Συθρονον* in a Semicircle: the Deacons and other Clergy being in the *Diaconicon*, or Isle on the North-side of the Chancel, or in their several Posts at the Doors, in the *Ambon*, or Desk, or taking their Rounds in the Congregation, to keep a Decorum, and see that all things were duly regulated.

† I here follow the Old *Latin* Version in *Binus*, which was made from a Copy that read *ei msoi*; tho' if you read *oi*, or *oi msoi*, as most do, yet still the Sense must be the same; but thus it runs most clear.

12,

12. Let them, who after having thrown off their Girdles again return'd to their vomit (so as that some of them have even Purchased a Place in the Army) be *Prostrators* Ten Years, after they have been *Hearers* Three Years; but the Bishop may use some Favour toward those who demonstrate their Conversion in reality, as well as appearance; so as after the determined time of being *Hearers*, to let them *partake of the Prayers*.

Licinius had put out an Edict, that whatever Soldiers would not Sacrifice to the Gods, should be Disbanded; which was the occasion of many Soldiers *throwing away their Girdles*, that is, quitting the Military Life, rather than committing Idolatry. If any of these afterwards procured a Place in the Army, on condition of Offering Sacrifice, then they were liable to the Discipline mentioned in this Canon. They are much mis-

taken

Slaves, who suppose that Christians are here forbid to be Soldiers, or bear Arms, or who by the Girdle understand the Monastick Life.

Hearers, Prostrators, them who partake in Prayers. See Notes on the preceeding Canon.

13. Let none [of the fore-mentioned Persons under Penance] be depriv'd of his necessary *Viaticum*, when he departs out of this Life; but the *Old Canonical Law* shall be observed: But if such a Person being given over for dead, and thereupon do again recover, let him remain among them who Communicate, in Prayers only. Let the Bishop, upon Examination, impart the Oblation to all who desire it, at the hour of Death.

The *Viaticum*, and Oblation, is the Holy Communion; the best Provision for the Road, for him that is going to his eternal home.

Here let the Reader observe mention of an *Old Canonical Law*, which is an infallible Proof, that there was such a thing long before the Council of Nice; yet I can find nothing to this purpose, except *Can. Apost.* 44. which commands

Bishops and Priests, to receive all Sinners upon repentance: For if all are to be received sometime or other, then 'tis evident, that the greatest are to be received at the hour of Death: and this seems to me to be as great a Proof of the Authentickness of those Canons, as any produced by Bishop Beveridge, or any other; and therefore I can't but wonder, that it should be overlook'd by them. The Canons of *Ancyra* and *Arles* cannot be here meant: for they were made but 10 or 11 Years before this of *Nice*, and so

could not be called *Old*; much less could the Resolution of one single Bishop, viz. *Dionysius* of *Alexandria*, or of the Presbytery of *Rome* in the Vacancy of that See by the Death of *Fabian*, deserve the Title of a *Canonical Law*, unless they had been Confirmed by some Canon.

14.

14. The Holy Synod Decrees, that *Catechumens* having lapsed, shall be *Hearers* only, for Three Years; and afterwards Pray with the *Catechumens*.

By *Catechumens*, here you are to understand the most perfect Sort, such as were called *Compensates*; that were allowed to be Baptized, and only waited for the proper Season of receiving that Sacrament, or had at least desired to be partakers of it.

15.

15. For the taking away the Custom, which prevails in some places contrary to Canon †; 'tis Decreed, that neither Bishop, Priest, nor Deacon, remove from City to City; and that if any one attempt it, all the Proceedings in this Case shall be null, and the Party shall be restored to the Church in which he was Ordained.

† That is *Can. Ap. 11.* which see, and the *Note* upon it.

14.

16.

16. No Priest, or Deacon, nor any belonging to the *Canon*, ought to be receiv'd in another Church, if they go thither without the fear of God, and thro' ignorance of the *Canon* of the Church; but must be compelled to return to their proper *Parishes*;

rishtes
nicate
to O
belon
of his

By
List, c
where
By
under

The
says Z
one fro
men v
ways c
was p
we no
but th
of the
well a
Sense

17.
do ex
Mont
the H
take
by de
of the
other
be De
long

Tha
Clergy

rishes; or if they do not, be Excommunicated: and if any one dare surreptitiously to Ordain him in his own Church, who belongs to another, without the Content of his Bishop, let the Ordination be null.

By the *Canon*, in the first place, is meant the List, or Catalogue of the Clergy, as 'tis elsewhere called. See *Can. 17*.

By the *Canon*, in the second place, we are to understand *Can. Apost. 12*

15, 16.

There were two sorts of Excommunication, says *Zonaras*, and from him Bishop *Beveridge*, the one from receiving the Eucharist, which only Laymen were subject to; the other from being any ways concern'd in the Administration of it, which was peculiar to delinquent Clergy-men, which we now call *Suspensio ab Officio*. See *Can. Eph. 1*. but then this must be understood of the early times of the Church, for afterwards Clergy-men as well as Lay-men were Excommunicated, in our Sense of the Word, before they were Deposed.

17. Because many rehearsed in the *Canon* do exercise Usury, so as to demand every Month the hundredth part of the Principal, the Holy Synod thinks it just, that if any take [such] Use, by secret Transaction, or by demanding the Principal, and one half of the Principal for Interest, or contrive any other Fraud for filthy lucre's sake, let him be Deposed from the Clergy, and not belong to the *Canon*. 17

That this *Canon* here signifies the List of the Clergy, is too clear to need a Proof.

The *Centesima*, or paying the hundredth part of the Principal for every Month, which is at the rate of 12 per Cent. was the Legal Usury among the Ancients; and whereas many had exceeded, *Constantine* reduced Usury again to this proportion by a Civil Law made just before this Canon; but the Fathers did not think fit, that the Church should be allowed such high Use as the Civil Law permitted.

That was the most unmerciful Usury whereby, together with the Principal, one half of the Principal was demanded for the Use of a few Months, this the Greeks called *Ἡμισία*, which is the second sort of Usury expressly forbid in this Canon. This Use was chiefly exacted from indigent Husband-men, who used in Seed-time to borrow one Bushel of Corn, on Condition to repay one and a half the next Harvest, and the same in proportion for any greater quantity. *St. Hierome* observes, that they who exercised this sort of Usury argued very plausibly, viz. *I lent one Bushel, which being sowed produced ten, is it not reasonable, that I should have half a Bushel more than I lent, since he has gain'd nine and a half by my Liberality?* 'Tis not to be wonder'd, that such excessive Usury was forbidden to Clergy-men. See *Can. Ap. 36.*

34.

18. 18. Neither Canon nor Custom permits, that Deacons, who have not Power to make the Oblation, should administer the Body of Christ to Priests who have that Power: let the Deacons therefore keep within their proper bounds, and receive the Eucharist either from the Bishop, whose Attendants they are, or from the Priests and

and
amo
Ord
defi

1

lian

be B

of t

mish

Cath

Re-b

do n

pos

latic

amo

Imp

by t

By

Follo

rich,

Bisho

have

to be

Name

fore

39

47

ment

*

on t

of P

form

good

mado

and after them. Let not the Deacons sit among the Priests contrary to Canon and Order: and if any will not obey, let him desist from the Function of a Deacon.

19. A Decree has been made, that *Paulianists* returning to the Catholick Church be Re-baptized; and that they, who were of their Clergy, if they be under no Blemish, be Ordained by the Bishop of the Catholick Church, after they have first been Re-baptized, but if upon Examination they do not appear to be qualify'd, let them be depos'd. The same Rule shall be observed in relation to their Deaconesses, who are reckon'd among the Laity as having received no Imposition of Hands, but are distinguish'd by their Habit.

By *Paulianists* we must understand, either the Followers of *Paulus Samosatenus*, Bishop of *Antioch*, as the Generality suppose, or one *Paulus*, Bishop of *Samosatum*, a *Manichean*, as *Balsamon* will have it, through a great Mistake, as I have Reason to believe: but neither of these Baptized in the Name of *Father, Son, and Holy Ghost*, and therefore their Baptism was null according to the

³⁹ *Ap. Can.* which seems to be the Decree here
⁴⁷ mentioned.

* 20. Because there are some who kneel on the *Lord's Day*, and even in the Days of *Pentecost*; that all things may be uniformly performed in every *Parish*, it seems good to the Holy Synod, that Prayers be made to God standing.

Pentecost here denotes the whole 50 Days from *Easter* to *Whitsun-tide* inclusively.

Parish, i. e. *Diocese*. See *Ap. Can.* 11

14.

Some Writers of the Church of *Rome* would persuade us, that there were many more Canons made at *Nice* besides these 20; and they labour very hard to prove it; but all to no purpose: All other Canons in the Name of this Council are fictitious. *Du Pin*, and all Men of Temper in the Church of *Rome*, acknowledge no more than these.

Ancyran Canons.

THE Synod of *Ancyra* in *Galatia*, was held *A. D.* 315, before that of *Nice*, tho' this latter be first placed in the Code, out of Honour to that Church to which Christians have in all Ages paid a very singular Deference. The *Ancyran* Synod consisted but of 18 Bishops, who met to restore Discipline, and regulate the Penances of those who had lapsed in the time of Persecution.

21.

1. 'Tis Decreed, that those Priests who did at first Sacrifice [to Idols], but afterward enter'd the Conflict, if they were compelled by Torments [to Sacrifice] and used no Trick, whereby to appear to be forced, when really they did it of their

OWN

own accord, shall retain * the Honour of their Chair; but not perform the Oblation, or † Preach, or concern themselves in the Sacerdotal Liturgies.

* That is, they shall continue to sit in the *Bema*, on the right, or left hand of the Bishop. See Note on *Can. Nic. II.*

† Here *Preaching* is reckon'd among the Offices which ordinarily belong'd to the Priest.

2. And also that Deacons that have Sacrificed [to Idols], and yet afterwards enter'd the Conflict do not concern themselves in the Sacred Liturgies, offering the Bread, or Cup, * or making the usual Proclamations; but have the Privilege of their Seat: but that the Bishop; have Power to increase, or abate [from this Censure] if they find them truly humbled.

22.

Offering the Bread, &c. the Greek Word is ἀναφέρειν. 'Tis own'd indeed that the Deacon could not προσφέρειν, that is, make the first solemn Oblation at the time of the Consecration; but the tendering of the Holy Mysteries to the Communicants, with those solemn Words, the Body and Blood of Christ, was look'd upon as a sort of Re-Oblation. And the Oblation itself is called Ἀναφορά.

Ap. Can. 2. The Words of Laurence the Deacon to his Bishop *Sixtus*, are very remarkable to this purpose, and too well known to need a Repetition.

* The Priest's Office was ἐμλεῖν, to Preach, See *Can. 1.* the Deacon's κηρύττειν, to make the Proclamations. v. γ. Let no one bear ill will against another. Go, there is a Dismissal. Go in peace.

3. They

23.

3. They who fled, but were apprehended, or betray'd, or have born the loss of their Estates, or Tortures, or Imprisonment, declaring the while, that they were Christians, tho' by violence they had something [i. e. Incense] put into their Hands, or were forced to receive Meat [offered to Idols] into their Mouths, and have all along shew'd themselves griev'd at what happen'd by their Behaviour, and Habit, and humble Course of Life, these being without sin, are no longer by any to be hindred from Communion, nor if their former course of life were commendable, from being ordained, if they be Laymen.

24.

4. If they who were compelled, went [to the Idol Temple] in a Festival Habit, and did take share of the Feast with unconcernedness, 'tis decreed, that they be *Hearers* one Year, *Prostrators* three Years, and that they Communicate in Prayers only for two Years, and so come to *Perfection*.

Hearers, Prostrators, &c. See Not. on *Can. Nic. 11. To Perfection*, i. e. the Communion. See *Can. 5.*

25.

5. They that came in a Mourning Habit, and lay down, and wept, and eat, after they have been *Prostrators* three whole Years, let them be received, but *without the Oblation*: If they did not eat, let them be *Prostrators* two Years, on the third

third
Obla
com
have
havi
befor

By
Fell
posse
cram
serin
Privi
be all
accep
that
is mo
receiv
those
Pray
place
Can.
of the

6.
and
with
have
time
tion
to be
and
and
with
festi
Pena
their

third let them Communicate *without the Oblation*, that in four Years time they may come to *Perfection*; but that the Bishop have Power to increase or abate the time, having examin'd their Course of Life, both before, and since.

By being received *without the Oblation*, Bishop Fell in his Notes on 1. Ep. of St. Clement, supposes 'tis meant that they shall receive the Sacrament, but not be permitted to make their Offering, and from thence concludes, that it was a Privilege for People in the Primitive Church to be allowed to make their Offering, or to have it accepted: but I suppose it is clear beyond dispute, that by the *Oblation* here, and in all these Canons, is meant the Sacrament itself: and they who were received *without the Oblation* were the same with those mentioned Can. Nic. 11. *who Communicated in Prayer, but were not admitted to the Oblation*, in other places called *Co-standers*. *Perfection*, See Not. on Can. 4th. *Dionysius Exiguus* makes but one Canon of the 4th and 5th, and consequently but 24 in all.

6. It is Decreed, That they who yielded, and Sacrificed upon their being threatned with loss of Estate, or Banishment, and have not before repented, but about the time of this Synod, are come to a Resolution of Conversion, that they be admitted to be Hearers till the Great Day [of *Easter*], and after that to be Prostrators three Years, and for two Years more to Communicate without the Oblation, and so come to *Perfection*: and if any have been admitted to Penance before the time of this Synod, let their six Years [of Penance] be reckon'd from

from the time they began, and if danger of Death happen, let them be receiv'd, * *but under limitation.*

* That is, that if they recover, they fulfil their 6 Years of Penance.

27. 7. As for those that have been Guests at the Heathen Feasts *, in a Place assigned for Heathens, but brought, and eat their own Victuals [only], tis Decreed, that they be receiv'd after they have been Prostrators two Years; but whether with or without the Oblation the Bishop is to determine, after having examin'd the rest of his Life.

28. * 8. Let them, who have twice or thrice Sacrificed upon force, be Prostrators four Years, and Communicate without the Oblation two Years, and the seventh Year let them be perfectly received.

29. 9. As to those who have not only lapsed, but have forced, or been the occasion of forcing their Brethren, let them be Hearers three Years, Prostrators six Years; one Year let them Communicate without the Oblation, that after ten Years they may attain Perfection.

30. 10. Those Deacons, who at their being Ordained professed they had occasion to Marry, if they do so, let them continue in their Attendance; but if any said nothing of this, but undertook to remain as they were, let them cease from their Ministration.

* 11. It is Decreed, that a young Woman espoused to one, but ravish'd by another, be restored to him to whom she was espoused, tho' she have been ravish'd by another.

31.

Here *Balsam.* puts in a very proper *Cave,* viz. If he, to whom she was espoused, demand her to be his Wife.

* 12. 'Tis Decreed, that they who were Baptized since they Sacrificed [to Idols] may be promoted to Orders, as having been wash'd clean.

32.

This Canon is to be understood of those, who laps'd while they were *Hearers*, or *Catechumens.* *Bals.* will have it, that not only Baptism, but being Ordained Bishop, or Anointed Emperor, purges away all Corruption contracted before Ordination, or Unction, and that even Priest's Orders blot out a Lye, or any lesser Sin, but not such as deserve Deposition.

13. 'Tis not allow'd that a Village Bishop do Ordain Priests, or Deacons; nor that the Priests that are in every Parish do any thing without the Licence of the Bishop in Writing.

33.

In Translating the latter part of this Canon I follow *Dionysius Exiguus*, *Quesnellus*, Bishop *Beveridge*, and *du Pin*; the common Copies are unintelligible.

The Village-Bishop, *Chorepiscopus*, was undoubtedly a Bishop in all respects, but had his power limited by the City Bishop. They were such Bishops as many of our *English* Bishops may still
con-

constitute in remote Parts of their Dioceses. See First Part, Cap. 6.

Whether *Parish* here be taken in the Ancient, or the Modern Sense, I leave to my Reader to judge.

Mr *Calamy* in his Defence, *Part* 1. p. 101. to make this Canon speak his Sense, turns *ἐν δὲ* (or *ἐν τῇ*) *παρῳχίᾳ* in any *Parish*, for which I leave him to the Correction of his own Lexicon, and yet after all cannot bring this Canon over to his side, but by supposing that Village-Bishops were inferior to City-Priests, for thus the Canon goes according to his Translation, *It shall not be allowable for Country-Bishops to Ordain Priests, or Deacons; no nor for City-Priests to do it in any Parish without the Command, or Letters of the Bishop.* Let it be shew'd that in any Writing, of this or any other Age, a Priest was ever reckon'd to be superior to a Bishop.

34. 14. 'Tis Decreed, that Bishops and Deacons who abstain from Flesh, shall taste it, and then abstain, if they think fit; but if they will not, nor even eat of the Herbs which are mingled with the Flesh, that they cease from their Function.

See *Can. Ap.* 43. 51. By tasting or touching Flesh they declared, that they thought there was no uncleanness in it, which was what the Orthodox Fathers intended to clear.

35. 15. The Church may re-assume whatever the Priests have Sold during the Vacancy of the See: 'Tis left to the discretion of the Bishop, whether to take the Purchase-

chafe-Money or not: For that is often greater than the Value of what was Sold.

By this Canon it appears, that the Priests of every Church were Guardians of the Temporalities during the Vacancy of the See: but not so as to have Power to alienate; and that they were likewise Guardians of the Spiritualities is allow'd by all.

By the Church, κυριακὸν, is here meant the Fa-
brick, or the House of the Lord; and from this
Greek Word evidently comes our English Church,
more properly Kyrk, Sax. Cýric You have this
Word again Can. Laodic. 27.

16. Let those who are guilty of Bestial
Lusts before they are 20 Year olds, Com-
municate in Prayers after they have been
Prostrators 15 Years; and when they have
so Communicated 5 Years, then let them
partake of the Oblation; but let them
who exceed that Age, and are Married,
and fall into this Sin, be Prostrators 25
Years, and then Communicate in Prayer
5 Years, and so attain the Oblation: but
if any transgress, being Married and above
50 Years of Age, let them Communicate
at the Point of Death.

17. The Holy Synod has charged, that
they who are guilty of Bestial Lusts, and
have the Leprosy, * that is, infect others,
should pray amongst them, who stand exposed
to the weather.

* So I render ἵλοι λεπρώσαντες. ἵλοι some-
times signifies, that is, viz. or the like, and that
the Participle is to be taken in an active Sense.

I have

36.

37.

I have the Authority of *Dionysius Exiguus*, and several others, and the thing proves itself. For in the former Canon they who were guilty of *Bestial Lusts*, were not placed below the Prostrators. Since then they who are here censured were put below these, 'tis plain their Crime must have greater, because their Punishment was so; and 'tis not easy to say how they could aggravate their Crime, but by instructing or tempting others to commit it. Some would have it thought that the Canon is to be understood literally of those who have the *Cutaneous* Disease called *Leprosy*: but then the Difficulty returns, viz. that the same Crime, viz. *Bestial Lust*, is punish'd more severely in this Canon, than the former; and further, why should Leprous Persons be treated as Sinners?

By those that stand exposed to the Weather, *Gr. χειμαζόμενοι*, we are to understand those who were in the lowest Degree of Penitents, who stood just at the Entrance into the *Narthex* or *Porch*; viz. the *Hearers*, or the *Demoniacs*; for if there were any such Persons belonging to any Church, they were always placed just at the Entrance of the *Porch*. The Scholiasts by *χειμαζόμενοι* understand Persons agitated by evil Spirits.

38.

18. If any being Constituted Bishops, but not received by the *Parish* to which they were nominated, will invade other *Parishes*, let them be suspended from *Communion*: If they will accept a Seat among the Priests of that Church to which they formerly belong'd, let them not be Deprived of that Honour; but if they raise Sedition against the Bishops, let them be deprived of the Honour of *Presbytery*, and be abdicated.

Parish,

Parish, See Can. Ap. ^{11.} Nor. Suspended from
^{14.}
 Communion, See Not. on Can. Ap. 3.
^{6.}

Presbytery, or Priesthood, is here used in the same Sense that Mr. Calvin understands it, 1 Tim. iv. 14.

By this Canon it is clear, that the Nomination of the Bishop was not in the People in this Age: For how can it be conceived, that a Bishop should not be receiv'd by the Parish that had elected him?

19. Let Virgins that have been false to their Profession be treated as *if they were Digami*. We do forbid Maids to live with Men, † *under pretence of living in a Sister-like manner.*

39.

Of *Digami*, See Can. Laod. 1. Neo-Cas. 3. It is plain that by some former Canon made in this Province, or Country, the Penance of the *Digami* was determined and well known, See Can. 21.

† That is not Lewdly, but as chastly as Brother and Sister might be supposed to dwell together in the same House.

20. Let any Woman or Man guilty of Adultery, attain Perfection in Seven Years, † *according to the terms before prescribed.*

40.

† Perhaps those mention'd Can. 8. tho' it must be confess'd, that the time of Penance there prescribed was but Six Years; therefore I rather understand the Words of some Ancient Canon or Custom in these Churches.

41.

21. A former Canon has forbid Women that being with Child, but unmarried, have procured Abortion, to be admitted to Communion before the point of death; but we using more lenity, do Decree, that they be under Penance Ten Years, † *according to the Terms before prescribed.*

† *Viz.* Those mention'd *Can. 9.* Three Years Hearers, Six Years Prostrators, One Year Co-standers.

42.

22. Let wilful Murderers continue to be Prostrators to the end [of their Lives,] and then be admitted to the favour of Perfection.

43.

23. The First Constitution order'd, that they who are guilty of involuntary Murder, should be Partakers of Perfection in Seven Years time, * *according to the Terms before prescrib'd,* the Second in 5. Years.

* See *Can. 20. 21.* Here is mention of a former, or First Canon or Constitution; both in the 21. and 23. and of a Second Constitution in the 23. by which is plainly hinted to us, that they had in these Churches several Old Canonical Provisions made for regulating the Penance of Offenders; and consequently that they had prescrib'd measures of Proceeding in Administring Church Discipline, introduced and allowed from time to time at the discretion of the Bishops.

44.

24. Let those who use South saying after the manner of the Heathen, or entertain Men to teach them Pharmacy or Lustration, fall under Three Years of Prostration

tion, Two Years of Communion in Prayer without the Oblation.

Pharmacy probably signifies here, as it do's in the Epistle of St. Paul to the *Galatians* v. 20. the compounding of Philtrums, or Love-doses. *Lustration*, the Antidotes, by which they repelled or prevented the effects of such Doses. See *Can. Bas.* 7.

25. One Espoused a young Woman, afterwards got her Sister with Child, then Married her whom he had Espoused; the other Sister made away with herself. All that were concerned in this Affair were ordered, after Ten Years Penance, * according to the Terms prescribed, to be received among the † *Co-standers*.

45.

* See *Can.* 20, 21, 23. † See *Not. on Can. Nic.* 11.

Neo-Cesarean Canons.

THE Synod of *Neo-Cesarea*, a City in *Pontus*, was held much at the same time with that at *Ancyra*, and much on the same occasion. Nineteen Bishops Names are Subscrib'd to it.

* 1. If a Priest Marry let him be * removed from his Order; if he commit Fornication or Adultery, let him be Excommunicated and brought under Penance.

46.

* Whether

* Whether *Τάξως μὴ ἀλλοθεῖται* signify to be Deposed, or only to be Suspended from his Office, or lastly to be degraded into the rank of Deacons: I will not determine; but the Words seem to me to denote no more, than to be translated or removed from a Superior to an Inferior Order. See *Can. 9. 10.* Yet Bishops were not permitted to be Degraded. See *Can. Chaic. 29.* However this is certain, that no one is by this Canon forbid Priests Orders, on account of his being Married; but only to Marry after he is Ordained Priest. See *Can. Ap. 19. 43.*

27. 51.

And let it be observed, that this Canon is more severe on the Priest who commits Fornication, than *Can. Ap. 18.* For by that he was only Deposed; by this he is Excommunicated too, and brought under Penance, as Lay-men were; which is a very considerable Alteration in Discipline, and that, I think for the better.

47.

* 2. If a Woman marry Two Brothers [successively] let her be Excommunicated till [her] Death; but if at the point of Death, she promise to forego the Marriage, in case she recover, she shall by indulgence be admitted to Penance; but if the Woman or Husband die in such Marriage, the surviving Party shall not easily be admitted to Penance.

48.

3. The time of their Penance who are guilty of Marrying many successively is well known; but their Faith and alteration for the better, may shorten that time.

'Tis probable, that the Penance mentioned by St. Basil, *Can.* 4. is that here spoken of, viz. a Year or Two for Marrying a Second Time, 3 or 4 for Marrying a Third Time, 5 for Marrying a Fourth Time.

* 4. If any Man lusting after a Woman do resolve to lie with her, but be disappointed, 'tis evident that he is sav'd by Grace.

49.

This Canon looks more like an Article of Doctrine, than a Rule of Discipline; unless you'll suppose the meaning of it to be, that such a Person is sav'd from Penance, and does not fall under Church-Censure, tho' by some overt Act, or by his own Confession it does appear that he resolv'd to commit Lewdness. So Zonaras takes it in his *Scholion* on 32d *Can. Bas.*

* 5. If a *Catechumen* entring into the Church, have stood in the Station of *Catechumens*, and yet be guilty of Sin, if he be one of the *Kneelers*, and desist from his Sin, let him become a *Hearer*; but if he Sin while he is a *Hearer*, let him be expelled.

50.

The *Syntax* of this Canon in the *Greek* is very singular, and therefore 'tis to be hop'd the Reader will excuse the Translation, if it be not very clear. By the *Catechumens* that were *Kneelers*, is meant the more perfect Sort, who had desired Baptism, and were not dismissed from the Assembly, till they had first *kneeled* down and received the Benediction or Prayer which was said over them.

By

By being *Expelled, Balf. and Zonar.* tell us, we are to understand, that they were to stand among the *Mourners.* See *Not. in Can. Nic. 11.*

§1.

6. A Woman with Child may be Baptized when she pleases; for the Woman in this Case Communicates nothing to the Child: Because every particular Person's resolution is expressed in the Confession singly by itself.

Mr. Wall on this Article observes, that whether these Fathers were for or against Infant-Baptism, they would have determined this Point in the same manner they have: And has discovered a great Mistake or Prevarication in *Grotius*; because he would from hence infer, that a Child was not to be Baptized, but upon its own Will and Profession: for which he also cites *Balf. and Zonar*: For he is wrong in both. See how cautiously the Fathers Word the latter Part of the Canon; n t every Person expresses his own resolution, &c. as they would certainly have said, if they had been of *Grotius's* mind; but every particular Person's resolution is EXPRESS'D; viz. by the Sureties, who speak for the Child. And so *Balf* took it; for, says he, *Infants promise by their Sponsors.* See *Wall of Infant-Baptism*, p. 61. &c. Edit. 1st.

§2.

7. Let not a Priest be present at a Feast made on occasion of a Second Marriage: For since he who Marries a Second time ought to do Penance; what a Priest is he, who consents to such a Marriage, by being entertained at the Feast?

Bishop

Bishop Beverege supposes that by a second Marriage is meant a Marriage contracted while the former is not dissolved; and says, that no Penance was ever inflicted on any Lay-man for Marrying a second Wife after the Death of the former. I must crave leave to dissent here. See *Can. Lond.* 1. *Can. St. Bas.* 4. The Penance was very easy, viz. one or two Years Suspension from the Communion; a harder Penance would have been enjoined him who had two Wives alive at once.

8. He whose Wife has been openly convicted of Adultery, while he is a Lay-man, cannot be admitted into the * Ministry: If after he was Ordained, he cannot retain his † Ministry if he cohabit with her.

53.

* *Gr. ὁμιλία*, † *σάξωσις*, both signifying the Inferiour Offices of the Clergy, *Deaconship* at most; to be the Husband of such a Wife was always disgraceful. Conjugal Familiarity with lewd Women was supposed to be a Stain on the Husband. *Lev. xxi. 7, 13, 14. Can. Ap.* 14.
18.

9. If a Priest confess, that before Ordination he * sinned against his own Body, let him not make the Oblation: but continue to do other Offices, because of his readiness as to the other Point [confessing of his Sins]; for many do assert, that his other sins are forgiven by Imposition of Hands at Ordination; but if he do not confess, and cannot be openly convicted, let him be at his own Discretion [whether he will make the Oblation.]

54.

* Viz. by Fornication, 1 *Cor. vi. 18.*

E

* 10. So

55.

* 10. So if a Deacon fall into the same Sin [before Ordination] let him take the Rank of a † *Minister*.

† i. e. a Sub-Deacon. See *Can. Laod.* 20, 21, &c.

56.

11. Let none, tho' worthy, be Ordained Priest before 30 Years [of Age]: for Christ was Baptized, and began to Preach in the 30th Year.

57.

12. If any one be || *enlightned* while he is dangerously sick, let him not be promoted to be a Priest, unless it be for his Diligence and Fidelity afterwards, or for want of Men [to be Ordained]: for such a one's Faith is not of Choice, but Necessity.

|| i. e. *Baptized*; for this was the common Language of the Church in that Age, of which see the Learned Mr. Wall's excellent Book.

The Ancients did all they could to discountenance the prevailing Error of those times, which was deferring Baptism till the last Extremity. And this was no new Law; for when Novatian was to be Ordained Priest, many of the Laity, as well as Clergy, objected against it: because he had been Baptized upon a supposed Death-bed, and they alledged, that it was unlawful for such a one to be Ordained.

58.

13. *Country Priests* may not make the Oblation in the City-Church, if the Bishop, or City-Priest be present; nor yet give the Bread, or Cup with Prayer; but if they be absent, and [one of the Country-Priests] be called to Prayer, he alone may give them: but the Village-Bishop

14.
the C

are in imitation of the LXX, and as being Fellow-Officers in the same Liturgy [with the City-Bishops] may make the Oblation; for they are to be honoured for their Readiness to serve the Poor.

The Mention of *Country-Priests* is a sufficient Proof, that in those early Days there were some Churches in Places remote from the City, but Subject to the Bishop of the City: this was one Step toward the Division of Dioceses into what we now call *Parishes*. There were long before this several lesser Churches in the City, that had one or more Priests attending them. These Priests were for Order's sake, as now amongst us, confin'd to their proper Cures, and not ordinarily allow'd to Officiate in the City.

By *Prayer* we are here to understand those *Prayers* that were used by the Bishop or Priest after Consecration; and upon, or after the Administration of the Holy Mysteries, which might not be rehearsed by the Deacon, and from hence as well as from many other Instances, particularly the Story of *Serapion*, *Euseb. Hist. L. 6. c. 43.* it appears, that the Consecrated Elements were not all consumed at that Administration, but some of them reserv'd to be used as there should be occasion; for the Country-Priest might not Offer, or Consecrate in the City, yet he might administer the Elements with *Prayer*, which supposes them Consecrated before-hand.

By *Poor* I here understand Country People, who are for the most part Poor in comparison of Citizens.

14. The Deacons must be but Seven, tho' the City be great.

59

Gangran Canons.

THESE Canons were made at *Gangra* in *Paphlagonia*, a Country of *Asia Minor*; after the *Nicene Synod*, and some time before that of *Antioch*, and therefore not later than the Year 340. *Socrates* and *Sozomen* say, that *Eusebium*, against whom these Canons were made, was Bishop of *Sebastea* in *Armenia*; but *Baronius* gives several Reasons to shew that they were mistaken. If we know not the Man, yet we may know his Opinions by the following Canons.

60. 1. If any one abhorrr Marriage, or have in abomination the Religious Woman, that is a Communicant, and sleeps with her Husband, let him be Anathema.

Here we have the first Instance of Anathematizing Hereticks in a Synod, excepting that in the Decree against *Arius*, passed at the Council of *Nice* (which is not among the Canons), and that against *Novatus*, under *Cornelius* Bishop of *Rome*. *St. Chrysostom* is against all Anathema's passed by un-inspired Men: but I can really understand nothing by it but a full Excommunication, and therefore think the one no more unlawful than the other.

61. 2. If any one condemns him that eats Flesh, if he abstain the while from Bloud, and

and things strangled, and offered to Idols, let him be Anathema.

Of eating Blood, &c. See *Can. Ap. 55* and the Note there. 63.

3. If any one, under Pretence of Religion, does advise a Slave to despise his Master, and run away from his Service, let him be Anathema. 62.

See *1 Tim. vi. 1.* This Canon only enforces the Doctrine contained in that Verse, and the Example of *St. Paul* in sending *Onesimus*, a converted Slave, home to his Master *Philemon*.

4. If any one condemn a Married Priest, as if he ought not to partake of the Oblation when he performs the Liturgy, let him be Anathema. 63.

Let those of the Church of *Rome* reconcile this Canon with their present Practice, or with *Can. 9. Sess. 24.* of the Council of *Trent*, which Anathematizes those, who deny that the Marriage of Clergy-men is null and void.

* 5. If any one teach that the House of God, and the Assemblies held therein are to be despised, let him be Anathema. 64.

* 6. If any hold other Assemblies privately, beside the Church, and will have Ecclesiastical Offices performed without a Priest [constituted] by the Consent of the Bishop, let him be Anathema. 65.

* 7. If any one will receive, or give the Ecclesiastical Fruits out of the Church, 66.

without the Consent of the Bishop, or one Commission'd by him, and will not act with his Consent, let him be Anathema.

67. * 8. If any one give, or receive the *Fruit*, without the Bishop, and he that is appointed to be Steward of the Benefactions, let him be Anathema, who either gives or takes.

These Two Canons are so very much a-kin, that 'tis not easie to distinguish between one and the other; the Difference as to Sense is so very small, if any, that I leave it to the Reader to judge whether it be perceptible; they are both translated at large. The Word which I translate *Fruit* is *ερανοποιία*. There is little or no difference in the various Copies and Translations. What if we say that by *Ecclesiastical Fruits* in the first Canon, is meant what was given for the Maintenance of the Clergy? and by *Fruit* in the other Canon, that which was given for the Poor?

68. * 9. If any one live a Virgin, or contain, as abominating Marriage (*while he lives in a retired State*) and not for the Beauty and Sanctity of a Virgin Life, let him be Anathema.

If any one would turn *εὐαγγελιστὴς*, living as a Monk, or Anchorite, instead of in a retired State, I shall not oppose him. See Can. 73. 14. But tho' there were some Beginnings of the Monastick Way of Life in this Age, yet let not the Reader think, that there was any thing like what he sees under this Name in the Church of Rome.

* 10. If

* 10. If one of those who live a Virgin Life for the Lord's sake, insult those who are Married, let him be Anathema.

69.

* 11. If any one despise those, who out [of a Principle] of Faith make Love-Feasts, and in Honour to our Lord invite their Brethren, and refuseth to take his share at such Invitations, let him be Anathema.

70.

12. If any Man under Pretence of Austerity use the coarse Cloak, and thinking by this means to be righteous, despise those who use the *Berus*, and other common fashionable Habit, let him be Anathema.

71.

I shall not pretend to enter into any Critical Disquisition concerning the Shape, or Matter of the *περιβολαῖον*, which I translate *coarse Cloak*, and the *ἔνπιον*: it is sufficient, that the former was a Habit which carried an Appearance of Severity, the other was the Habit then in general use, and both of them probably outward Garments. The *Eustathians* affected the former as a Badge of their Sect; therefore the Synod justly condemns them as placing Religion in Garments and Singularities.

13. If any Woman, under Pretence of Austerity, instead of the Habit belonging to her Sex, take that which is proper to the Men, let her be Anathema.

72.

Many Pious Women, to avoid the Solicitations of Men, have done that which is forbid in this Canon; but when the doing this was made the

Mark of a Sect, the Synod thought fit to condemn the Practice.

73. 14. If any Woman abominating Marriage desert her Husband, and will become a Recluse, let her be Anathema.
74. 15. If any one under Pretence of Religion, abandon his own Children, and do not piously educate them, let him be Anathema.
75. 16. If any, under Pretence of Religion, do not give Honour to their Parents, especially if they be Communicants, let him be Anathema.
76. 17. If any Woman, under Pretence of Godliness, shave her Hair, as if she would annul the Decree of Subjection, let her be Anathema.
- See 1 *Corinth.* Chap. 11.
77. 18. If any one, under Pretence of Austerity, do fast on the Lord's Day, let him be Anathema.
- See *Can. Ap.* 45. 56.
53. 64.
78. 19. If any of those who pretend to Austerity, do contemptuously set aside the Fasts of the Church, which are receiv'd by Tradition, without some Bodily Necessity, let him be Anathema.
79. * 20. If any one do insolently condemn the Assemblies [held in Honour] of the Martyrs, and the Liturgies there perform'd, and the Commemorations of them, let him be Anathema. The

The Epilogue (of which some make a Canon, and after this Account make 21 Canons in all) does so shew the admirable Temper, and good Sense of these Fathers, that I know not how to omit it.

We commit these Canons to Writing, not as if we would * cut off those who exercise themselves in Works of Severity and Mortification in the Church of God, according to the Scriptures; but those, who under pretence of such Exercise, do insult those who live in a more plain and simple manner, and would bring in Innovations contrary to the Scriptures and the Canons of the Church. We therefore admire Virginitie, if attended with Humility, and have a regard for Continence, if accompanied with true Piety and Gravity; and a Retreat from Worldly Business, with a modest, humble Temper; but at the same time we honour honest Marriage; nor do we despise Riches, when employ'd in good Work and doing Justice. We commend a plain and coarse Habit without Art, or Gaudiness, and have an Aversion to all luxurious Ostentation of Apparel. We honour the Houses of God, and affectionately embrace the Assemblies made therein, as holy and beneficial, not as if we confined Religion within those Houses, but as having a respect to every Place that is built to the name of the Lord, and approve of the Church-Assemblies, as being for the publick Good; and pronounce a Beatitude upon signal Acts of Charity done to our Brethren, as being done to the Poor of the Church, according to Tradition; and to say all in a Word, we cannot but wish that all things may be done in the Church, according to the Traditions of Holy Scriptures and the Apostles.

* From hence it does appear, that the Fathers meant no more by *Anathematizing* the *Eustathians*, than *cutting them off from the Church*, or *Excommunicating* them.

Antiochian Canons.

THESE Canons were made in a Synod of 90 Bishops or more, held at *Antioch*, A. D. 341. upon occasion of Dedicating a Great Church, built there by *Constantinus* the Emperor. Many of these Bishops were *Arians*; but very moderate in their way, or else overpowered by a great Majority of Orthodox, as appears by the Honourable Mention they make of the Synod of *Nice* in the first Canon. No less Men than *Athanasius* and *Chrysostom* have objected against these Canons on the Account of some *Arians* being present when they were made: and yet 'tis certain, that no Canons have been more approv'd by the Generality of Wise and Learned Men in all Ages. *Du Pin* says, that *they contain the wisest and justest Rules that ever were observed in the Christian Church.*

30.

1. Let them be Excommunicated, who being Lay-men, dare set aside the Decree of the Great and Holy Synod of *Nice*, concerning the Holy and Salutary Feast of *Easter*, if they obstinately persist: If any of those who preside in the Church, a Bishop, Priest, or Deacon do so, let him, and all that Communicate with him, be deprived of their Liturgy, and of all that

that Honour which uses to be paid them, when they are out of the Church.

See *Can. Ap.* 5.

8.

2. Let all those be cast out of the Church, * who come in and hear the Scripture, but do not partake of the Prayers and Eucharist, till by Confession and Repentance they have obtained Pardon †; and 'tis unlawful to Communicate with Excommunicated Persons, or to pray with them in private Houses; and || that none shall be received in another Church, that are not permitted to come to their own. If any Bishop, Priest, or Deacon, or other who belongs to the Canon, Communicate with one Excommunicated, he also shall be Excommunicated, as confounding the Canon of the Church.

87

* See *Can. Ap.* 7. † *Can. Ap.* 8. || *Can. Ap.* 10.
10. 11. 13.

3. If any Priest, Deacon, or Clergyman, stay a long time in a strange Parish, let him not be allowed to perform the Liturgy; especially if he disobey his Bishop when he calls him home; and if he persist, let him be finally deprived of his Liturgy; and if another Bishop receive such a one, let him be under the Correction of the Synod.

82

See *Can. Ap.* 12.

15, 16.

4. If

83.

4. If any Bishop being Deposed by a Synod, or any Priest or Deacon Deposed by his Bishop, do perform any part of his Liturgy, he shall never have any hopes of restitution, or of having his cause heard in another Synod: All that dare Communicate with such a one are Excommunicated, especially if they had heard of the Sentence past against him.

See Can. Ap. ^{21.}
29.

A Bishop or Clergy-man Deposed could never be restored, See Can. Bas. 3. But he who newly had Sentence of Deposition past by an inferior Judge, suspends the Sentence by Appealing to a Superior; but yet so that, *pendente lite*, the censur'd Clergy-man was not to Officiate.

84.

5. If any Priest or Deacon, despising his Bishop, separate from the Church, and hold a private Assembly, and fix an Altar, and disobey the first and second Warning of his Bishop, let him be finally Deposed, and not again admitted to his Dignity; and if he persist in his Sedition, let application be made to the external Power, [*i. e.* the Civil Magistrate.]

See Can. Apost. ^{24.}
32.

N. B. These Two last Canons are cited by the Name of the 83d and 84th Canon, by the Council of Chalcedon; as Learned Men justly observe; not that they were the 83d and 84th Canon of this or any other Church, but in the Code of the Universal Church, which was there produced and read out of a Book call'd in the Acts of that Church, the Sacred Canons

Canons of the Fathers; and in other places 'Απο-
 λητα Κανόνων, the Train of Canons.

6. If any one be Excommunicated by
 his own Bishop, let him not be re-
 ceived by any but his own Bishop, till he
 prevail upon a Synod to reverse the Sen-
 tence; and the same Law shall take place
 against all that are in the Clergy.

85.

See Can. Apost. 10. 25.
 13. 33.

7. Let no Foreigner be receiv'd without
 pacifick Letters.

86.

Canonical, Commendatory, Dimissory and Pacifick
 Letters, are oftentimes used promiscuously, and
 signify much the same thing. See Can. Ap. 26.
 34.

But sometimes they are used with Distinction,
 viz. Pacifick Letters were those given to any,
 whether Bishop, Clergy-man, or Lay-man, on
 any occasion he had to travel to another City;
 especially if he went to Settle there, in which
 case they were also call'd Dimissory. Commendatory,
 were those given either to Clergy-man or Lay-
 man, who had been tried or condemned for any
 Crime, but were either found innocent, or had
 done their Penance, and been absolved; or to
 any that went where they were not known.
 See Can. Chal. 11. 13.

*8. Let not Country Priests give Cano-
 nical Letters, or however only to neighbour-
 ing Bishops; but let Village Bishops, who
 are of good reputation, give Pacifick Letters.

87.

See Notes on the preceeding Canon.

9. It

88.

9. It behoves the Bishops in every Province to own him that presides in the Metropolis; because the Metropolis is a Place of universal Concourse: Therefore it is Decreed, that he have special Honour paid him, and that the other Bishops do nothing extraordinary without him; * according to the Ancient Canon which was in force [in the Age of] our Fathers. Let every Bishop have Power over his own † Parish, and the adjacent Country, to Ordain Priests and Deacons, and Determine every thing with Judgment; but let him do nothing else without the Bishop of the Metropolis, nor he without Consent of the rest.

* They can mean no other Canon, but *Can.*

Ap. ^{27.} no other Canon but that to this purpose can be found, which can be said to have been in force in the time of *their Fathers*: For it is observable, that several of the Bishops who were in the Council of *Nice*, were actually present at making these Canons; therefore this Canon must have been taken from the Apostolical, not the Apostolical from this, as some vainly imagine.
† See *Not. in Can. Ap.* 11.

14.

89.

10. The Synod Decrees, that though Village Bishops have receiv'd Episcopical Ordination, yet that they keep within their Bounds and administer the Affairs of the Churches subject to them, and appoint Readers, and Sub-deacons and Exorcists; and

and do not dare to Ordain a Priest or Deacon without the Bishop of the City to which he himself and his District is subject, upon pain of Deposition. A Village Bishop is made by the Bishop of the City to which he is subject.

One would think by the last Words of this Canon that a Village-Bishop was to be Ordained by one Bishop only, viz. the Bishop of the City to which he belong'd; whereas all Bishops, properly so called, were to be Ordained by Three others, or Two at the least; and the Greek Words at the beginning of the Canon, which I have Translated *Episcopal Ordination*, may be turn'd literally the *Imposition of a Bishop's Hands*, if we read according to the common Edition: But Bishop *Beverege* observes, that instead of ἐπισκόπου, most Manuscripts have it ἐπισκοπῶν; and *Justellus's* Edition follows those Manuscripts: And indeed, if you read according to the common Editions, yet the Sense will be the same; for ἐπισκόπου χειροθεσίαν λαβεῖν, signifies to be Ordained Bishop, in the 17th Canon of this same Council. And it is most probable, that they were Ordained as other Bishops, viz. by Two at the least: For what could the *Antiochian* Fathers mean to mention it as a Privilege, that Village-Bishops were Ordained by a Bishop? were not Priests, and even Deacons Ordain'd so too? therefore when it is said, that a Village-Bishop is made by the City Bishop, the meaning must be, that he was Nominated or Chosen by him.

From this Canon Mr. *Calamy* in his Defence, Part 1. pag. 101. would prove, that it was usual in fore-going Times for Presbyters in the several Cities, and for the Ministers of Country Towns or Villages to conferr Orders; and this he do's on Suppo-

Supposition, that these Village-Bishops were esteem'd no more than Presbyters, which is what can never be granted, and may effectually be disproved not only from this and other Canons, but from the very Title they had, viz. *Village-Bishops*; for it can never be prov'd, that any one had the Title of a Bishop given him by any Canon without the Power of Ordination; and 'tis not denied, that the Village-Bishop had this Power; he is only forbid to exercise it.

90.

11. If any Bishop, Priest, or Person that belongs to the Canon, go to the Emperor without the Consent and Letters of the Bishops of the Province, and especially of the Metropolitan, he shall not only be deprived of Communion, but of his Dignity.

Here it is to be remembred that there was a double Excommunication. See *Can. Nic. 16*. In this place we are to understand, that which was peculiar to the Clergy; namely, to be disabled from Consecrating or Administring the Communion. That this is meant here is plain; for 'twas some Punishment less than Deposition; whereas to be cast out of Lay-Communion is a much greater Punishment than Deposition; and they could not mean Suspension from the Communion; for it is express'd in the Original in the highest terms, by ἐκκληρύνεσθαι, ἀποβάλλεσθαι.

91.

12. If any Priest or Deacon being Deposed by his Bishop, or any Bishop being Deposed by the Synod, dare trouble the Emperor, he shall be incapable of Pardon, or having his Cause heard again: For he ought to apply himself to a Great Synod, and

and to submit to their Examination and Judgment.

See *Constantinopolitan* 6th.

By this Canon it seems clear, that the Emperor used sometimes to hear Ecclesiastical Causes that came before him, by way of Appeal. This Canon was designed to put a stop to this Practice.

† *Palladius in Vita Chrysostomi*, adds, whether he were Deposed justly or unjustly; but 'tis plain, as Bishop *Stillingfleet* observes, "that a Canon so worded, could never have passed this or any other Synod. *Orig. Brit.* p. 138, 139.

This Canon was intended against *Athanasius*, who being Deposed by a Synod, was restored to his See by the Authority of the Emperor only; therefore he was by this Synod again deprived of his Bishoprick: For he ought to have been restored by a *Greater Synod* than that which Deposed him. So *John Chrysostom* was afterwards Condemned for re-assuming his Bishoprick at the command of the Emperor, and by the permission of a Synod; because this Synod was less than that by which he stood Condemned: and they who were his Adversaries proceeded by virtue of this Canon. His plea that this Canon was framed by *Arians* did not at all avail him; nor indeed do's it appear to be true. And it is to be observed, that *St. Chrysostom* never objected against this Canon, for being made by a Topical Synod only, not by a General one, as knowing that this would easily be answered, by saying that it had been received into the *Publick Code*; and was therefore as Authoritative as if it had been framed by a General Council.

By

By *Can. Ap. 30.* the Provincial Synod was ultimately to determine all Ecclesiastical Controversies, nor does it appear that there was any Alteration in this Point before this Synod of *Antioch*, which is meant by a Greater Synod. See *Can. 14.*

92.

13. Let no Bishop go from one Province to another to Ordain Men to the *Dignity of the Liturgy*, tho' he have others with him, except he be invited by the Letters of the Metropolitan, and the Bishops that are with him, into whose Province he goes. All is null that is done by Bishops coming without Invitation, and they are to be Depos'd by a Holy Synod.

See *Can. Ap. 28.*

36.

By the *Dignity of the Liturgy* we are here to understand those Sacred Offices, that are to be perform'd by none but the Bishop. See *Can. 17.*

93.

14. If any Bishop, upon an Information against him, be tried by the Bishops of the Province, and they cannot agree in their Sentence, let the Metropolitan call others from some Neighbouring Province to determine the Controversy, together with the Provincial Bishops.

They cannot agree, that is if there be a Parity of Votes, or but a very small Majority; for two or three Dissenters were not to be considered. See *Can. Nic. 6.*

15. If

15. If any Bishop, upon an Accusation preferr'd against him, be condemned by all the Bishops of the Province *unanimously*, he shall not have his Cause heard over again by others; but the *Unanimous* Sentence of the Provincial Bishops shall stand.

94.

A great stress seems here to be laid on the *Unanimity* of the Provincial Bishops; and this takes away all Appearance of Contradiction between the 12th Canon and this. The 12th Canon allows an Appeal to the condemned Bishop; but in this Canon that Liberty is restrain'd in one Case, *viz.* if the Proceedings of the Synod were Unanimous, and this was a very reasonable Proviso.

* 16. If any Bishop, without a See, throw himself into a Vacant Church, and usurp the Throne without a Full Synod, he shall be ejected, tho' the People whom he usurps have chosen him. That is a Full Synod in which the Metropolitan is present.

95.

'Tis very clear from this Canon that the People had very little share in Electing Bishops in this Age; when they could not so much as take one that was already ordained, but by some Accident was thrown out of his See, without the Approbation of the Synod.

17. If any one being Ordained Bishop, accept not the * *Liturgy*, and Church committed to him, let him be † Excommunicated till he comply, or till a Provincial Synod determine his Case.

96.

See

See Can. Ap. 29.
37.

* See Can. 13. Notes. † See Can. 11. Notes.

These two last Canons are observed by several Learned Men to have been quoted in the Canon of *Chalcedon*, under the Title of the 95th and 96th Canon, not of this Synod, but of the Publick Code. See Can. 4, 5.

97. 18. If any Bishop go not to the Parish to which he is Ordained, either by reason of the Aversion of the People, or for any other Cause that arises not from himself, let him partake of the Honour and Liturgy [of a Bishop]; || without *disturbing the Affairs of the Church, and let him stand to the Award of a Full Provincial Synod.*

See Can. Ap. 27.
39.

|| That is, by ordaining or officiating in any Church without the Consent of the Bishop.

98. 19. Let not a Bishop be Ordained without a Synod, and the Presence of the Metropolitan, who is to call all his * *Brethren in the Liturgy* to the Metropolitan, by Letter; and if all cannot be present, yet a Majority at least must give their Consent by Letter, and then let the Ordination be performed; otherwise let all be null: but if some contradict, out of an Affectation of Dispute, let the Majority of Voices prevail.

See Can. Ap. 1. Nic. 4.
* i. e. Fellow-Bishops.

20. For the Occasions of the Church, and the Decision of Controversies, it is Decreed, That Synods of Bishops in every Province do meet twice in every Year, one to end the 4th Week of *Pentecost*, the other on the † 15th of *October*, the Metropolitan Summoning the other Bishops; and that Priests, and Deacons, and all who suppose themselves wrong'd, may receive the Award of the Synod. Let not a Synod be holden without the Metropolitan.

99.

See *Can. Ap. 30. Nic. 5. Chal. 19.*

38.

† See Bishop Beverege's *Codex Can. Eccl. Prim. Illustratus*, L. 1. c. 4.

Pentecost signifies the whole time between *Easter* and *Whitsuntide*.

21. Let not a Bishop be translated to another Parish, either of his own Accord, or by any Force from the People, or Bishops; but let him remain in the Church to which he was first called, according to a former Decree.

100.

See *Can. Ap. 11. Nic. 15.*

14.

22. Let not a Bishop Ordain Priests, or Deacons, in Places not within his Jurisdiction, without Consent of the Bishop of the Place. Let all such Ordination be null, and the Bishop who performs it, be under the Correction of the Synod.

101.

See *Can. Ap. 28.*

36.

23. Let

102.

23. Let not a Bishop appoint his Successor; but such Provisions be null: but let the Constitution of the Church be observed, *viz.* that a Bishop be made no otherwise than in a Synod, and by the Judgment of the Bishops.

See *Can. Ap.* 68.

76.

103.

24. Let the Goods of the Church be kept, and administred by the Judgment and Authority of the Bishop. Let the Bishops and Deacons be well informed of what belongs to the Church, that if the Bishop die, what belongs to him be not seized, under Pretence that it belongs to the Church, and that the Church receive no Damage.

See *Can. Ap.* 33.

40.

104.

25. Let the Bishop have Power over all Goods that belong to the Church, and distribute them to those who are in want with all Caution, and apply them to the Use of himself, and his Brethren who sojourn with him; but if he pervert the Ecclesiastical Revenue, and the Rent of the Church-Farms to the enriching of his Family and Kindred, and do not manage them with the Consent of the Priests and Deacons, let him be accountable to the Synod; and if any Defame the Bishop and Priests for Male-Administration, let them

them be under the Correction of a Synod.

See Can. Ap. 34.

41.

The Reader will observe, that in the *Apostolical Canons* there is only Mention of *Goods* belonging to the Church, here of *Rents* and *Farms*, which is a plain Indication that the former Canons were made before the time of *Constantine*, when the Church generally was endowed with no Houses or Lands, but subsisted by the Liberal Offerings of its Votaries; whereas now, after the Empire was come into the Church, the Case was altered, and it was necessary that an Addition should be made to the Old Canons on this Account. From this, and many other Arguments, Bishop *Beverege* proves the Antiquity of the *Apostolical Canons*, from which the main of these of *Antioch* were Transcribed, with some proper Alterations.

Laodicean Canons.

THESE Canons were made by the Bishops of *Phrygia Pacatiana*, and the neighbouring Provinces met, A. D. 367. at *Laodicea*, upon the River *Lycus*, for the reviving of Ecclesiastical Discipline. The Papists would have it, that this Synod was held before that of *Nice*.

1. 'Tis

105.

1. 'Tis fit that they, * who are lawfully Married a second time, and † that had not been guilty of private Co-habitation beforehand, after having passed || a short time in Fasting and Prayer, be allowed the Communion.

See Can. Ap. 13.

17.

* *Justellus* observes, that there are three sorts of Digamy; 1. Having two Wives at once. 2. Marrying two successively, one after the Death of the other. 3. Marrying a second Wife, after having divorced the former, a thing very common in the Age and Country where this Synod was held: And he is of Opinion, that 'tis the third sort of Digamists against whom this Canon was made. Bishop Beverege will have this Canon understood of the second sort; for, says he, the Fathers would not have supposed that he who had divorced his Wife could be lawfully Married to another, the former living, in which I dare not be positive.

† So I turn λαθρογαμίταις, according to the old Glossators.

|| The time of Penance for such Persons was one Year.

106.

2. Those Offenders are to be brought to Communion, who by constant Prayer, Confession and Repentance are perfectly converted from their Sins, a certain time of Penance being given them, according to the quality of their Offence.

107.

* 3. One lately * *enlightened* ought not to be promoted to the Sacerdotal Honour.

See

Code of the Universal Church.

See *Can. Ap.* 71. *Nic.* 2.

80.

* i. e. Baptized.

4. That * they of the Sacerdotal Orders ought not to demand Usury, and [particularly] not that of one half of the Principal.

108.

See *Can. Ap.* 36. *Nic.* 17.

44.

* So I turn *ιεραλινός*.

* 5. That *Elections* ought not to be made in the Presence of the *Hearers*.

109.

I turn *χερσολίας*, Elections, viz. of Bishops, Priests, &c. according to *Bals.* and *Zonaras*, who suppose that the Reason of this Canon was, that the Crimes objected against the Candidates might not be made publick; and therefore by the *Hearers* they seem to understand the whole Congregation; but it may be understood of those called *Hearers*, *Can. Nic.* 11.

Aristenus understands the Word *χερσολία* of the Consecration-Service, which he says was not to be heard by the People.

* 6. That Hereticks, while they remain so, ought not to be permitted to enter into the House of God.

110.

7. That they who are converted from being Catechumens, or Communicants among the *Novatians*, *Photinians*, *Quartodecimans*, are not to be receiv'd till they Anathematize all Heresy, especially that in which they have been engaged: and

111.

E,

they

See

Laodicean Canons.

they who were called Communicants with them, having learn'd the Creeds and received the Crisme, may partake of the Holy Mysteries.

Novatians, See *Can. Nic. 8.* *Photinians*, their Heresy was a Mixture of *Paulianism*, of which see *Can. Nic. 19.* and *Sabellianism*, as Bishop *Stillingfleet* on the *Trinity* proves, p. 54. *Quartodecimans* were they who kept *Easter* on the 14th Day of the First Month, whether it were Sunday or not. *Aristenus* adds, that they were *Novatians* in not admitting laps'd Persons to Penance. *Baronius* blots out the *Photinians* here, as supposing, that they being not baptized into the Name of the Three Divine Persons, were not to be received without being first re-baptized; and indeed the Second Council of *Arles* forbids the *Photinians* to be received without Baptism; but yet this can be meant only of some, as appears by the following Words, viz. Let the *Bonofiaci*, who are under the same Error be receiv'd, with Crisme and Imposition of Hands. Anointing with Crisme was the Ceremony of receiving such converted Hereticks in the East, Imposition of Hands in the West, and in some Places both. It was a sort of Confirmation.

¶ 12.

8. They that turn from the Heresy of the * *Phrygians*, are to be Catechumens, and then Baptized, tho' they were reputed Clergy-men, and were of the first rank among them.

* *Montanists*, who believ'd but one Divine Person.

9. They

9. They of the Church must not go to the * *Cæmeteries, or Martyries* of Hereticks to Pray, or be cured; but if they do, must for a while be deprived of the Communion.

113.

See *Can. 34.*

* Places where Noted Men, or Martyrs lay Buried.

* 10. That they of the Church are not to Marry their Children promiscuously to Hereticks.

114.

11. That † *Priestesses*, or Women-Presidents are not to be constituted in the Church.

115.

† Such are mentioned, *Tit. ii. 3.* and have the same Name given them in the *Greek*, viz. *πρεσβυσις*. They are by all Writers I have met with confounded with Deaconesses, by Mistake, as I suppose. In the Decrees of Pope Gregory, *apud Justell. p. 273. Vol. I.* The first Decree Anathematizes *him that Marries a Priestess*; the Second, *him that Marries a Deaconess*, which I suppose to be a Demonstration that they were two distinct sorts of Female-Officers. Further, *Priestesses* were, I suppose, abolished by this Canon, tho' not in all places out of hand, yet within a short time; whereas Deaconesses were yet in u'se at the Council of *Chalcedon, Can. 13.* The Apostolical Constitutions, which were probably framed before these Canons of *Laudicaa*, commands the *Widows*, which were most probably the same with these *Priestesses*, to be subject to the Deaconesses. *L. 3. c. 7. vers. fin.* 'Tis probable that these *Priestesses*, as they sat before other Women in the Congregation; so they

were employ'd in instructing those of their own Sex in private, and preparing them for Baptism; while the Office of the Deaconesses was to guard the Doors at which the Women enter'd, and to place them in their several Seats (and in this particular even the Priestesses were to observe their Order) but especially to attend Women in the *Baptistry*, and undressing and dressing them again.

116. 12. That Bishops be constituted by the Discretion of the Metropolitans, and the Neighbouring Bishops.

117. * 13. That the Multitude be not permitted to chuse them, who are to be Ordained to the Priesthood. *Gr. ιεραλειον.*

118. * 14. That the Holy Mysteries be not carried into other Parishes on the Feast of *Easter*, by way of *Eulogies*.

From hence it appears, that a Custom was growing up of sending the Holy Sacrament from one Diocese to another, in token of mutual Communion.

119. * 15. None ought to Sing in the Church but * *Canonical Singers*, that go into the † *Ambon*, and Sing by Book.

* *i. e.* Singers upon the List, or enter'd into the Catalogue of the Clergy, often called the *Canon*.

† *i. e.* a Large Desk in the Nave of the Church in which Scriptures were read, and Psalms Sung.

120. * 16. That the Gospels, with the other Scriptures, ought to be read on the Sabbath-Day.

Pub.

Publick Assemblies were in this Age held on Sabbath-Days, *i. e.* Saturdays as well as Sundays ; but, says *Zonaras*, of old they proceeded from Prayer to the Sacrifice on the Sabbath-Day. This Canon orders that the Gospel be read before the Sacrifice on *Saturday*, as it uses to be on *Sunday* ; Of the difference of these two Days, See *Can. 29. and 49.*

* 17. That the *Psalms* must not be Sung one immediately after another, but a Lesson be read after every Psalm.

121.

* 18. That the same Liturgy of Prayers be used both at *Nones* and *Vespers*.

122.

By the *Liturgy of Prayers* we can reasonably understand nothing but the Publick Forms then in Use. *Nones* was what we call three a Clock in the Afternoon ; and Monks by their old Constitution were not to eat till they had said those Prayers, which were intended for that hour ; but they afterwards eluded this by saying those Prayers at Mid-day, from hence our present *Noon*, for the time of Dinner. *Vespers* were the Devotions used at six a Clock in the Evening, or after. Of old they Prayed in publick but at one of these times, now there was a new Custom of Praying at both ; and that no room might be left for Men to use their own Conceptions in the Service of God, 'tis order'd, that they who said Prayers at both Hours, should use the same Forms.

19. That after the Bishop's Sermon the Prayers be solemnly made over the Catechumens ; and when they are gone, over the Penitents ; and when they have been

123.

under the [Bishop's] Hand, and are retired, then that the three Prayers be made over the Communicants; that the first be done with * *Silence*, the second and third † *by way of Response*: That after the Priests have given Peace to the Bishop, that then the Lay-men give Peace; and that then the Holy Oblation be solemnly made, those only of the Clergy Communicating in the Chancel.

* *i. e.* The People not making their Responses.
† Or with Acclamation, Gr. δια' προσφωνήσεως.

124. 20. That a Deacon ought not to sit down in Presence of a Priest, till he bids him; and that the Deacon have the same respect from the || *Minister*, and all the Clerks.

|| *i. e.* *Sub-Deacons*. Gr. ὑποπρεβυς, in Lat. always faithfully render'd Minister, *i. e.* Attendant. See the 2 next Canons.

125. * 21. That Ministers have no Place in the Deacon's Apartment, nor touch the Sacred Vessels.

126. * 22. That the Minister ought not to wear an *Orarium*, nor to leave the Doors.

The *Orarium* was a sort of *Scarf*; Du Pin calls it a *Stole*, which the Bishop and Priest might have on each Shoulder, the Deacon on the left only, the Minister, or Sub-Deacon on neither. The Use the Deacon had for it, beside that of the Distinction of his Order, was to give Notice to the People and Clerks what they were

were to do or say, by the several wefts or motions that he made with it : and 'tis very probable that the Word is of *Latin* Original, and comes from *Orare, to pray* ; because by this the Deacon gave Signals to the People, when they were to make their *Responses*, and perform their parts in the publick Devotion. Others will bring it from *Os, oris, the Mouth*, supposing that it was at first used as a *Handkerchief* only. The *Greeks* would fetch it from *Ὠρᾶν, to observe*.

23. That Readers and Singers ought not to wear the *Orarium*. 127.

24. That none of the Priestly Order, nor Deacons, nor any of the Ecclesiastical Order, Ministers, Readers, Singers, Exorcists, Ostiaries, or of the rank of Asceticks, go into a publick House. 128.

See *Can. Ap. 46.*

54.

Asceticks were they who professed a more severe and mortified way of Life. *Origen, Clement, Alexander, &c.* make mention of such, and we meet with them frequently in the *Apostolical Constitutions*, which were written, as *Cotelerius* observes, before the Monastick Life was in use. See his *Note on Apost. Const. L. 8. c. 15.*

* 25. That a † Minister ought not to give the Bread, or *bless the Cup*. 129.

† See *Can. 20, 21, 22.*

It seems evident that to *bless* signifies no more in this place, than to give or *distribute*. There is no Variation in the Copies, so far as I can find.

130.

* 26. That none must perform the Office of Exorcist, either in the Church or private Houses, but they who are promoted to that Office by the Bishop.

131.

27. That neither † *those of the Priesthood, nor the Clergy*, nor of the Laity, ought, when they are invited to Love-Feasts, to carry away their Shares.

† Ἱερατικοὶ, *Bishops and Priests*, and (perhaps) *Deacons*; κληρικοὶ, *Sub-deacons, Readers, &c.*

132.

28. That Love-Feasts must not be held in Churches, nor Beds [for Guests to lie down upon] be made in the House of God.

The Learned Reader need not be told, that the Ancients, especially the Easterns, did not sit at Meat; but lay down, or recumbed on Beds. There are here Two Words signifying Churches, κυριακὴ, and ἐκκλησίαι. By comparing these Two Canons together, it is evident, that Love-Feasts are not forbidden; but they were only remov'd out of Consecrated Places.

133.

* 29. That Christians must not Judaize, and rest on the Sabbath-day; but Work on that very Day; and give the preference to the Lord's-day, by resting as Christians, if they can: But if they are found to Judaize, let them be Anathema from Christ.

See the difference between the Sabbath-day (or Saturday) and the Lord's-day; which some amongst us are taught by Dissenters to confound. *If they can*, i. e. if they be not Slaves to Heathen Masters, and so be forced to work.

30. That

30. That neither those of the Priesthood, nor of the Clergy, nor an Ascetick, nor Lay-man, shall wash in the Bath together with Women. 134.

Ἱερατικοί, those of the Priesthood; κληρικοί, those of the Clergy. See Can. 27. 36.

31. That Marriages ought not to be made with every Heretick, unless upon a promise of becoming Christians. 135.

32. That the *Eulogies* of Hereticks ought not to be received. 136.

Eulogies, that is, Bread and Wine offered on the Altar, but not Consecrated; which the Ancient Christians used to send from one Church and Diocese to another, in token of Friendship and Communion. Afterwards some proceeded so far, as even to send the Consecrated Elements. See Can. 14.

* 33. That one ought not to Pray with a Schismatick, or Heretick. 137.

See Can. Ap. 37.

45.

34. That Men ought not to leave the Martyrs of Christ, and go to Heretical Martyrs: Let them who do be Anathema. 138.

The 9th Canon is to be understood of those who went to Heretical *Cæmeteries*, at the Entreaty of others, for the recovery of their Health: This of those who went of choice, as being themselves Hereticks in their Hearts, or however greatly inclined to be so: Or else we must say, that these Canons were not made all

at a time, but by several Synods ; and that the latter Synod finding the evil to grow, provided a greater Punishment than the other had.

139. 35. That Christians must not leave the Church to go and * *invoke* Angels : If there be any that are found to spend their time in this secret Idolatry, let them be Anathema.

* *Gr. Name*, so to *name* God, or Christ, signifies to *invoke* them. *If.* xxvi. 13. 2 *Tim.* ii. 19. The *Laodiceans* were reprov'd by Christ for their *lukewarmness* in Christianity, *Rev.* iii. 15. their Worshipping Angels, and by consequence not adhering to Christ as the one perfect Mediator, was an Instance of this. This was an Error handed down to them from their Ancestors in the Apostle's days ; their Neighbours at *Coloss* were warn'd of it by *St. Paul*, in his Epistle to them, *Ch.* ii. *ver.* 18. and it was probably for this reason that that Epistle was to be read to the *Laodiceans*, *Ch.* iii. 16. *viz.* that this Error had taken deep root amongst them.

140. 36. That they of the Priesthood and Clergy must not be Magicians, Enchanters, † *Mathematicians*, or Astrologers ; or make Charms : and that they who wear Charms be cast out of the Church.

† The Ancients, both Christians and Heathens, by *Mathematicians* meant *Conjurers* ; and under this Name they were forbidden by several Imperial Laws.

141. * 37. That Doles, or Presents of Meat, ought not to be accepted, if sent from the Jewish

Jewish Feasts; and that Men ought not to Feast with them.

* 38. That Christians ought not to accept unleavened Bread from the Jews; nor to partake of their Impiety. 142.

See Can. Ap. 62.

70.

* 39. That Christians ought not to Feast with Heathens, or to partake of their Atheism. 143.

40. That Bishops being called to a Synod, to teach and learn, and refusing to come, do † *condemn themselves*, except in case of Bodily Infirmary. 144.

† They seem conscious to themselves of some Crime, and therefore decline the Church Judicature.

* 41. That a Clergy-man ought not to Travel without his Bishop's leave. 145.

42. Nor without Canonical Letters. 146.

See Can. Ap. 26. Antioch. 7.

34.

* 43. That the † *Minister* must not leave the Door, and take even a short time for Prayer. 147.

† i. e. The Sub-deacon, See Can. 21, 22, &c. But since there were *Ostiaries* in this Church, See Can. 24. How comes it that Sub-deacons here are represented as Door keepers? *Answer*, Probably the *Ostiaries* kept the outward Doors and Gates of the Church; the Sub-deacons kept the Doors of the Chancel; See Can. 44: or else it must be said.

said, that by *Minister*, here is meant the *Offi-ary*.

No Man ought to prefer his own particular Devotion to the Publick Office he has in the Church, say the old Scholiasts.

148.

* 44. That no Woman enter into the Chancel.

See *Can. Trull.* 69.

The 19th Canon of this Council forbids any but the Clergy, to go into the Chancel to Communicate; this forbids Women to go into it at any time; the reason thereof probably was, that the Chancel was the place set a-part for the Bishops and Priests; and if the Women had been allow'd to go into their Apartment, it might have given occasion of suspicion and reproach to censorious Men.

149.

* 45. That after the Second Week in *Lent* none ought to be received, in order to be † *enlightned*.

† *i. e.* Baptized. The proper time of Administring that Sacrament was *Easter-Eve*: they that desired to receive it were to apply themselves to the Bishop at the beginning of *Lent*; and they who did not thus apply themselves the Second Week in *Lent*, at farthest, are forbid by this Canon to be admitted to Baptism on the following *Easter-Eve*.

150.

* 46. That they who are to be Enlightend, ought perfectly to learn the † *Creed*, and rehearse it to the Bishop or Priests on *Munday-Thursday*.

* 47. That they who have received Baptism while they were Sick, and afterwards recover, must learn † *the Creed*; and be made sensible, that they have been vouchsafed the Divine Grace.

151.

† So I turn *missa*, and can see no reason to doubt that this is the true signification of it in both these Canons.

* 48. That they who are Baptiz'd must be Anointed with the Super-cœlestial Crism, and be made partakers of the Kingdom of God.

152.

The use of Oil in Confirmation is very ancient; 'tis of this the Canon is to be understood.

* 49. That Bread ought not to be offered during *Lent*, save on the *Sabbath-day* and *Lord's-day*.

153.

Lent was a Feast, the *Eucharist* a Feast; therefore no Consecration of the Holy Elements was allowed in that Solemn time, except on the *Sabbath* and *Sunday*, which were stated Feasts in the Church of this Age. Hence came the *Missa præsanctificationum* in the *Greek Church*, at which both Priest and People receive the Bread Consecrated the *Lord's-day* before. 'Tis plain, that the Church of *Rome* was not always so tenacious of the *Saturday Fast*, as they have been in latter Ages: If they had, this Canon could never have been receiv'd into their Code, as it most certainly was.

50. That Fast must not be broken on *Maundy-Thurs day*; but the whole *Lent* must be Fasted, by eating only dry Meats.

154.

The

The Ancients in *Lent* eat only Bread and Salt, drank only Water, and this down even to *Balsamon's* time in the *Greek Church*; and to this Day they are only allowed Pulse, Dates, Nuts, &c. But then *Saturdays* and *Sundays* were always excepted in the *Eastern Church*: And *Sunday* was not look'd upon as a Day of Abstinence, even in *Lent*, amongst the *Romanists*, till the last Age.

155. * 51. † *The Nativities of the Martyrs* ought not to be Celebrated in *Lent*; but a Commemoration of them is to be made on *Sabbath-days* and *Lord's-days*.

† That is, the Day of their Suffering, according to the *Primitive Language*.

156. * 52. That *Weddings* and *Birth-days* ought not to be Celebrated in *Lent*.

157. 53. That *Christians* ought not to † use wanton *Dancings* at their *Marriages*; but to have a modest *Dinner* and *Supper*.

† So I turn βαλλίζειν, & ὀρχεῖσθαι. I know some by the former Verb understand, *playing on the Cymbals*: but I can't be of their mind; for Musick was allowed to the Laity, tho' not to the Clergy. See *Can. 51.* and the Word implies tripudiation, or immodest Dancing.

158. * 54. That they of the *Priesthood* and *Clergy* ought not to gaze on fine *Shows*, at *Weddings*, or other *Feasts*; but before the *Musicians* enter, to rise up and retreat.

159. 55. That they of the *Priesthood* and *Clergy*, or even *Laity*, ought not to club together for great *Eating* and *Drinking Bouts*.

56. That

56. the *

*

57.

Ordai
they
do no

†

58.

to ma

59.

Men,

to be

Canon

stame

ment

ticus,

of N

Kings

Book

Canti

and B

zekiel

of the

St. P

S

|| So

Psal

think,

not e

Men

56. That Priests ought not to enter into
the * *Bema* before the Bishop. 160.

* See Not. on *Can. Nic.* II.

57. That no more Village-Bishops be
Ordained, but † *Visitors Itinerant*; that
they who are Ordained, and the Priests,
do nothing without the Bishop. 161.

† These Visitors were Priests.

58. That Bishops and Priests ought not
to make the Oblation in Private Houses. 162.

59. That *Psalms* || *Composed by private
Men*, or Un-canonical Books, ought not
to be read in the Church: but only the
Canonical Books of the Old and New Te-
stament. What Books of the Old Testa-
ment must be read: *Genesis, Exodus, Levi-
ticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy, Jesus the Son
of Naue, Judges, Ruth, Esther*, 4 Books of
Kings, 2 of *Paralipomena*, 2 of *Esdra's*, the
Book of 150 *Psalms*, *Proverbs, Ecclesiastes,*
Canticles, Job, 12 *Prophets, Isaiah, Jeremiah,*
and *Baruck's Lamentations*, and *Epistles, E-
zekiel, Daniel*. Of the New, 4 *Gospels, Acts*
of the *Apostles*, 7 *Catholick Epistles*, 14 of
St. Paul. 163.

See *Can. Ap.* 76.
85.

|| Some by *ῥαλλμοὶ ἰδιωτικοὶ*, understand all
Psalms drawn up by un-inspired Persons. I rather
think, that hereby are meant all *Psalms* that are
not either divinely Inspired, or Composed by
Men of some Eminence in the Church. Ju-
stellus

Jellus and Bishop *Beverege* do both give many Proofs of meer humane Compositions Sung in the Primitive Church.

This Canon is in all respects agreeable to our present Canon of Scripture, excepting that

1. It reckons *Baruck*, and the Epistle of *Jeremias* in the Canon; but let it be observed, that tho' they are in some Copies, yet not in all; that *Aristenus* in his Transcript has them not, nor *Caranza*, a flaming Papist.

2. It omits the Revelation of *St. John*, as did also the *Apostolical Canons* (which see;) therefore Mr. *Calamy* is mistaken when (*Part 2d. of his Defence*, p. 249.) he says, that the Canon of *Laodicea* delivers the Catalogue of the Canonical Books as we do. And this Canon does no more forbid the Reading of *Wisdom* and *Ecclesiasticus*, than of the *Revelation*: Further, this Canon enjoins the Reading of the Canonical Scripture, which yet is wholly, or for the most part, omitted in the Congregations of Dissenters of all sorts, if I am rightly inform'd; and little Reason has Mr. *Calamy* to reflect on those of the Church of *England* for reading *Apocryphal* Books in Publick, if he, or his Brethren, read neither *Apocryphal* nor *Canonical*. Upon this Occasion Mr. *Olyff* had reminded Mr. *Calamy* of his having Subscribed the 6th Article of the Church of *England*, in which are these Words, *viz. the other Books* (as *St. Jerom* saith) *the Church doth read for Example of Life*, &c. supposing that he, who, as he is obliged by the Act of *Toleration*, had Subscribed this Clause of the Article, could not with any face censure this Practice in the Church of *England*. And I really thought, that he who does in earnest Subscribe to any Opinion, or Practice of the Church, or of particular Men, did thereby shew to the World his Approbation of it. But we are

are mistaken, it seems, if we judge so in relation to Mr. Calamy and his Brethren: for he in the Name of the rest, in the fore-cited Place, tells us, that they only assert matter of fact; and that 'tis one thing for the Church to read the Apocryphal Books, and another thing to appoint and approve the reading of them in the Church; and by this Distinction supposes, that he is fairly rid of this difficulty: So when he was hard pressed by his Adversary for his Censures on the Damnatory Clauses in the Athanasian Creed, by being reminded, that he himself had Subscribed the 8th Article of Religion, one part of which is, that the Creed of Athanasius ought thoroughly to be received: He answers in his modest way, that tho' the Article intimates that the Creed ought thoroughly to be received, yet it does not necessarily follow, that it takes in the Appendages; and I may thoroughly receive the Substance of that Creed, and yet abhor the Damnatory Clauses: That is, by Subscribing the whole Creed I meant only the middle, not both ends; and by Parity of Reason other Men may Subscribe to both ends, and not to the middle. Strange that such Men as these should make Conscience of Subscribing the Liturgy, when upon such Principles they may Subscribe the Mass-Book.

N. B. The Greeks divide this last Canon into two and so make 60 Laodicean Canons.

Con -

Constantinopolitan Canons.

THESE Canons were made by 150 Bishops, Assembled at the General Council of *Constantinople*, A. D. 381, and 382, against *Macedonius* the Heretical Bishop of that City, who denied the Divinity of the Holy Ghost. They likewise Deposed *Maximus*, who had been made Bishop of *Constantinople* in *Macedonius's* stead, and confirmed *Gregory Nazianzen* in his Room; but *Gregory* observing the Heats occasioned by this means voluntarily abdicated the See, and *Nectarius* Succeeded.

163.

1. The Holy Fathers met together at *Constantinople* have determined, that the Creed of the 318 Bishops Assembled at *Nice*, remain firm; and that every Heresy be Anathematized, especially that of the *Eunomians*, and *Eudoxians*, the *Semi-Arians*, or *Pneumatomachi*, those of the *Sabellians*, *Marcellians*, *Photinians*, *Apollinarians*.

Eunomians were the more rigid *Arians*, who asserted, that the Son and Holy Ghost were in no respect like the Father; that the Son was created by the Father, the Holy Ghost by the Son.

Eudoxians were of the same Opinion with the *Eunomians*, but a Name more rarely used, tho' it was derived from *Eudoxius*, Bishop of *Constantinople*; whereas *Eunomius*, who gave them the
other

other
Se
with
Subj
Creat
were
P
both
serte
in th
gree
the
M
Bisho
the V
aby
in a
thos
of w
post
belie
Life,
the f
at N
S
ed,
stenc
Nam
the P
were
this
P
fed
See
have
viz
a re
in o

other Name, was only Bishop of *Cyzicum*.

Semi-Arians denied the Son to be *Consubstantial* with the Father, yet own'd that he was of a *like Substance*; and that tho' he was a Creature, yet Created not in the same manner that other things were.

Pneumato-machi were Orthodox in their Faith, both relating to the Father and Son, but asserted, that the Holy Ghost was a Creature; and in this last Point the *Semi-Arians* and they agreed. *Macedonius* Bishop of *Constantinople* was the Leader of this Heresy.

Marcellians were they who following *Marcellus* Bishop of *Ancyra*, asserted, that at the end of the World *Christ's Kingdom* should cease, and the $\alpha\beta\gamma$ return to the Father, and subsist no more in a distinct manner. In opposition to them those Words were inserted into the Creed, *viz. of whose Kingdom there shall be no end*; and in opposition to the *Pneumato-machi* those Words, *I believe in the Holy Ghost the Lord and Giver of Life, who with the Father and the Son together, &c.* the foregoing Part of the Creed was composed at *Nice*, and confirmed by this Canon.

Sabellians, from their Author *Sabellius*, asserted, that there was but one real Divine Subsistence; that the three Persons were but Three Names that consequently it might be said, that *the Father* suffered as well as the Son; hence they were also called *Patro-passians*: *Sabellius* learn'd this from *Noetus*.

Photinians, from their Master *Photinus*, espoused the same Opinions with *Paulus Samosatenus*. See *Can. Nic. 19.* tho' some will have them to have been *Sabellians*, but to have differ'd in this, *viz.* that after the Nativity of Christ there was a real Difference between Father and Son; and in one Point they differed from *Paulus* too, *viz.* that

that they believ'd the λόγος to have inhabited Christ from the time of his Nativity, whereas *Paulus* taught, that the λόγος did not descend into the Son, till after he had merited it by his Holy Life. See Bishop *Stillingsfleet* on the *Trinity*, p. 50.

Apollinarians, from *Apollinarius*, asserted, that Christ brought his humane Nature with him from Heaven; that he had a Soul (which yet they had before denied) but not a Νῆς; that the Divinity of Christ performed the Office of a Νῆς to his humane Nature; that the Divinity of the Son suffer'd, and was reviv'd by the Father. 'Tis very clear that they were *Arians*, and only differ'd from them in this particular, that they call'd the pre-existent Person of Christ a *Divine Person*, without believing it to be so.

165. 2. Let not Bishops go out of their *Diocese* to Churches out of their Bounds; but let the Bishop of *Alexandria*, according to the Canon, administer the Affairs of *Egypt*, and the Bishops of the East the Affairs of the East only, with a Salvo to the Ancient Privileges of the Church of *Antioch*, mention'd in the *Nicene Canons*. Let the Bishops of the *Asian* Diocese administer the *Asian* Affairs only; and they of *Pontus* the *Pontic*, and they of *Thrace* the *Thracian*: and let not Bishops go out of their Dioceses to Ordinations, or any Administrations, unless they be invited. And the aforesaid Canon concerning Dioceses being observed, 'tis evident that the Provincial Synod will have the Management of every Province, as was Decreed at *Nice*. The Churches amongst the *Barbarians* must be govern'd

govern'd according to the Customs which prevailed with their Ancestors.

The Occasion of making this Canon was, that *Meletius* an Eastern Bishop, before the time of the Meeting of this Synod, had with some others Ordained *Gregory Nazianzen*, Bishop of *Constantinople*; and some time after this, *Peter* Bishop of *Alexandria* had sent some Bishops out of *Egypt*, who Ordained *Maximus* the *Cynic*, Bishop of the same See: To prevent such disorderly Practices for the future, which tended to Schism, this Canon was made.

By *Diocese* is here meant that large District consisting of several Provinces, in which the *Patriarch* exercised his Jurisdiction. 'Tis a great Question whether *Patriarchates* were in being before the Council of *Nice*; it is certain if they were not, that Council erected none, but only established the *Ancient Customs*: but that *Patriarchates* were raised before this Council is very clear, tho' they had not that Name given them till some time after. Here are but five mention'd, *viz.* *Egypt* under the *Patriarch* of *Alexandria*, the *Eastern Diocese* under *Antioch*, the *Pontic* under *Cæsarea*, the *Asian* under *Ephesus*, the *Thracian* under *Constantinople*: The rest were *Thessalonica* in *Macedonia*, or the *Eastern Illyricum*, *Siriniam* in the *Western Rome* in the *Roman Prefecture*, *Milan* in the *Italic Diocese*; to which some add *Carthage* in *Africa*, *Lyons* in *France*, *Toledo* in *Spain*, *York* in the *Diocese of Britain*, tho' I need not tell my Reader, that in after-Ages there were many more, and that several of these last mentioned had never the express Titles of *Patriarchates* given them; and of some it would be hard to prove, that they had the real Power, which Power commonly consisted, *i.* In Ordaining all the *Metropolitans*

tans within the *Diocese*. 2. To call *Diocesan Synods*, and preside in them, and there to receive Appeals from *Provincial Synods*, and to enquire into the Administration of Metropolitans, and their Suffragans. 3. And that from them lay no Appeal. All that I know more of any moment concerning Patriarchs is,

1. That they were not erected all at once, or by any Council or other Authority; but by the Consent, Usage and Submission of the Bishops to those who sat in the more ample Sees.

2. That *Rome*, *Antioch* and *Alexandria* had before the Council of *Nice*, larger Jurisdiction than that of meer Metropolitans; and that they were still growing and topping upon their Neighbours.

3. That the Power of a Patriarch was not every where and always the same; but there were great Variations, Ebbs and Flows of Authority, in the very same *Diocese* or *Patriarchate*; and not only as to their Authority, but the Bounds of their Districts.

4. That there always were many Churches and Countries that never were under any Patriarchate, as *Cyprus* and *Iberia*.

5. Particularly that *Britain* never belong'd to any Patriarchate; or if it did 'twas to a Domestick one, at *York*. The Truth I take to be this, that our Arch-Bishop or Arch-Bishops always have ultimately determin'd all Matters here at home (excepting while we were under the Usurpation of the See of *Rome*) but without ever assuming the Title of *Patriarchs*, so far as appears. From all this it is evident, that all *Patriarchates* were originally Usurpations upon the Provincial Constitution, and consequently there is no Occasion to restore them where they are gone, much less to set them up where they never were.

3. That

3. The
the Pro
Bishop
Rome.

4. T
was no
been O
done t
null.

† See
you are
mercena
any of
people be
private
was an

Diony
Copy o
not me
belongi
makes t
to allow
further
Canon
ced th
Univer
the Tra
with th
Code;
Sardica
ry ancie
certain
ing Cat
were m
if not t
after.

3. That the Bishop of *Constantinople* have the Prerogative of Honour next after the Bishop of *Rome*: For *Constantinople* is New *Rome*.

166.

4. That † *Maximus the Cynick* neither was nor is a Bishop, nor they who have been Ordained by him; all that has been done to him, or by him, being actually null.

167.

† See *Preface* to this Canon; and further, you are to know, that he was Ordained by some mercenary Bishops brought out of *Ægypt*, not by any of the *Thracian Province*, to which *Constantinople* belong'd; and that he was Ordained in a private House, not in the Church, and that he was an *Apolinarian*.

Dionysius Exig. and *Iustellus* his most ancient Copy of the *Code of the Universal Church* do not mention any more than these 4 Canons, as belonging to this Synod: Further, *Dionys. Exig.* makes the 3d Canon an *Appendix* to the 2d, and so allows but Three Canons to this Council; and further, he makes but One of the 4th and 5th Canon of *Ancyra*, and by this means has reduced the whole Number of the Canons of the Universal Code to 165; and he declares that he Translated so many from the *Greek*, ending with these of *Constantinople*, in his *Preface* to his *Code*; and tells us he added those of *Chalcedon*, *Sardica*, and *Africa*. He had met with some very ancient, if not defective Book. However it is certain that the *Greeks* always received the following Canons; and 'tis generally owned, that they were made in some Council held at *Constantinople*, if not the same Year with the foregoing, yet soon after.

168.

* 5. As to what concerns || *the Tome of the Western Bishops*, we receive also those at *Antioch*, who acknowledge the One Deity of Father, Son and Holy Ghost.

|| By the *Tome*, &c. *Zonar.* and *Balsam.* understand, the Confession of Faith made by the Western Bishops at *Sardica*: *Iustellus*, the Confession of Faith sent A. D. 378. from the Synod of *Antioch* to *Damasus* Bishop of *Rome*: *Baronius* and *Du Pin*, the Synodical Epistle of *Damasus* to *Paulinus* of *Antioch*: *Valesius* and *Dr. Cave*, an Exposition of the Faith sent from a Synod at *Rome* to one at *Antioch*, to which the Eastern Bishops there Subscribed: Bishop *Beveridge* conjectures that this Canon was made by the Bishops met in Synod the Year after the General Council was held at *Constantinople*; and that the occasion of making the Canon was, that when they were met at *Constantinople*, they received a Letter from *Damasus* of *Rome*, inviting them to a Synod to be held in that City; but Bishop *Beveridge* supposes, that instead of going to this Synod at *Rome*, they wrote a Letter to *Damasus*, and therein enclosed this Canon, shewing that they consented to that Form of Faith which lately passed in a Synod of *Antioch*, and if they had gone to *Rome*, they could have done no more.

169.

6. If any one bring a private or personal Accusation against a Bishop, as having been oppressed or injured by him, no regard shall be had of the Person or Religion of him who brings the Accusation: But if an Ecclesiastical Crime be objected against the Bishop, then the Person of him who brings the Accusation shall be

be consider'd ; that so Hereticks, and Schismatics may not accuse Orthodox Bishops; and that they of the Clergy or Laity who stand Condemned, or Deposed, or Excommunicated, may not accuse a Bishop till they are cleared from the Crimes charged upon them : and that likewise they who are themselves accused before-hand, be not allowed to accuse a Bishop or Clergy-man, till they have proved themselves to be innocent. An Information against a Bishop must first be preterr'd before the Provincial Bishops, and if they be not sufficient to rectify Matters, then let it be brought before * *the Great Synod of the Diocese* ; and let not the Informers be permitted to produce their Allegations, till they have obliged themselves in Writing to some Penalty equal [to what the Bishop, in case he be Convicted, shall incur] if it be made appear that the Information against the Bishop was false, and feigned : But if any one dare trouble the Emperor's Ears; or the Temporal Judicatures, or a General Council, neglecting the Bishops of the Diocese, he shall by no means be allowed to give Information, as being one that throws contempt and reproach upon the Canons, and subverts the Ecclesiastical Order.

* See *Can. Ant.* 12.

7. We receive *Arians, Macedonians, Sabathians*, and *Novatians*, who call themselves *Puritans*, and *Continents*, and *Quartodecimans*,

170.

decimans, or *Tetradites*, and *Apollinarians*, if from being Hereticks they come over to the Orthodox Faith, and to the Party of the Saved, giving in a written Renunciation of their Errors, and Anathematizing every Heresy, by Sealing them with the Sacred Unction on the Forehead, and saying the Seal of the Spirit. The *Eunomians*, who are Baptized only with one Immersion; the *Montanists*, or *Phrygians* and *Sabellians*, we receive as we do *Pagans*, viz. the first Day as Christians, the Second as Catechumens, the third Day we Exorcise them, by blowing thrice into their Face and Ears, and make them continue a good while in the Church and hear the Scriptures, and afterwards we Baptize them.

Most of the Heresies here mentioned have been already explain'd in the 1st Canon of this Council. *Puritans*, see *Can. Nic. 8*. The Word *Continents* I borrow from *Du Pin*; the Greek Edition calls them *ἀπτεροί*, *Left-handed*, because, says *Balsam*, they would make no use of their *Left Hand*; but then they should rather have been called *Right-handed*. *Bishop Beveridge*, from *Justellus*, would rather read *ἀριστοί*, as if they had thought themselves the most Excellent; but 'tis more probable that they should call themselves *Continents*, (*ἡ ἀπαργισμὸς*), as abhorring Second Marriage.

As to *Quartodecimans*, see *Not. on Can. Ap. 4*. See also the 1st Canon of *Antioch*. 8.

Montanists, See *Can. Laod. 8*.

1. Here let the Judicious Reader observe, that the true Orthodox Church of Christ is called the Party of the Saved, according to the

Style

Style
Alt. i
the ju
prefer
Gram
a Cor.
2.
Heret
ment
nation
3.
ver p
where
And t
in all
tiochen
shews
after
that t

T
M
Bishop
there
Person
ity wa
only b
sequen
perly l

Style of the Holy Pen-men. Thus St. Luke, *Act. ii. ult.* says, *the Lord added to the Church daily the saved [People], not to be saved,* as 'tis in the present Translation, contrary to all Rules of Grammar: So Christians are called *the saved*, *1 Cor. i. 18. Eph. ii. 8, &c.*

2. The *Holy Unction* used upon such converted Hereticks as were already Baptized in the judgment of the Orthodox, was the Rite of *Confirmation*.

3. Bishop *Beveridge* asserts that this Canon never passed any Council, before that in *Trullo*, where you have this whole Canon, Number 95. And this he proves because it is not only wanting in all the *Latin* Translations, but in *Joannes An- tiochenus*, and several others; and further, he shews that *Sabbathius* did not turn *Novatian* till after this Synod: so that there could not at that time be any Sect called by his Name.

The Ephesine Canons,

MADE in a Synod of about 200 Bishops assembled at *Ephesus*, for the Condemnation of *Nestorius*, Bishop of *Constantinople*, who asserted, that there was not only Two Natures, but Two Persons in Christ *Jesus*; and that the De- ity was not *Hypostatically* united to him, but only by way of *Inhabitation*; and that consequently the Blessed Virgin could not properly be Styled *Θεοτοκος*: But *John* Bishop

of *Antioch*, with some others, would not consent to the Deposition of *Nestorius*; but form'd a separate Assembly, consisting of about 30 Bishops, who declared *Cyril* of *Alexandria* President of the Orthodox Synod in the Vacancy of the See of *Constantinople*; and *Celestine* Bishop of *Rome*, who held with the Orthodox, and had given his Proxy to *Cyril* of *Alexandria*, and *Memnon* Bishop of *Ephesus*, Hereticks; and therefore Deposed them so far as they were able: Nor did they declare for *Nestorius* only; but for *Celestius* a rank *Pelagian*.

N. B. That neither *Dionysius Exiguus*, nor any of the *Latines* have these Canons of *Ephesus*. Bishop *Beveridge* shews, that the first 6 were taken out of a Circular Epistle written by this Synod; but not drawn up in Form, as Canons use to be.

171. 1. We notify to * *your Holiness*, and *Charity*, that if any Metropolitan making a defection from this Great and General Council, go to the Apostatical Synod, or be of *Celestius's* Opinion, he is depriv'd of all Ecclesiastical Communion, and cannot Exercise his Office, so as to Act against the Bishops of his Province; but shall be liable to be Deposed by them, and the neighbouring Metropolitans.

* *i. e.* To the Bishop to whom this Letter was sent.

'Tis plain that this Canon was chiefly intended against *John Bishop of Antioch*; for they that afterwards were called *Patriarchs*, were first called *Metropolitans of the Diocese*. He and his Accomplices are *ipso facto* deprived of *Ecclesiastic & Communion*, of which, See *Can. Nic. 16.* and likewise made subject to Deposition.

2. If any Provincial Bishops have made a defection to the Apostatical Synod, after they had Subscribed to the Deposition of *Nestorius*, the Holy Synod Decrees, that they be Deposed from their Priesthood and Dignity. 172.

3. We pronounce it just, that they who have been prohibited the Exercise of their Sacred Function by *Nestorius*, for being Orthodox, be restored; and we wholly forbid the Orthodox Clergy to submit to the Apostatizing Bishops. 173.

4. The Holy Synod gives it in Charge, that all Clergy-men who adhere to the Opinions of *Nestorius* and *Calestius*, be Deposed. 174.

5. And that all who upon this Account have been Condemned by the Synod, or their own Bishops, do remain Excommunicated or Deposed. 175.

6. And that all who would set aside the Acts of the Holy Synod of *Ephesus*, be Deposed, if Bishops or Clergy-men; Excommunicated, if Laymen. 176.

7. And that they who Publish any Creed, except that of *Nice*, and particularly that which was brought by *Charisius* 177.

the Priest, containing the perverse Sentiments of *Nestorius*; if he be a Bishop or Clergy-man, let them be Deposed; if Laymen, Excommunicated.

278.

8. That the Bishops of *Antioch* make no Ordinations in *Cyprus*; and that the same be observed in other Diocesses; that no Bishop invade a Province that has not of old belonged to his Jurisdiction.

Tho' there can be no just Occasion of doubt but that the Contents of these Two Canons did pass the Synod, yet they are not in the Synodical Epistle. *Plorus* omits the Last; *Joannes Antiochenus* the Seventh; and so neither of them reckon above 7 Canons to this Council.

Fustellus tells us of a Copy of the Code of the Universal Church, ending with these Canons of *Constantinople*, in the *Palatine Library* at *Hamburg*; and which must therefore have been drawn up before the Council of *Chalcedon*, and had the Name of *Stephen* Bishop of *Ephesus*, written on it.

Chalcedon Canons.

Eutyches Priest, and *Archimandrite*, i. e. Abbot at *Constantinople*, in the heat of Dispute, and by the violence of a contradicting Spirit, while he opposed *Nestorius*, was so far transported, as to assert that there was but one Nature

in

in Christ, and that the humanity was absorbed in the Divinity, and that his Flesh was not consubstantial, or of the same Substance with ours: when he persisted in these Errors, a Synod was Assembled against him, [A. D. 451.] at Chalcedon, at which the Ancients lay there were 600 Bishops, tho' there are not so many Subscribers; but 'tis the common Opinion of Learned Men, that Subscriptions are not much to be depended upon. Eutyches his Errors were here condemn'd, and 30 Canons composed, in the first of which the Code of the Universal Church is confirmed.

1. We pronounce it to be fit and just, that *the Canons of the Holy Fathers made in every Synod to this present time be in full force.

1792

* By these Words we are to understand only the Canons of those Synods that have been already presented to the Reader, beginning with those of Nice, and which composed that Book of Canons so often cited in this Synod, as is agreed on all hands. As it is very Evident, that these Canons were the Laws by which the Church was governed before; so here they are most solemnly recognized and re-enforced by the greatest Authority of the Church of Christ, i. e. by the Voice of a General, Orthodox, and very numerous Council of Bishops. The Emperor Justinian did afterwards give them a Civil Sanction in these Words: We do Enact, That the Holy Ecclesiastical Canons made or confirm'd by the four Holy Synods have the force of Laws. Justinian Novel. 131. c. 1. By the Canons confirmed by

by General Councils can be meant only those Canons of the five Topical Synods, viz. *Ancyra*, *Gangra*, *Neo Caesarea*, *Antioch*, and *Laodicea*, before recited. Those of *Arles*, *Carthage*, *Eliberis*, and even *Sardica*, were not admitted into the Code, and probably some of them never heard of by these Holy Fathers; and 'tis observable that not one of the Canons here ratify'd was made in the *Latin Church*, or drawn up in that Tongue.

180.

2. If any Ordain, or promote any * *Officer*, or any one that does any way belong to the Canon, for Money or filthy Lucre, let him be Deposed; and let them who are so ordained, or promoted, and the Transactors, if they be Clergy-men, be Deposed; if Lay-men or Monks, Excommunicated.

See *Can. Ap. 22.*

30.

There are here three *Greek Names* of Officers in the Church, for which it will be hard to find good *English ones*, viz. *Ὁικονομῶν*, *Ἐκκλησιαστικῶν*, *Παροικισμῶν*. The first was to take care of the Estate and Buildings; the second was one whom the Bishop made use of in exercising Church-Discipline; the third in regulating the Provision for the Poor, the Strangers, &c.

Here let it be observed, that some are supposed to belong to the Canon who yet were not Ordained. See *Can. 23, 25, 26.*

181.

3. The Holy and Great Synod Decrees, That no Bishop, Clergy-man or Monk, do take to Farm, any Estate or Office, or involve

involve himself in Secular Cares, except he be unavoidably called by the Law to the Guardianship of Minors; and that the Bishop may take care of the Affairs of the Church, of the Widow and Fatherless, and such as want the Assistance of the Church. If any one transgress, let him be liable to Ecclesiastical Censures.

The Emperor *Marcian* favour'd this Canon with his Royal Presence, and tells the Fathers, *that there were some Articles, which out of honour to their Reverence he had reserv'd [to be treated on by] them, thinking it more decent, that they should be drawn up into Canons by them, than Enacted into a Law by him.* The three Articles were the Subject of this Canon; the 4th and 20th, which Canons are to the same Sense tho' not in the same Words that the Emperor propos'd. What he propos'd in the first place is the Contents of the 4th Canon: What he mention'd in the 2d Place of the 3d, and what he mention'd last of the 20th.

4. It is Decreed, that no one shall found a Monastery, or Oratory, without the leave of the Bishop of the City; and that Monks be subject to the Bishop, and give themselves to Quietness, Fasting and Prayer, continuing in the Places where they are appointed, without meddling in Ecclesiastical or Secular Business, nor leaving their own Monasteries, except the Bishop for some necessary cause permit them so to do. Let no Slave be admitted Monk without his Owner's Consent, upon pain of Excommunication.

182.

183.

5. As concerning Clergy-men or Bishops, that remove from one City to another, 'tis Detreed, that the Canons made by the Holy Fathers be in full Force.

See *Can. Ap. 11. Nic. 15.*

14.

184.

6. That no one be admitted to Holy Orders * *at large*, but particularly to the Church of some City, or Village, or † *Martyry*, or Monastery; and that the Ordination of those who are Ordained at large, be null.

* That is, *without a Title*, as we now speak.

† See *Can. Laod. 9.*

185.

7. We forbid Clergy-men or Monks any Place in the Army or Civil List; and they, who are guilty, and do not return to their first Choice, are to be Anathematized.

See *Can. Ap. 4. 72. 74.*

7. 81. 83.

Here the Punishment is increased, because, I suppose, this was a growing Evil, now that the Empire was Christian.

186.

8. Let the Clergy-men, who are retained in Hospitals, Monasteries or * *Martyries* be subject to the Bishop of the City: They who dare pervert this Constitution, if Clergy-men let them be under the Correction of the Canons; † if *Lay-men*, Excommunicated.

* See *Can. 6.*

† Lay.

† Lay-men might subvert this Constitution by founding Religious Houses, and pretending by the Charter of Donation to exempt the Clergy that officiated there from the Visitation of the Bishop, as many Princes have done; but none have violated this Canon more than Popes, who seldom refused to exempt any Body of Regular, or even Secular Clergy, from the Jurisdiction of the Ordinary, if Money were not wanting.

9. If one Clergy-man have a Controversy with another, let him first lay it before his own Bishop, or let it be tried by Referees chosen by each Party, with the Consent of the Bishop. Let him that does otherwise be liable to Canonical Censure. If a Clergy-man have a Complaint against his own, or another Bishop, let it be determined by a Provincial Synod: But if a Bishop or Clergy-man have a Dispute with his Metropolitan, let him apply himself to the *Exarch of the Diocese*, or to the Throne of *Constantinople*.

187.

|| i. e. To the Patriarch, See *Can. Constantinop. 2.* There was indeed an *Exarch of a Metropolis*, and he was no more than a *Metropolitan*. See *Can. Sard. 6.* The Word *Exarch* is used by Profane Authors, and signifies a *Prime, or Principal Person*. Further let the Reader observe, that here is a greater Privilege given by a General Council to the See of *Constantinople*, than ever was given by any Council, even that of *Sardica* to the Bishop of *Rome*; viz. that any Bishop or Clergy man might at the first Instance bring his Cause before the Bishop of *Constantinople*, if the Defendant were a *Metropolitan*. The Papists are

are at a perfect Non-plus, and say any thing to get rid of this Argument; but it *will not* be answered.

188.

10. Let no Clergy-man have his Name in the Catalogue of two Churches at the same time. A Clergy-man being Ordain'd, and having his Name Enrolled in one Church, and afterwards running to a greater, shall be return'd to the Church in which he was first Ordained: If any one have been * *translated* from one Church to another, he shall receive nothing from the first Church, or the Hospitals, or Martyries subject to it. Let the Offender be deprived of his Dignity.

Here the Reader may see what *Plurality* of Benefices or Dignities was unlawful in the Primitive times, *viz.* to belong to two Dioceses at once, as we now speak, or to be under two Bishops. This is a *Plurality* practised by some who have been very severe on Petty-Pluralists. To be a Member of two Cathedrals at one time is contrary to the Old Constitution, but not to the present.

* That is, removed with the Consent of his former Bishop. See *Can. Ap. 12.* *Nic. 15, 16.* 15, 16.

[189.

11. We Decree that they, who are necessitous, be allowed to travel with *Pacifick Letters* only, and not *Commendatory*; for Letters *Commendatory* are only for suspected Persons.

See *Can. Ant. 6.*

12. We

12. We Decree that no Bishop dare by
a * *Pragmatick* to divide one Province into
two, upon pain of Deposition; and what-
ever Cities have by the Letters of the Em-
peror been made Metropolis's shall enjoy
the bare Title, the real Rights being refer-
ved to the true Metropolitan.

* *i. e.* the Charter of the Emperor. A very
bold Canon, but necessary to put a stop to the
Encroachments of those Bishops, who knowing
not how to be subject to a Metropolitan, procu-
red their own Cities to be Erected into Metro-
polis's. *Eustathius* of *Berytus* had served his
Metropolitan of *Tyre* in this manner, in the
Reign of *Theodosius*; and had procured the Con-
sent of a Synod for the Confirmation of it: But
he of *Tyre* now making Application to the Em-
peror *Marcian*, was by him wisely remitted to
the Council, who gave him Redress by this Ca-
non. The Bishop of *Nice* had made the same
Attempt on his Metropolitan of *Nicodemia*; but
his Design was by the same means defeated.

13. Foreign, Unknown Clergy-men shall
by no means be admitted to officiate at
all in any other place, without Letters
Commendatory from their own Bishop.

A Clergy-man coming to another strange
Church without Letters Commendatory, might
justly be suspected. See *Can. 11.*

14. That Readers and Singers, where
they are permitted to Marry, do not take
Heterodox Wives; and that they who have
had Children by such Wives, bring them
over

190.

191.

192.

over to the Church, if they have before this been Baptized by Hereticks; if they have not been Baptized, that they do not permit them to be Baptized by Hereticks hereafter; nor Marry them to Heretick, Jew, or Gentile, unless the Person to whom they Marry, promise to come over to the Catholick Church. The Offender is liable to Canonical Censures.

193. 15. Let not a Woman be Ordained Deaconess before she be Forty, and that with strict Examination; and if afterwards she Marry, let both her and her Husband be Anathematized.

See *Can. Lucd.* 11. and observe another Difference between the Priestests and Deaconess, viz. that the former might not be Ordained before 60, 1 *Tim.* v. 10. This latter was to be Ordained at 40. Such a Deaconess was *Phabe* at *Cenchreae*, *Rom.* xvi. 1.

194. 16. That a Virgin that has Dedicated her self to God, or a Monk, may not Marry under pain of Excommunication. But we Decree, That the Bishop have Power of Indulgence in such Cases.

195. 17. That Country * *Parishes* that are in every Province remain to those Bishops, who for thirty Years past have been peaceably possess'd of them: But if any Dispute have been within thirty Years, then the Party aggrieved may make Application to the Provincial Synod; or if any one be wrong'd by his Metropolitan, let his Cause be tried by the Exarch of the Diocese,

Diocese, or the Throne of *Constantinople*,
† as is aforesaid. If any City be new-
built by the Emperor, the ordering of the
Parishes shall be according to the Civil
Pattern ||.

* It seems to me that by *Parishes* here is meant
what we now commonly call by that Name. † See
Can. 9. || That is, if the New City have a dis-
tinct Governour for Temporals, then it shall
have a distinct Bishop; but if the New City be
laid to the Governour of some former City, then
the New City to have only Priests under the Go-
vernment of the Bishop of the old City.

18. The Crime of *Conspiracy* is forbid
by the Civil Laws, much more ought it
to be forbid in the Church; therefore
Clergy-men or Monks conspiring against
their Bishop shall be depriv'd of their Digi-
nity.

196.

19. We understand that many things
are not corrected as they ought for want
of Provincial Synods; therefore the Holy
Synod Decrees, that according to the Ca-
nons of the Fathers, Bishops meet in every
Province twice a Year, where the Metro-
politan pleases; and that the Bishops who
do not meet be reprehended in a brotherly
manner, if they have no just Excuse.

197.

The Provincial Synod is here also supposed to
consist of Bishops. *Can. Ap. 30. Nic. 5. An-
tipoch. 20.*

386.

20. Clergy-men ought not to go to the
Church of another City, unless they be
forced.

198.

forced. If any Bishop receive a Clergy-man belonging to another Bishop, both are Excommunicated till the latter return home.

Excommunicated, that is, suspended *ab officio*. See *Can. Nic. 16. Eph. 1.* This was the last Particular recommended to them by the Emperor. See *Can. 3.*

199. 21. That Clergy-men or Lay-men be not admitted to accuse Bishops or Clergy-men, till their own Reputation has been Examined.

See *Can. Constantinopolitan. 6.*

200. 22. Clergy-men upon the Death of their Bishop must not seize what belongs to him under pain of losing their Dignity.

See *Can. Ap. 33. Antioch. 24.*
40.

201. 23. That Clergy-men and Monks, who without any Authority from the Bishop, and perhaps Excommunicated by him, run to *Constantinople*, and raise Sedition in the Ecclesiastical State, be warn'd by the *Defensor* of the Holy Church of *Constantinople*, to get them gone out of the Imperial City: and if they be obstinate, send them home by Force.

I translate *Ἐκδιχοί* after *Dionysius Exiguus*, and others, *Defensors*. If these were the same with them who were called *Defensores* in the African Church, as seems most probable, then they were Officers

Officers
for th
the A
upon
and a
move
same
does
comm
more
which

24
Con
Uses
ble

2
off
form
invi
be
fits
the

B
Offi
were
was
ble
Can

2
E
Syn
ha

Officers Instituted by the Emperor to do Justice for the Poor against the Rich, and to see that the Authority of the Church were not trampled upon; but her Canons and Decrees executed, and all outward Force against the Church removed, Bishop Beveridge would have them the same with our *Ecclesiastical Chancellors*; but he does not prove, that they had the power of Excommunication, without which they could be no more than what our *Auditors* were of old; of which See Part 1. Chap. 26.

See Can. Afr. 75.

24. That Monasteries having been once Consecrated, be not applied to Secular Uses: That they who permit it, be liable to Canonical Censures.

202.

25. That Metropolitans who have put off the Consecration of Bishops, do perform it within three Months, except some invincible Necessity require that the time be lengthen'd; and that the Mesne Profits of the Widow Church be secured by the *Oeconomus*.

203.

Before Constantine's time we read of no such Officer as the *Oeconomus*, but now that Churches were well endow'd (See Can. Ant. 25) there was a just Occasion for them. It seems probable that they had been Lay-men hitherto. See Can. 2.

26. Whereas some Bishops manage their Estate without an *Oeconomus*; the Holy Synod Decrees, that every Bishop's Church have an *Oeconomus* out of its own Clergy

204.

to

to manage the Ecclesiastical Estate at the Direction of the Bishop, that so there may be one to bear Testimony to the Bishop's Administration, and the Priesthood may be without Reproach. He that does not comply, let him be obnoxious to the Divine Canons.

'Tis very probable that this Canon was the Rise of those Officers called Arch Deacons. They were first Stewards of the Church Estate under the Bishop; and this was a fair Step to any further growth in Power or Honour.

205. 27. They who take Women by Force under Pretence of Marriage, and their Accomplices, are to be Deposed, if Clergymen; Anathematized, if Lay-men.

206. 28. We follow the Decrees of the Fathers, and honour Old *Rome*, as the Imperial City; and we recognize the * *Canon of the 150 Bishops*, most beloved of God, which gives the City of *Constantinople*, which is New *Rome*, equal Privileges: Rightly judging that the City, which is the Seat of Empire, and of a Senate, and is equal to the old Empress *Rome* in other Privileges, should be so also in Ecclesiastical Concerns, as being the second, and next after her; and that the Metropolitans only of the *Pontic*, *Asian*, and *Thracian* Dioceses be Ordained by the most Holy Throne of *Constantinople*; but even † the Bishops of the said Dioceses which lie among the *Barbarians*; || the *Metropolitans of the said Dioceses* ordaining [the other]

other] Bishops subject to them, and the Arch Bishop of Constantinople Ordaining the said Metropolitans, after the Elections have been first made according to Custom, and reported to him.

* i. e. The third Canon of Constantinople. † It should appear that some of the *Dioceses* here mentioned, were supposed to take in Cities and Churches which belonged not to the *Roman* Empire; the *Asiani*, says *Balsamon*, belong'd to the *Pontic* Diocese; the *Russi* to the *Thracian*; and all without the Bounds of the Empire were called *Barbarians*. || i. e. *Exarchs* or *Patriarchs*. See *Can. 9.*

Dionysius Exiguus has not inserted this Canon into his *Latin* Code, and the Reason is plain, he was a Courtier at *Rome*: and the *Romanists* could never bear with this Resolution of the Council of *Chalcedon*; because it grounds the Primacy of the Bishop of *Rome*, as well as *Constantinople*, on the Eminency of those two Cities; not on any Divine Right; and equals the latter to the former in all respects, except only bare Precedence in place, the Woman's Point of Honour. The Pope's Legates objected against this Resolution, as appears by the Acts of *Constantinople*, all the other Bishops unanimously concurr'd in it; and tho' *Dionysius* left it out of his Code, yet it is extant in the more Ancient *Latin* Collection publish'd by *Justellus*, tho' out of its place, and among the *Constantinopolitan* Canons. 'Tis own'd that this never was drawn up in form of a Canon by the Fathers of this Council, but pass'd as a Synodical Act or Decree.

¶ See *Can. 30.*

207.

29. 'Tis Sacrilege to degrade Bishops into the Order of Priests. If for any just Cause they are removed from the Episcopal Function, they deserve not the Character of Priests: If without Cause they are depress'd to a lower degree, they shall be restored to their Dignity.

Eusebius to finish his Usurpation on part of the Province of *Tyre*, had degraded the six Bishops, whose Sees were laid to his Province by the *Imperial Pragmatick*, into the Order of Priests; this Canon was made to controll that unpresidential Undertaking; so I call it, tho' it seems probable, that Priests and Deacons were degraded in the Primitive Church into the Inferior Orders. See *Can. Neo-Cas.* 1, 9, 10.

Here ends the Code of the Universal Church.

'Tis true, if we take the Synod of *Trullo* for a General Council, as it really was as much as any other Synod whatsoever, then all the Canons contained in this Volume are Part of that Code: But since the Church of *Rome* has now for many Ages disowned that Council, and does not allow of the Canons there made: and since the Canons of *Nice*, with those that follow to this present Canon, are most commonly styled the *Code of the Universal Church*, and were certainly regarded as such for several hundred Years, I therefore chuse to speak as others do, and so here fix the Bounds of the *Code of the Universal Church*.

30. It seems just that the most pious Bishops of *Agypt* defer Subscribing to the Epistle of the most Holy *Arch-Bishop Leo*, till they have a Bishop Consecrated for the City of *Alexandria*, without whose Consent (by Custom) they ought not to do it, as they say; and in the interim they are to remain in the Royal City, *Constantinople*, till an Arch-Bishop of the Great City of *Alexandria* be Ordained: and they shall give Sureties, if possible, or an Oath [for their compliance.]

Dioscorus of *Alexandria* had been Deposed in this Synod for *Eutychianism*, and there had as yet been no Successor appointed him; in the mean time the Bishops of *Agypt* are called upon to Subscribe an Epistle written to the Bishop of *Constantinople*, against *Eutyches* and his Errors, as the rest of the Fathers had unanimously done. They Answer'd, that they were ready to comply, but that 'twas the Custom in their Diocese, for the Bishops to do nothing of this Sort, without the Consent of their *Metropolitan*. The Fathers allowed their plea to be reasonable, yet suspected, that they were of a piece with their late Arch-Bishop.

Let the Reader observe, that the Three greatest Prelates in the Church, they of *Rome*, *Constantinople* and *Alexandria*, have the Title of *Arch-Bishop* given them by this Synod, in the 28th, he of *Constantinople*, the other Two in this: tho' by the bye, many will not allow this to be a Canon, no more than the other; nor does *Dion. Exiguus* give these 3 last Canons any place in his Collection. 'Tis sufficient for us, that the Synod did actually pass such a

Resolve

Resolve, which yet remains upon Record in almost so many Words, in the Acts of this Council; and the *Greeks* reckon 30 Canons to this Council, whereof this is the last.

Having now Concluded *the Code of the Universal Church*, I proceed in the next place to those Canons, which, tho' they never belong'd to the Code of the Universal Church, yet are allowed to belong to the Separate Codes, both of the Eastern and Western Church, which Character belongs only to the *Sardican* and *African* Canons. 'Tis true, *Du Pin* says, when he is in a heat against the *Sardican* Canons, that *the East never received them*, forgetting that they are received by the 2d Canon of *Trullo*, to which the East has and does adhere: Then I shall proceed to those Canons which were of old received both by the *Greek* and *Latin* Churches, but now by the former only: And last of all to those *Papal* Decrees, that never were acknowledg'd by any but the Latter.

Sardi-

Sardican Canons.

A *Thanasius* Bishop of *Alexandria*, and several others, being Deposed by the *Arian* Party which prevailed in the East, by the countenance that the Emperor *Constantius* gave to their Cause, take Sanctuary at *Rome*: *Julius* Bishop of that City, hears their Cause in a Synod assembled for that purpose, and decides in Favour of them, that they ought to be restored to their Sees; and writes to the Eastern Bishops requiring them accordingly to restore them. When this was refused, *Constans*, Emperor of the West, espouses their Cause, and threatens *Constantius* with a War, in case he did not oblige the Bishops to recall their Sentence, and permit *Athanasius*, and his Associates, to return to their Bishopricks. Hereupon, by the joint consent of both Emperors, this Synod is appointed to meet at *Sardica* in *Illyricum*, to give a final Decision to this Dispute. The Eastern Bishops, to the Number of 76, come to *Sardica*; but the great Majority of them being *Arians*, refuse to Assemble with the Western, (who were Orthodox) and go and form an Assembly of their own, at *Philippopolis* in *Thrace*: The Western, with *Athanasius*, and the other Refugees, held a Synod in *Sardica*, [A. D. 347.] where they absolve *Athanasius*, and

and the other Orthodox Bishops, from the Sentence of Deposition; and for their further Security make these Canons. 'Tis commonly said, that some *British* Bishops were at this Council; the chief Evidence for it is a Passage in a *Latin* Translation of *St. Athanasius's* 2d Apology; but the *Greek*, which is the Original, has no Words implying any such matter. The most that can be said, is, that *British* Bishops may have been at this Synod for ought appears to the contrary. All allow that the Bishops of *Gaul* were there; and in the Monuments of those Times *Gaul* sometimes includes *Britain*. This Canon was intended to be a General one, being called by the Emperors both of the East and West, and designed to consist of Bishops from both Parts; but in the Event, by the Succession of the Eastern Bishops it came to pass, that it was really a Western Synod only; and therefore its Canons were never received into the Code of the *Universal Church*.

1. If any Bishop remove from a lesser City to a greater, thro' an affectation of Power, he is unworthy, even of Lay-Communion.

See *Apost. Can.* 11. *Nic.* 15. *Ant.* 21.
14.

2. If any, to palliate this, pretend Letters from the People (some of whom are hired to raise Sedition in the Church) as if

if they desired to have him for their Bishop; let such a one not be received to Lay-Communion, even at the point of Death.

'Tis strange that these Fathers should be severer against the Translation of Bishops, tho' procured by Fraud and Simony, than those of Nice against them who had laps'd into Idolatry, See *Can. Nic.* 13. Perhaps none were ever denied the Communion at point of Death, upon Repentance, but only Translated Bishops; and whether ever they were denied it, is a Question that I am not able to determine. This Canon seems to me to be such a Law as was never like to be Executed; and only shews the great resentment of those who made it, against that which probably was then the reigning Vice amongst the great Prelates of the Church.

* 3. 'Tis necessary also to add this, that no Bishop go out of his own Province into another where there are Bishops, unless he be invired by his Brethren in that Province: (that we may not seem to shut up the doors of Love) this is also to be taken care of, that if a Bishop in any Province have a Dispute with his Brother, and Fellow-Bishop, neither of them call Bishops to hear their Cause out of another Province: but if any particular Bishop think that he hath been Mis-judged in any Cause, and conceive that his Cause is good, and that he has no reason to be ashamed on't; in order to his coming to another Tryal, do's your Love think fit to honour
H the

the Memory of *Peter* the Apostle? and that *Julius* the Bishop of *Rome* be writ to, that he may have another Tryal before the Bishops, that are next Neighbours to that Province, (if it be thought proper) and that he appoint those who are to take cognisance of the Cause: But if he cannot make it appear that his Cause is such, as to need a review, let not what has been once determined be revok'd, but remain in full force, as it is.

* 4. If any Bishop have been Deposed by the Sentence of his Neighbouring Bishops, and declare, that he intends to have a review of his Cause; let not another be Substituted in his See, till the Bishop of *Rome* have taken cognisance of it, and given his Decision.

Dionysius Ex-
iguus makes
this the 7th
Canon;

* 5. If any Bishop have been delated, and the Bishops of that Country being Assembled together have Deposed him, and he make his Refuge to the most blessed Bishop of *Rome*, as it were by way of Appeal, and he be willing to hear him, and judge it fit to have his Cause examin'd again; he shall vouchsafe to Write to the Bishops that are next to that Province, that they make a diligent and accurate Scrutiny, and give Sentence according to the merits of the Cause: But if any one do yet desire to have his Cause heard over again, and the Bishop of *Rome* approve of his request; let him send his Priests & *Layere*: That it be in the Power of the same

same Bishop [*Julius*] (he approving and thinking fit that it should be so) to send such as may take cognisance of the Cause, together with the Bishops, they having a Commission from him who sent them; and this must be resolved upon: But if he be convinced of the Sufficiency of the Bishops to determine the Cause, he shall act as seems best to his Wisdom. The Bishops answer'd, We approve of what has been said.

I have Translated these 3 Canons at large, and almost literally, not only because they are of a very odd Texture, and will not easily be contracted; but that the Reader may judge the better how far they favour the Cause of the *Romanists*. 'Tis own'd, that they say more to this purpose, than any truly Ancient Canon beside these. *Petrus de Marca* grounds the Primacy of the Pope on these Canons; but then it must be own'd, that it is laid upon a humane Foundation: For if this Council were a General one, as the *Papists* pretend, yet what derives its Authority from thence only, can never, among competent Judges, be thought to be of Divine Right: and there need no clearer Proof, that the Pope is Inferior to a General Council, and that there lies an Appeal from one to the other, than the Proceedings of this Council; for they took upon them to judge over again a Cause which had already been judged by the Bishop of Rome; viz. The Cause of *Athanasius*, and his Brethren, as Bishop *Stillingfleet* well observes. *O. ig. Bru.* P. 143. *Du Pin* further makes this Reflection, that these Canons do not give the Pope Power to judge the Cause of a Bishop at his own Tribunal

at Rome; but only to inquire, whether it were well or ill determined; and in case he found it was determined wrong, to order a new Decision of it in that Country, and by the Neighbouring Bishops of the Province, where it was determined. *Richarius*, a Doctor of the *Sorbonne*, adds, "that they were only *Provincial Canons*, made for the Security of the *Eastern Orthodox Bishops*, against the *Arians*: Further, says he, "this Prerogative was not given to the See of *Rome*; but only to the present Pope, whom they expressly name, *viz. Julius*; and consequently that they were designed to be made use of only in the Case now before them. *Hist. Gen. Concilior. L. 1. c. 3.* where he also proves at large, that this was no General Council. Bishop *Stillingfleet* has another very just Observation on these Canons, *viz.* That the design of them was to transfer the Right (not of Appeals, properly so called;) but of granting a Rehearing from the Emperor (of which See *Can. Ant. 12, 15.*) to the Bishop of *Rome*; and, that *Constantius's* openly favouring the *Arian Party* was the occasion of it.

I should be scarce just to my Reader, if I should not take this occasion to tell him, that these Canons gave a handle to the See of *Rome*, even in the early Times, to attempt as notorious a Fraud as any to be met with in History. *Apollinaris*, an *African Priest*, being Deposed at home by *Urban Bishop of Sicca*, for very gross Immoralities, betakes himself to *Zosimus Bishop of Rome* [*Anno Dom. 415*] and was by him received to Communion; nor only so, but Legates were sent by *Zosimus* into *Africa* to hear *Apollinaris's* Cause over again; pretending that the Bishop of *Rome* had this Privilege granted to him by the Council of *Nice*. The *African Bishops* were
occasion-

occasionally met in Synod at that very juncture, to the Number of 270; and when the Legates had told them the occasion of their coming, they withal added, that the Bishop of Rome had this Authority given him by the *Nicene Canons*; but instead of the *Nicene* cite these *Sardican Canons*. The *Africans* answer, that they never heard of any such Canons made at the Council of *Nice*; that they found none such in the Copies they had; but that they would send into the East for Authentick Copies of the *Nicene Canons*, and govern themselves according to them: They did send, and were answered by the Bishops of *Constantinople* and *Alexandria*, "That the Synod of *Nice* made but 20 Canons, which were in every one's hands; and that among them, no such Canons as those cited by the Pope's Legates were to be found. 'Twould be too long to rehearse all particular Circumstances; I shall only add, that after Six Years (for so long was this Business depending) they write a final Answer to *Caelestin*, then Pope, *Zosimus* and his Successor *Boniface* being dead in the interim, in which they tell him, among a great many other things, very much to the purpose, that the Council of *Nice* had determined the direct contrary to what was pretended by the Legates; that Delinquent Clergys were thereby left to their own Bishops, Bishops to their Metropolitans; that all such Business should be determined in the places where they arise; that the Grace of the Holy Spirit would not be wanting in every Province, whereby the Priests of Christ might wisely see, and constantly hold what was right; that they could find no Decree of the Fathers, whereby the Pope was Authorized to send Legates à Latere to them, &c. By these last Words it appears, that either they had never heard of these Canons of *Sardica*, tho' pass'd 68 Years be-

fore; or however, that they did not look on these Canons as obligatory to them: for else they would not have absolutely denied his Power of sending Legates, tho' they might have denied it him in the present Case. Thus did these *African* Bishops make a great and noble stand in opposition to the first Encroachments of the See of *Rome* on the Church of *Africa*; and discovered one of the most gross Impositions that ever was intended to be put on so Venerable a Body of Men: and this they did with all the Modesty and Deference that could be paid by one Church to another, without being guilty of implicate Faith, and blind Obedience. For they admitted *Apianus* to Communion, *pendente lite*, till the Wretch openly confesses his own Guilt: They distrusted their own Copies of the *Nicene* Council; they would not be Judges in their own Cause, but referr'd themselves to the Original, or Authentick Records of the *Nicene* Council, kept in the Principal Sees of those Countries near to which the Council was held. And to say all in a Word, Modesty at last carried the Cause, against Insolence and Usurpation; and Simplicity bore down and triumph'd over *Romish* Fraud and Forgery. The Sophisters of the Church of *Rome* do mightily belabour themselves to make a Plaster for this Sore; but 'tis altogether incurable: nor can the wit of Man contrive so much as a Veil to cover it. *Richerius*, a profess'd Papist, but an Enemy to the Court of *Rome*, because a Friend to Truth, where he saw it, has detected all that is said by *Baronius* and *Binius*, and all the Advocates of this Cause, to be meer fiction and forced stuff. It ought not to be forgotten, that the great *St. Augustin* of *Hipp*, was One of those brave *Africans*, that discovered and opposed this fraudulent Attempt of the See of *Rome*. 6. 10

6. If one Bishop in a Province neglect to Meet, and Consent to the Ordination of a Bishop desired by the People, let him be Summon'd by the Letters of the * *Exarch of the Metropolis*; but if he don't come, let the People have their Desire. Let the Bishops of the Neighbouring Province be invited to the Ordination of a Metropolitan. † Let not a Bishop be constituted in a small City, for which one Priest may suffice; but let there be Bishops in Cities that use to have one; and let a Bishop be allowed to Populous Cities.

Dionysius Exigius makes this Canon the 5th.

† This the 6.

* i. e. the Metropolitan; for as the *Exarch of the Diocese* denotes a Patriarch, so the *Exarch of the Metropolis*, a bare Metropolitan.

Dion. Exig. makes this the 8th.

7. That no Bishop ought to go to the Court or Camp, but those whom the Pious Emperor calls by his Letters, only to redress the Cause of Widows or Orphans, or of those who being banish'd for their Crimes flee to the Mercy of the Church.

'Twas look'd upon as part of the Bishops Duty to intercede for the afflicted and miserable; but many Bishops, especially the *Africans* (as the Preface of the Canon at large tells us) frequented the Camp, where the Emperor spent great part of his time to solicit for Preferment for themselves or Friends.

8. If any Bishop have Occasion of making Application in the Camp, let him send his || *proper Minister*.

This and the following Canon *Dion. Exig.* makes the 9th.

Sardican Canons, belonging to the

|| i. e. probably his Chief Deacon, which afterwards grew into what we now call an Arch-Deacon. I know it sometimes signifies a Sub Deacon, *Can. Laod. 20, 21.* but most properly a Deacon, and so the *Greeks* here translate the *Latin Minister*, viz. *Διδάσκων* &c.

9. Upon Petition made to the Metropolitan by his Bishops, he shall send his Minister, and give him Letters Commendatory to the Bishops of the Place, where the Pious Emperor is administering his Affairs; and if a Bishop have Friends in the Imperial Palace, let him send his Minister to procure their Help. * They that go to *Rome* ought to make our Beloved Brother and Fellow-Bishop *Julius* acquainted with their Petitions, that unless they be immodest, he may send them to the Camp with his Authority.

* This that follows *Dion. Exig.* makes *gbe* 10th.

Dion. Exig.

13.

10. If a Rich Man, or one Educated in a [Rhetorick] School be thought worthy to be made a Bishop, let him not be Ordained, till he have performed the Ministry of a Reader, Sub-Deacon, Deacon, and Priest, and he shall continue a pretty while in every Order; for no one ought easily, and of a sudden to be Ordained Bishop, Priest or Deacon.

Dion. Exig.

14.

11. If a strange Bishop come, and make a long stay in another Bishop's City, who is one of less Learning, let him not bring him into Contempt by his own frequent Preaching, and raise Disturbance, and so get to himself another Man's Bishoprick: there-

there
Chur
Week
does
Days
Conf
form
+
Numb
Greek

12
(whe
in o
Wee
Rent
the C
wher
quen

13
a R
com
give
Affe
S

14
cient
Nic.

15
com
coun
Pro

therefore let no Bishop be absent from his Church, without Necessity, above three Weeks, especially because a Lay-man, who does not Communicate for three *Lord's Days* together is Excommunicated by † the Constitution made by our || Brethren in a former Council.

† This Canon is lost; for the Canon of *Trullo*, Number 80, was made long after this. || The Greeks have it *Our Fathers*.

12. Bishops, that have great Estates (whereby they may be helpful to the Poor) in other Parishes, may remain there three Weeks, when they go to receive their Rents, and hold an Assembly, and make the Oblation in some Neighbouring Church, where a Priest only Officiates, and not frequent a City where there is a Bishop. *Dionysius Exiguus* makes this the 15th.

13. The Bishop, that knowingly receives a Refugee, Priest, Deacon or Clerk, Excommunicated by his own Bishop, shall give Account of himself to || the Bishops Assembled in Synod. *Dion. Exig.*
16.

See *Can. Ap.* 10. 25.

13. 33.

|| Here again it is fairly intimated, that Ancient Synods consisted of Bishops. See *Can. Nic.* 5.

14. If any Bishop in a sudden heat Excommunicate a Priest, or Deacon, let recourse be had to * the Metropolitan of the Province, or in his Absence, to some Neighbouring *Dion. Exig.*
17.

Sardican Canons, belonging to the
bowring Metropolitan, that the Affair may
 be examined, and the Sentence confirm'd,
 or revok'd; and let not the Excommuni-
 cated Person put himself into Communion,
 before such Examination.

* *Dionysius Exiguus* has it to the *Neighbouring*
Bishops. This Canon was read and approved in
 the Synod of *Carthage*, A. D. 418.

Dionysius Ex-
iguus

18, 19.

15. If a Bishop Ordain one a † *Mini-*
ster, that belongs to another Parish, let
 the Ordination be void, except he have
 the Consent of his proper Bishop.

See *Can. Nic.* 16.

† *Gr. ἀμπέλις*, that is, a Sub-Deacon, or any Or-
 der below that, scarce can it signify a Deacon.

Dion. Exig.
 20.

16. Let the Canons made against Bi-
 shops absenting themselves from their Ci-
 ties, be put in force against those Priests
 and Deacons of other Provinces, who come
 and spend their time at *Theſſalonica*.

Dion. Exig.
 21.

17. If any Bishop be ejected for the Ca-
 tholick Discipline and Profession, or for
 Defence of the Truth, and being Innocent,
 yet under Execration, go to another City
 to avoid danger, let him not be forbid to
 stay there, till he find redress from the
 wrong done to him.

This Canon was made in Behalf of *Athanasius*
 and his Fellow-Sufferers. See Preface to these
 Canons.

18. 'Tis Decreed, That they who were Ordained by *Musans* and *Eutychianus*, † be received, they being in no fault.

† Whether as Clergy-men, or only as Lay-Communicants is uncertain.

19. They who have been Ordained to the Clergy by our Fellow-Bishops, but will not now return to their Churches, shall not afterwards be admitted: *Eutychianus* and *Musans* shall not be owned as Bishops, but received to Lay-Communion, if they desire it.

The 18th and 19th Canons are not in the *Latin* Editions: As to the Story of *Musans* and *Eutychianus* we are all in the dark. They seem to have been Deposed from their Bishopricks for some Crime, and to have Ordained some Clergymen after they had committed the Crime, but before they were Deposed, or however before their Deposition came to the Knowledge of the Clergy-men Ordained by them.

20. If any one act contrary to what is Decreed by all, he shall be lyable to be called to an Account, and to lose his Episcopal Honour.

21. Every Bishop dwelling in the Road to Court, shall examine every Bishop that he sees; and if he find any one invited to Court, let him give him no delay; but if he go for any evil ends, let him not subscribe his Letters, nor Communicate with him.

Dionysius Exiguus.

11.

African Code, belonging to the

The Latin Editions have another Canon in the 12th place to this Effect, That the Bishop living in the Road to Court shall warn the Bishop that is going thither on an unjust Account, lest he offend, through ignorance, and send the Bishop home; and if there be occasion send a Deacon to Court instead of the Bishop.

African Code.

Councils were no where more frequently called in the Primitive Times than in *Africa*. In the Year ⁴¹⁸₄₂₉ all Canons formerly made in 16 Councils held at *Carthage*, one at *Milevis*, one at *Hippo*, that were approved of, were read, and received a New Sanction from a great Number of Bishops, then met in Synod at *Carthage*. This Collection is the *Code of the African Church*, which was always in greatest repute in all Churches next after the *Code of the Universal Church*. This Code was of very great Authority in the Old *English Churches*, for many of the *Excerptions of Egbert* were transcribed from it. And tho' the Code of the Universal Church ends with the Canons of *Chalcedon*; yet these *African Canons* are inserted into the Ancient Code both of the Eastern and Western Churches. These Canons, tho' ratified and approved by a Synod, yet seem

to have been divided or numbred by some private and unlearned hand, and have probably met with very unskilful Transcribers, by which means some of them are much confounded and obscured, as to their Sense and Coherence. They are by *Dionysius Exiguus* and others, Entituled, *the Canons of the Synod of Afric*: and tho' all were not originally made at one time, yet they were all confirm'd by one Synod of *African* Bishops, who after they had recited the Creed, and 20 Canons of the Council of *Nice*, they proceed to make new Canons, and re-enforce old ones, as follows.

1. The Statutes of *Nice*, which were brought by our *Fathers from that Council shall be observed.

* It is certain, that *Cacilian* then Bishop of *Carthage* was present at the Council of *Nice*, that any other *African* Bishop was there does not appear; but probably he was attended with several Clergy-men, who were afterwards Ordained Bishops.

2. For the corroborating the Judgment of our lately Ordained Fellow-Bishops, we with their Consent confess the Unity of Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, without any Inequality.

3. That Bishops, Priests, and Deacons be temperate in all things, as the Apostles and the Ancients have taught us, that their Prayers may prevail.

4. That

4. That they who handle the Divine Sacraments, and serve at the Altar, † abstain from their Wives.

See Can. 25.

† i. e. some time before and after the Eucharist, as the old Scholiasts understand it.

5. That no Clergy-man take Usury.

See Can. Ap. 36. Nic. 17.

44.

6. That no Priest make the || *Crism*, nor consecrate Virgins, nor reconcile Penitents at * *Publick Mass*.

|| *Not the Crism used upon Persons at their Baptism*, says the Scholion in Bishop Beveridge's Annotation, but the *Mystical Crism*, viz. that used at Confirmation.

* *Du Pin* observes, that *this is one of the first Monuments where the Name of Mass occurs to signify the publick Prayers, which the Church made at Offering the Eucharist*. And let the Reader observe, that there is no mention of the Mass in the Copies which the *Greeks* made use of: and further he restrains the meaning of the Word *Mass* too much, when he supposes that it denoted the Communion Office only.

7. If any one being in danger [of Death] desire to be reconciled to the Divine Altars in the Absence of the Bishop, let the Priest consult the Bishop, and by his leave reconcile the Penitent.

See Can. 43.

8. That no Criminous Persons be allow'd to prefer an Information against * *Seniors*, or Bishops.

See *Can.* 132, 133, & *Can. Constantinop.* 6.

* Probably the same with *Senes* in other Canons, viz. Metropolitans, as is generally believed. The *Latin* here calls them *Majores Natu*, the *Greek* *Παῖδες*. Bishop *Beveridge* supposes that the Word denotes Bishops.

9. The Bishop, or Priest, who receives to Communion one Excommunicated by his own Bishop, let him be look'd on as guilty of the Crime for which the other is Excommunicated.

10, 11. If any Priest being condemned by his Bishop make a Separation, and Erect another Altar, and do not complain to the Neighbouring Bishops, and stand to their Award, but make a Schism, and a separate Sacrifice, let him be Anathema.

See *Can. Ap.* ^{24.} *Gangr.* 6.
32.

12. If a Bishop be found guilty of any Crime, he shall have a [second] Hearing before 12 Bishops, if more cannot be had, a Priest by 6, a Deacon by 3, according to the † *Statutes of Ancient Canons*.

† Hereby must be meant *African* Canons; that under *Gratus* [A. D. 343.] had Decreed the same thing.

Who was the Bishops Judge at the first Instance does not appear by this Canon; but 'tis natural

natural to suppose it was the Primate: That this Canon is to be understood of hearing upon an Appeal is evident, because it supposes the Bishop to have been *found guilty* before. Further, 'tis certain that a Priest's Cause at *the first Instance* was to be tried before the Bishop, See *Can. 10, 11.* And therefore the latter Part of the Canon can be understood of no Hearing but by way of Appeal, nor by Consequence the former.

13. According to the Statutes of Old, let not any number of Bishops presume to Ordain another without the leave of the * *Primate*; three may do it with his leave: If any one deny his own Hand, or contradict what he hath Subscribed, he shall thereby deprive himself of his Dignity.

See *Can. Ap. 1. Nic. 1.*

* He that was called a Metropolitan in other Churches, was a *Primate* in *Africa*.

14. It shall suffice, that one Bishop come || *Legate* from *Tripoli*; five Bishops there may try a Bishop or Priest, three a Deacon, his own Bishop presiding.

See *Can. 12.*

|| To a Synod, there being few Bishops in that Province.

The Canons mark'd with a Hook on the left Hand contain more than one Rule or Law, and that on several Subjects, having no relation to each other, viz. 15, 16, 18.

15. If any Bishop, Priest, Deacon or Clergy-man, refusing the Ecclesiastical Judicature apply himself to the Civil, if it be in a Criminal Matter, he shall lose his Place, tho' he carry his Cause; but in a Civil Matter he shall lose what he recovered by Suit, if he will retain his Place. If upon Appeal to Superior Ecclesiastical Judges, the Judgment of the Inferior Judges be reversed, this shall be no Prejudice to them, if they can't be proved to have judged through Ill Will, Corruption, or Affection. From Judges chosen by Consent of Parties, though fewer than the Canon requires, there lies no Appeal.

Let not the Sons of Clergy-men manage Publick Shows, nor even be Spectators of them: And it has always been enjoined to all Christians, that they go not where Blasphemy is used.

16. Bishops, Priests and Deacons are not allowed to be Farmers or Solicitors.

* Readers being adult shall either Marry, or profess Continence.

Whatever a Clergy-man lends he shall receive the same again in Specie.

Let not a Deacon be Ordained before 25.

Readers shall not † make Obeisance to the People.

* Zonaras says this was never observed any where but in Africa. See Can. Ap. 19.

27.

† Du.

† Du Pin turns the Latin, *Saluto*, by addressing his Speech to the People.

Gr. 18,
19, 20,
21.

17. That *Mauritania Sitifensis* having the Leave of the Primate of *Numidia*, and being [formerly] separated from it, have now a Primate of its own, by the Consent of all the Bishops and Primates of *Africa*.

18. That before Bishops or Clergymen be Ordain'd, the Decrees of the Councils be read to them.

That neither the Eucharist nor Baptism be given to dead Corpses, through the Supineness of Priests.

That every Year a Council be called, according to the *Nicene Statutes*, to which all the Provinces shall send 2 * *Bishops* at least from their own Council, that there may be a full Meeting and Authority.

* See *Can. Nic. 5.* It seems very odd, that they should alledge the Authority of the *Nicene Synod* upon this Occasion; for that orders a Synod twice a Year, this but once; that intends a Provincial Synod, this a *Diocesan*, or National one.

Gr. 22.

19. If any Bishop must have an Information preterr'd against him, let it be to the Primates of the Province; and let him not be suspended from Communion, unless he refuse to appear before the chosen Judges on any Day appointed by them, it being a Month at least after the Summons: and even then, if he have a just Excuse for his Absence,

Absence, let another Day be allowed him, and a Month's Warning; but if he do not then appear, let him not Communicate, either in his own Church or * *Parish*, till he have made his Purgation: but if he do not appear in the † *Universal Yearly Synod*, let him be judged to have passed Sentence against himself. If the Informer absent himself on any Day appointed for the hearing of the Cause, let him be removed from Communion, and the Bishop restored, unless the Informer prove that his Absence was unavoidable, in which Case he may proceed in his Information. If the Person of the Informer appear infamous, let him not be admitted to give his Information, except the Cause be || *Personal*, not Ecclesiastical.

* See *Can. Ap.* ^{11.}_{14.} † By this is meant a National Synod of *Africa*. || See *Can. Constantinop.* 6.

20. The same Form shall be observed in giving Information against Priests and Deacons; against Priests before 6, against Deacons before 3 Bishops, such as shall be granted to the Party accused by his Bishop, who shall join himself to the other 6, or 3. The Bishop himself shall finally decide the Causes of other Clergy-men. Gr. 23.

See *Can.* 12.

21. That the Sons of Clergy-men do not Marry to Heathen, or Heretical Women.

22. That

Gr. 25. 22. That Bishops and Clergy-men give nothing, even to their Relations, if they be not Catholick Christians.

Gr. 26. 23. That no Bishop go beyond Sea without *the Formal, or Commendatory Letter of the Bishop of the Prime See; i. e. the Primate.

* See Note on Can. Ap. 10.

13.

24. That nothing be read in Churches in the Name of Divine Writings, beside the Canonical Scripture, viz. *Genesis*, Sec. [as in the 59 Canon of *Laodicea*, [adding] *Tobias*, *Judith*, 2 Books of *Esdra*s, † 2 of *Maccabees*; [making] 5 Books of *Solomon*, [so reckoning *Wisdom* and *Ecclesiasticus* for 2 of them:] of the *New Testament*, *Matthew*, &c. *Apocalypse* of *John*. Let this be notified to our Fellow-Priest || *Boniface*, or to other Bishops of those Parts for the Confirmation of this Canon. For we have received from our Fathers that these are to be read in Churches.

† These 2 Books are mentioned only in *Dionysius Exiguus's* Copy. See *Can. Ap. ult. Can. Laod. ult.* || Bishop of *Rome*.

Gr. 28. 25. That Sub-Deacons, Deacons, Priests and Bishops, who handle the Holy Mysteries, do at their several *Terms abtain from their Wives, or else be remov'd from their Office; but not other Clergy-men, except in the Decline of their Age.

* i. e.

* i. e. *Times of Ministration* ; so 'tis explain'd, *Can. Trull. 13.* where there were several *African* Bishops present, and allowed of that Explication.

26. That none Sell what belongs to the Church, without the consent of the Primate, and the *appointed Number* of Bishops. *Gr. 29.*
In case of extream Necessity, let him at least call the neighbouring Bishops together, promising to lay his Necessities before the Council: He that does otherwise, forfeits his Dignity.

† *viz.* Twelve. See *Can. 12.*

27. A Priest or Deacon Deposed for gross Crimes, shall not have Hands laid on him, as a Penitent or Lay-Communicant ; nor be re-baptized, and so promoted again to the Degree of Clergy-men. *Gr. 30.*

This Canon seems to have been designed to preclude Deposed Clergy-men from all possibility of being restored, directly or indirectly.

28. Priests, Deacons, and Clergy-men, may Appeal from the Judicature of the Bishop, to the Neighbouring Bishops, and from them to the Primates of their Provinces ; but let not him that thinks fit to Appeal to * *them beyond Sea*, be admitted to Communion by any in *Africk*. *Gr. 31.*

* Clearly the See of *Rome* is here aim'd at, as if *Carthage* were the Place design'd by Providence to put a stop to the growth of Power in *Christian Rome*, as well as Heathen.

Gr. 32.

29. The Bishop, or Clergy-men, who being Excommunicated, thrusts himself into Communion before his Cause be heard, shall be look'd upon, to have pass'd Sentence against himself.

See *Can. Ap. 21. Antioch. 4.*

29.

Gr. 33.

30. If the Informer, or he who is informed against, be in a Place where the Violence of the Rabble may be feared; either of them may chuse a neighbouring Place, where there may be no difficulty of producing the Evidence, and deciding the Cause.

Gr. 34.

31. If Deacons, or Clergy-men, will not obey Bishops, when they prefer them to higher Dignities in the Church; let them not Officiate in the Degree from which they refuse to depart.

'Tis most probable that this Canon is to be understood of Deacons designed by the Bishop to be Ordained Priests; for the Deacons, at least, in some Churches, were provided of a better Maintenance than Priests: or it may be understood of Inferior Clergy-men, who were permitted to Marry in the Degree they were now in; but would not willingly take the Order of Priest or Deacon, because then they were prohibited Marriage.

Gr. 35.

32. If Bishops, Priests, Deacons, or Clergy-men, being Poor when they were Promoted, do after their Promotion, Purchase Farms, or other Estates; let them be

Cod

look'
what be
monition
to the
them by
ose of
their R
Church
of Eccl

33. I
of their
are Est
their B
states
the kn
own P

† So
proper
in any
* i. e.
the Bif

34.
kept,
See

35.
not E
permi
tion,
good

36.
Priest
all of

to look'd upon as Persons that invade what belongs to the Lord, if, upon Admonition, they do not give their Purchase to the Church: But if an Estate comes to them by Gift or Inheritance, let them dispose of it at discretion; but if they break their Resolution [of giving it to the Church] let them be thought unworthy of Ecclesiastical Honour.

33. That Priests may not Sell the Goods *Gr. 36.* of their Church, † or *Title* in which they are Establish'd, without the knowledge of their Bishops; nor Bishops the real Estates of the * *Mother-Church*, without the knowledge of the Council, or their own Priests.

† So I turn the *Lat. Titulus*, for want of a proper *English* Word. It denotes a lesser Church in any City or Diocese, serv'd by a Priest.

* *i. e.* The Cathedral; the Church on which the Bishop resides.

34. That the Day when *Easter* is to be *Gr. 37.* kept, be notified at the time of the Council.

See *Can. 51, 73.*

35. That Bishops and Clergy-men do *Gr. 38.* not Emancipate their Children, so as to permit them to live at their own discretion, till they be well assured of their good Government of themselves.

36. That none be Ordained Bishops, *Gr. 39.* Priests, or Deacons, till they have made all of their Family Catholick Christians.

37. That

Gr. 40.

37. That in the Holy Sacrament nothing be offered but Bread, and Wine mix'd with Water: that the First-Fruits of Honey and Milk be Offered on the Altar upon one day only, viz. * *the Mystery of Infants*; and that they have their peculiar Benediction a-part from the Sacrament; and that no other First-Fruits be offered but those of Grapes and Corn.

See Can. Ap. 2.

3.

* Of this *Quere*, all that I have met with, are in the dark as to this-Matter.

Gr. 41.

38. That Clergy-men, and such as profess Continence, do not go to Widows or Virgins, without the leave of Bishops or Priests, nor without some Companions assigned by the Bishop or Priest; and that neither Bishop nor Priest go to such Women without the Company of some Clergy-man, or grave Christian.

Gr. 42.

39. That *the Bishop of the prime See* be content with that Title, and be not called *Prince of Priests*, or *Chief-Priest*.

Gr. 43.

40. That Clergy-men go not to Victualing-Houses to eat or Drink, but only upon necessity in their Travels.

Gr. 44.

41. That the Sacraments of the Altar must not be Celebrated by any, who are not Fasting, except on * *the Day of the Lord's-Supper*. If Bishops, or other Persons die in the Afternoon, let them be commended [to God] with Prayer only, if they who are to perform it have broke their Fast.

* i. e.

* i. e. Maundy-Thursd. Other Churches had other Customs, See Can. Laod. 50. Trull. 29.

42. That Bishops and Clergy-men make *Gr. 45.*
no Entertainments in the Church, except
for Travellers in case of necessity; and that
the People be restrained in this particular,
as much as is possible.

43. That the time of Penance be limit- *Gr. 46.*
ted by the Bishop, according to the Qua-
lity of the Offence. That no Priest re-
concile a Penitent without the Bishop's
leave, except in his Absence. If the Crime
be very notorious, let Hands be laid on
him before the * *Church Porch*.

* Here I follow Zonaras; See *Car. Nic. 11.*
Du Pin renders *absidem*, a high-Place near the
Bishop's Throne.

44. That Holy Virgins, when taken *Gr. 47.*
from the Eyes of their Parents, be entrust-
ed by the Bishop, or in his absence by the
Priest, with some Venerable Women; or
else, that they live together, and observe
each other, not strowling up and down
to the dishonour of the Church.

45. That Men, so Sick that they can- *Gr. 48.*
not Answer for themselves, be Baptized
when their Friends, at their own Peril,
do testify their desire [of Baptism.]

That Reconciliation be not denied to *Gr. 49.*
Actors and Stage-Players, or † Apostates,
upon their Conversion.

† i. e. What in other Churches were called
Lepsi; those, who had done Sacrifice thro' the
1
vic.

violence of Torment in Time of Persecution, professing in the mean time, that their Consciences did not consent to what their Hands did.

Gr. 50. 46. That the Passions of the Martyrs may be read, when their Annual Memory is celebrated.

Gr. 51. 47. That they who were Baptized in their Infancy by * *the Donatists*, be not incapable of being promoted to the Ministry of the Altar, when they are Converted.

* The pretence that the *Donatists* had for making a Schism, was, that *Cæcilian* Bishop of *Carthage* had in the time of Persecution been a *Traditor*, i. e. given up the Bible to the Heathen Inquisitors; this was denied by the Orthodox, who charged them with the same Crime in effect, viz. of being too favourable to the *Traditors*, and those, that had *laps'd*. They likewise are charged with *Arianism*.

Gr. 52. 48. That Re-baptizations, Re-ordinations, and Translations of Bishops, be not allowed according to a former Statute made in the *Capuan* Synod; and that application be made to the Secular Arm against Bishop *Cresconius*, for deserting his own Church, and invading another.

Gr. 53. 49. That not less than Three Bishops Ordain a Bishop.

See Can. 23.



50. If there be a Dispute, let One or Two be added to the former Number, to examine the merits of the Cause, and Person; and let him first be purged [from all Crimes,] then Ordained. Gr. 54.

51. That *Easter-Day* be notified from the Church of *Carthage* by the Legates met in the Annual Synod, a good while before. Gr. 55.

The Synod met in *August*, See *Can. 73*.

52. That every Province be visited every time the Council meets, as the Synod of *Hippo* determined: But *Aurelius*, Bishop of *Carthage*, excuses himself from Visiting *Mauritania*, as being in the remote Parts of *Africa*, near the Country of the *Barbarians*; tho' (says he to the Bishops of that Country) we wish we could come even to you; and the *Tripolines*, and *Arzugitane* Brethren might require the same, if it could reasonably be done. Gr. 56.

The manner of visiting Provinces, and that Annually: and the Persons by whom this Visitation was performed, can scarce now be discovered: only it appears, by the Words of *Aurelius*, that the Bishop of *Carthage* was one, if not the only Visitor.

53. That People who never had a Bishop of their own, be not permitted to have one, without the Consent of that Bishop to whom they were formerly Subject; and that those Bishops who care for the People Gr. 57.

and indulge them, but refuse to come to Synod, be not permitted to keep their Diocesses, nor even their own Churches.

'Tis very clear to any one who reads this Canon, that by *Diocese*, is here meant some Town or Country lying at a great distance from any City, or Bishop's See, yet claim'd by one certain Bishop as part of his Territory; tho' the People being courted by another Bishop, chose rather to be under him who thus courted them, to the prejudice of the other Bishop. And 'tis used in this Sense 4 or 5 times in the *Latin Canon* at large.

- Gr. 58. 54. That Clergy-men belonging to one Bishop, be not Ordained and retained by another.

See *Can. Apost.* 12. 15, 16. *Chalced.* 10.

- Gr. 59. 55. This was always the Authority of the Throne of *Carthage*, to demand what Clergy-man he pleases of any Bishop, and Ordain him Bishop at the request of any Church that is vacant: yea, if a Bishop have but one Priest, yet the Bishop of *Carthage* may take him, and Ordain him Bishop; and the Bishop who has plenty of Clergy-men, shall supply the other with Priests.

- Gr. 60. 56. That no *Diocese* receive a Bishop, but with the consent of him to whom it formerly belong'd; and that a Bishop there Constituted, claim no other People or *Diocese*, but that to which he was Ordained.

See

See *Can. 53.* In the Body of this Canon every Bishop is supposed to have a *Mass* or *heap* of *Diocesses*, i. e. Country Places, under his Jurisdiction: and here are many more Proofs (I mean in the *Latin Canon* at large) of what is said in the *Note* on *Can. 53.*

57. They who in their Infancy were *Gr. 61.*
Baptized by the Donatists, may be Ordained if they renounce their Heresy; and receiv'd into the Church, by Imposition of Hands.

See *Can. 47.* which was made in a former Synod.

58. That the most Religious Emperor *Gr. 61.*
be Petitioned, that the Heathen Temples which yet remain, especially in the Maritime Parts, be destroyed, if they be not an Ornament to the place.

59. And that he would make a Decree, *Gr. 63.*
that when any [Secular] Cause has been tried in the Church, * *according to the Apostolical Law*, and the Decision of the Clergy do's not satisfy both Parties, that neither the Clergy-men who took cognizance of the Cause, nor any other who was present, be compelled to give Evidence in the [Temporal] Judicature.

* *viz.* That of *St. Paul*, 1 *Cor. vi. 1, 2, &c.*

60. And that the lascivious Festivities *Gr. 63.*
of the Gentiles be prohibited, especially on the Nativities of the Martyrs, and in Sacred Places: And that Heathens do no
I 3 longer

longer force Christians to join with them; for this is a Persecution under Christian Emperors.

Bishop Beveridge and Tilius's Edition of these Canons, in *Greek* and *Latin*, Number the Two preceeding Canons as I have done in the Margin, with the same Figures. I follow them in this Error, because by this means the Reader may more readily be referr'd from the *Latin* Original; and from this *English* Translation to the *Greek*.

Gr. 64. 61. And that Theatrical Shews be forbid on the *Lord's-Day*, and other Solemn Days; and particularly, that if the Day of any Publick [Heathen] Devotion happen on the Octaves of *Easter*, it be transferred to some other time; and that no Christian be forced to these Shews.

Gr. 65. 62. And that he would make a Decree, that no Clergy-man, Convicted by the Judicature of Bishops, be protected; under certain Penalties of Money, and Honour, without exception of Age or Sex.

Gr. 66. 63. And that if any one desire to forsake any Ludicrous Exercise, and become a Christian, no one be allow'd to tempe or force him to such Exercise.

This Canon is probably to be understood of Slaves bought by their Masters for the Service of the Circ, or Theatre.

Gr. 67. 64. And that Manumissions of Slaves be Published in Churches, if our Fellow-Priests in *Italy* be found to have this practice

Quice amongst them ; and that to this purpose a Legate be sent to do all that can be done for the good of Souls, and of the Church.

It is certain that in *Italy*, and some other Parts of the Empire, Slaves were solemnly set at Liberty by their Masters in the Church and Presence of the Bishop from the time of *Constantine*: but it should seem this Custom had not yet obtained in *Africa*.

65. And that our said Brother [the Legate] do prosecute *Equitius*, who was formerly condemned by the Bishops, and who has since caused great Disturbance to the Church, if he meet with him in those Parts. Gr. 68.

66. We think fit to treat the *Donatists* with Lenity and Temper, tho' they have cut themselves off from the Lord's Body by a Turbulent Dissention. Gr. 69.

67. That the [Civil] Judges in *Africa* be requested by a Letter from the Council, to enquire how Matters are carried, where the **Maximianists*, who have divided from the *Donatists*, have possession of the Churches; and that they be desired to assist the Catholick Church, and guard the Authority of the Bishops. Gr. 70.

* *Maximianists* were a Sect bred out of the *Donatists*, and separating from them.

68. That Letters be sent to our Fellow-Bishops, and especially to the Apostolical See, in which our Venerable Brother and
I 4 Colleague Gr. 71.

Colleague *Anastasius* presides, to let them know that we receive those who were Clergy-men among the *Donatists* upon their Conversion, with the same Honour that they enjoy'd among the *Donatists*, if the Bishop of the Place think fit, according to the Custom of the Church of *Africk*, altho' by a Transmarine Council, which we do not pretend to annull, the contrary has been order'd.

Whether the *Donatists* Clergy should be re-ordained was only a point of Discipline; for the *Donatists* retain'd Episcopacy: Therefore the *African* Fathers, as they leave other Churches to their Liberty, so at the same time they declare, that they would continue their old Practice, and leave every Bishop to act according to his own Discretion in this matter; Probably one great Motive, beside that of Peace, which they had to this, was the great Scarcity of Clergy-men in *Africk*, of which *Aurelius* complains in his Speech, inserted into the Acts before Canon 57. and pro-
61.

poses, that they send to the Bishops of *Rome* and *Milan* for a Supply; and that this was the true Reason, does in some measure appear from the Words of the *Latin* Canon at large, in which the Occasion of this Decree is said to be *propter necessitatem*; and this is the most probable Reason why it is left to the Discretion of the Bishop, whether to admit *Donatist*-Clergy-men as such, viz. if he had occasion for their Service: And after all, 'tis clear from this very Canon, that other Churches had determin'd this Point the contrary way; therefore Mr. *Calamy* exceeds, when he says, as for the *Donatists*, all agree,
that

that their Orders were acknowledged. Further, he would have it thought probable, that Orders were not always conferr'd among the Donatists by Persons superior to Bishops: this he would infer from the great Number of the Bishops of that Faction in Africk, viz. 278, many of which (says he) could be no more than Parish Ministers. But why so? Were there not 286 Catholick Bishops at least? and why not as many of one side as the other? If our Dissenters of any sort had fallen into the Episcopal Form of Government, no question, but they would have had a Bishop in every City at least, and equall'd our Church in the number of Prelates.

69. That Legates be sent to Preach Peace to the *Donatists*, both Bishops and Laity, and to shew them that they departed from the Church as causlessly as the *Maximianists* have from them, and that they receive Converts from the *Maximianists*, as the Church does from the *Donatists*, viz. allowing their Ordination and Baptism. Gr. 72.

70. A Repetition of *Can.* ^{25.} _{28.} concerning Clergy-men's abstaining from their Wives. Gr. 73.

71. That no [Bishop] leaving his * *Cathedral*, remove to any Church built in a † *Diocese*, or that thro' great Care of his own Affairs he neglect his proper Place of Residence. Gr. 74.

* Lat. *Principalis Cathedra*. † See *Can.* 53. 55. 57. 60.

Gr. 75. 72. That Infants, particularly such as the *Moors* buy of the *Barbarians*, be Baptized, if there be not strong Evidence that they were Baptized before.

Gr. 76. 73. That *Easter-Day* be notified to all by Formal Letters; the time || of Council shall be before the 21st of *August*, according to the Synod of *Hippo*. And the Primate are particularly to be writ to, that they so order the time of their [Provincial] Council, as not to hinder the Observation of [*Easter*] Day.

See Can. 51.

55.

|| i. e. of the National Council at *Carthage*.

Gr. 77. 74. That no Bishop be allow'd to fix himself in the See, where he is * *Intercessor*, tho' the People be never so zealous for him; but that he take care that a Bishop be provided within a Year; if he don't, let another be made *Intercessor*.

* We here call this Officer, *Guardian of the Spiritualities* in the Vacancy of the See. That a neighbouring Bishop should have this Office, was, I suppose, peculiar to the Church of *Africk*.

Gr. 78. 75. That the Emperor be Petition'd that the Poor, whose Complaints give great Trouble to the Church, have † *Defensors* elected for them by the Bishops, to protect them against the Power of the Rich.

† See Note on Can. *Chalced.* 23.

76. Against the time of the [National] Synods meeting, let all the Bishops assemble [in every Province] tho' in 2 or 3 Companies, and signify to their Primates, who are chosen out of each Company, that they who are chosen may be present on the Synodical Day; or if they cannot come, to write their Excuse in the * *Tractory*; or if some sudden occasion prevent their going after the Arrival of the * *Tractory*, they are to give in their Excuse to the Primate, or else || forbear Communion every where, but in their own Churches. Gr. 79.

* *Tractory* has several Significations; here it seems to denote the Written Return made by the Primate of the Province to the Synodical Letter sent by the Bishop of *Carthage*. In the Acts inserted between Canon 90th, and 91st, *Tractoria* seems to denote the Letter of the Primate to the Inferior Bishops for chusing Legates, if it do not rather denote the Bishop of *Carthage's* Circular Letter to all the Primates, as it does in the next Paragraph.

|| A very singular sort of Censure, and very moderate. See can. 80.

83.

77. That if *Cresconius* do not appear at the next Universal Synod of *Africa*, Sentence pass against him. Gr. 80.

78. That 20 Bishops go and substitute a New Bishop instead of *Equirius*. Gr. 81.

79. If Clergy-men convicted, or confessed [by others] to be guilty of any Crime, will within the Year of their being Gr. 82.

African Code, belonging to the

ing under Excommunication purge themselves, they may; afterwards their Plea shall not be admitted.

The *Latin Syntax* of this Canon is very confused and I am apt to think, corrupted; but if this be the Sense of it (as is most probable) then the Discipline of the Church of *Carthage* seems singular in this, that Clergy-men were not look'd upon as Deposed, tho' they were convicted at the first Instance, but only under a Clerical Excommunication, of which See *Can. Nic. 16.*

- Gr. 83. 80. The Bishop that takes a Monk out of a Monastery that belongs not to him, and makes him a Clergy-man, or a Superior in a Monastery of his own, let the Bishop * *forbear Communion every where but in his own Church.*

* See *Can. 76. 122.*
79. 123.

- Gr. 84. 81. If any Bishop chuse such to be his Heirs, as are not related to him, or Hereticks, or Gentiles that are related to him rather than the Church, let him be Anathematized after his Death, and † *his Name not be recited among the Priests of God: nor shall he be Excused, if he die Intestate.*

† There were in this Age two Written Tables kept in every Church, whereof one contain'd the Names of all Eminent Bishops and Clergy-men now living, with whom that Church held Communion and Correspondence; the other the Names of all Eminent Bishops, and other Men

of their own, or other Churches, now dead. The Deacon rehearsed all the Names in both Tables at the Altar, whenever the Eucharist was celebrated. These Tables were by the *Greeks* called *Δίπτυχα*, and by some *English* Writers *Diptychs*. See *Can. of Peter of Alex.* 14.

82. A Repetition of *Can.* 64. of Manu- Gr. 85.
missions. 67.

83. That all Altars placed in Memory Gr. 86.
of Martyrs, in which there are no Remains of those Martyrs, or except it be a Tradition, that the Martyr dwelt or suffer'd there, be demolish'd by the Bishop; but if the Rabble will not permit this, yet let the People be admonish'd, that they do not frequent, but reprobate those Altars, which have been erected by occasion of Dreams and pretended Revelations.

84. That the most Glorious Emperors Gr. 87.
be Petition'd, that the remains of Idolatry, not only Images, but Places, Groves and Trees be Extirpated.

See *Can.* 58.
62.

85. That if any Letters are to be written Gr. 88.
in Council, the Venerable Bishop [of *Carthage*] who presides in this Place, do Dictate, and Subscribe it in the Name of All.

86. That the Discipline of the Church Gr. 89.
of *Africa* remain inviolable: That none of our Brethren dare take Place of them who

who were Ordain'd before him: That they who do so be restrain'd by the whole Council, and particularly that the Right of Primacy in *Namidia* and *Mauritania* be observed according to the Register, which [Register] is to be kept both in the City of the first Bishop, and in the Metropolis, viz. *Constantina*.

From this Canon it appears, that the Primacy in *Africa* was Ambulatory, and belong'd to the Senior Bishop of the Province. If the Primacy had been fixt to the Bishop of any certain City, as in other Countries, there would have been a Salvo, or Exception for that Bishop, as there is in the 24th Canon of the Synod of *Bracara* in *Spain*, with Orders that *all Bishops take place according to their Seniority*, with a reserve to the Bishop of the Metropolis. The Bishop of *Carthage* was not included in this Canon; for it is evident that he had a Precedence annex'd to his See, and that he was in reality a sort of *Patriarch*.

- Gr. 90. 87. That Bishop *Quodvultdeus* remain Excommunicated till he submit to a Tryal, which at present he declines.
- Gr. 91. 88. That Letters be written to *Maximian*, that he recede from his Bishoprick; and to his People, that they request another Bishop.
- Gr. 92. 89. Bishops that are hereafter Ordained in *Africa*, shall have Letters under the Hands of the Ordainers, with the Date of the Day, and *Consul*, that there may be no Dispute about Precedence.

It is evident from this Canon, that the Church in this Age follow'd the Date of the Civil Government, which was *in the Consulship of Caius and Titius*, as ours is *in the 1st, 2d, 3d, &c. Year of the Reign of our King, or Queen.*

90. That he who has once read in any Church, be not retained among the Clergy of another Church. *Gr. 93.*

91, 92, 93, 94. Concerning the *Dona*. *Gr. 94.* *sists*, for uniting them to the Church by 95, 96. Conference; and if this will not do, by 97.

Application to the Emperor and Civil Magistrate: And Letters from Pope *Innocent* are mention'd, owning that Bishops ought not to apply themselves rashly to Transmarine Judicatures.

95. That Bishops don't wear themselves out by coming to a Yearly Synod of all *Africa*: That when a General Synod is called, it be by Virtue of Letters sent from the Province where the Occasion of the Synod arises, to this See of [*Carthage*]; and that the Synod meet where it shall be most convenient: but that Causes which concern not the Publick, be decided in the Provinces where they arise. *Gr. 98.*

This Canon is a tacit Revocation of that Clause for Annual Synods in the 18th Canon, which was made in a former Council.

96. That if an Appeal be made, Judges be chosen by each Party, and that there lie no Appeal from them. *Gr. 99.*

97. That

Gr. 100.

97. That the Emperors be Petition'd for * *Defensors*, who have been educated in the [Rhetorick] Schools, who for the Defence of the Church may go into the Judges Apartment, as *Priests of the Province* are used to do.

Gr. 101.

That Legates sent to the Emperor's Court by this Council may act at Discretion.

* See Can. 75. and Note on Can. Chalced. 27.
78.

These Officers seem to be called *Executores* in the Acts of Synod just before this Canon.

The *Priest of the Province* was one chosen out of the Body of Advocates, to be *Council* to the Province, to act and plead in their Behalf; and that he might do it more effectua'ly, he was allowed to have private Conferences with the Judge.

Gr. 102.

98. That People, who never had a peculiar Bishop, be not permitted to have one, but by Consent of the Provincial Synod, the Primate, and the Bishop to whose Diocese the Church belongs.

Gr. 103.

99. A People converted from *Donatism*, and having a Bishop without Consent of Synod, shall continue to have one, except the Bishop die, and the People be willing to be laid to the Diocese of another. Whatever Bishops were converted before the Imperial Decree † for *Union*, shall retain their People; but since that Decree, all Churches with their Dioceses, and all the Utensils of the Church shall be challenged

lenged by the Catholick Bishops, whether the People be converted or not.

† i. e. for uniting all in the Catholick Faith and ejection the Donatistical Bishops.

100. Bishop *Maurentius* having an Information against him lying before the Council, moves for a Hearing; but the Informers don't appear upon three Calls on the Day appointed: The Cause is refer'd to *Sixtus* * *Senex Xantippus*, *Sixtus Augustinus*, and 5 more nominated by the Council, the Informers were to make up the Number 12. Gr. 104.

* i. e. Primate *Xantippus*, as is commonly believed. He and others have this Title frequently given them in the Acts of these Councils. See *Can. 8.*

101. That he who is deserted by his Wife, or she who is deserted by her Husband, do remain unmarried to any other, or else be brought to Penance; and that an Imperial Law to this purpose be Petition'd for. * Gr. 104.

* Here again *Titius*, and *B. Bev.* have the same Number to two Canons. See *Can. 63.*

102. That Prayers, or † *Prefaces*, or *Commendations*, or [Forms at] Imposition of Hand, which have been approv'd by Council, be solemnly used by all: nor let others contrary to Faith be preferr'd before them; but let those be rehearsed which have been collected by Wise Men. Gr. 105.

† That

† That is, such Forms fitted for the present time or occasion, as our Church uses in her Communion Office before the *Trisagium*, on *Christmas*, *Easter*, &c. These Prefaces were very ancient in the Christian Church.

|| Prayers used to recommend the Catechumens, Penitents, and Dying Souls to God's Protection.

- Gr. 106. 103. That Letters be written to the most Holy Pope *Imocent* concerning the Controversy between the Church of *Rome* and *Alexandria*.
- Gr. 107. 104. That he who Petitions the Emperor to have his Cause tried by the Civil Judicature, shall be Deposed from his [Ecclesiastical] Dignity: but if he Petition the Emperor to have his Cause heard by Bishops, this shall be no prejudice to him.
- Gr. 108. 105. He that does not Communicate in *Africa*, but creeps beyond Sea for Communion, shall be Deposed from being a Clergy-man.
- Gr. 109. 106. That he who goes to Court have a * *Formal* Letter to the Church of *Rome*, in which the Occasion of his going to Court is to be intimated, that so he may have a * *Formal* Letter from the Church of *Rome* to the Court; and he who goes without one is to be Excommunicated. If the Occasion of going to Court be sudden and unexpected, let him acquaint the Bishop of *Rome* with it, that he may obtain his Letter. Whatever * *Formal* Letters are granted, let them mention the *Easter*-

Easter Day of that Year: but if that chance then not to be known, let the *Easter-Day* of the precedent Year be inserted, as sometimes in publick Dates 'tis said, *After the Consulship of A. G. &c.*

* Of Formal Letters; See *Can. Ap.* ^{10.}
13.

107. That one Bishop do not assume *Gr.* 110. to himself the [final] Cognizance of any Cause.

See *Can.* 10, 11, 12, 28, 79.
31, 80.

Recognizes, a Law of the Empire, That every one receive Christianity at his own free choice.

108. We think fit to Decree against *Gr.* 111. *Pelagius*; that

109. He who says *Adam* was by Nature *Gr.* 112. Mortal, be Anathema.

110. He that denies Original Sin, or that || *Gr.* 112. Infants are Baptized for the Remission of Sin, be Anathema.

|| See *Can.* 63, 104 both which are double, as this likewise is in the Old Greek Scholiasts.

111. He that denies that justifying Grace *Gr.* 113. strengthens Men against Sin, for the future be Anathema.

112. He that denies the necessity of *Gr.* 114. Grace, in order to Obedience, be Anathema.

113, 114. He who says, that it is in *Gr.* 115. humility, not in reality, that we ought not to say we have no Sin, be Anathema.

115. He

- Gr. 116.* 115. He who says, that Saints say for others, not for themselves, *Forgive us our Trespases*, be Anathema.
- Gr. 117.* 116. Or in humilty, not in Truth, be Anathema.
- Gr. 118.* 117. Whenever the Conversion, and Union of the Donatists was effected, they should belong to that [Bishop's] Throne, to which the Catholick Church there did of old belong.
- Gr. 119.* 118. The [Bishops] who are Converted from Donatism, shall divide the Diocesses; and let the Senior make the Division, and the Junior chuse.
- Gr. 120.* 119. He who converts a Country to the Orthodox Faith, and keeps it [as belonging to his See] for Three Years, shall not be impeach'd for it afterwards; And if a Bishop Converted from Donatism do bring his complaint within Three Years, let him have a Tryal.

These Three last Canons are of so little consequence, and so exceeding dark, that I have Translated them implicitly from *Aristenus*. not knowing whether he understood them himself.

- Gr. 121.* 120. He that invades a People, whom he supposes to belong to his, without consent of neighbouring Bishops, and before it be finally determined by Ecclesiastical Judges, shall lose his Cause, however the People stand affected to him, and tho' he have the Primate's Letter.

121. If a Bishop for Six Months longer Gr. 122. neglect to bring over the Donatists of any Place to the Catholick Union, let the Place be given to that neighbouring Bishop who first brings them over; unless the former can prove, that his seeming negligence in permitting them to be easy, did more win upon the affections of the Hereticks, and that his neighbouring Bishop was only too quick for him. If the Parties belong to Two several Provinces, let the Primate in whose Province the Place in dispute lies, assign the Judges. If Judges be chosen by common Consent, let there be but One or Three, that Two of the Three may be a Majority.

122. He that do's not submit to Judges Gr. 123. chosen by each Party, shall by the Primate's Letter, be forbid * *the Communion of all Bishops, till he comply.*

* See Can. 76. 80.
79. 83.

123. The Bishop who † *belongs to any of* Gr. 124. *the Metropoles*, if after Six Months warning from his neighbouring Bishops, he has neglected to bring over the Donatists, let none Communicate with him till he comply, unless the * *Executors* have been wanting on their part.

† So I turn *Matrices Cathedra*. I know indeed there were no fixed Ecclesiastical Metropoles in *Africa*; but they had Civil Metropoles called by that Name, Can. 86, which See.

- Gr. 125. 124. The Bishop, who pretends that the Donatists do Communicate, when he knows they do not, shall lose his Bishoprick.
- Gr. 126. 125. A repetition of Canon ^{28,} against _{31,} Appeals to Foreign Jurisdiction.
- Gr. 127. 126. A Bishop may veil a Virgin before she be Twenty-five, notwithstanding the former Canon, at the request of her Parent; or if there be danger of her being ravished.
- Gr. 128. 127. That all the Bishops be no longer detained in Council, 'tis agreed, that Three Commissioners be chosen out of each Province. Accordingly *Vincentius*, *Fortunatianus*, and *Clarus*, were chosen for the Province of *Carthage*; *Alypius*, *Augustinus*, and *Restitutus*, for the Province of *Namidia*; *Jocundus* and *Emilianus*, with *Sixtus Senex Donatianus*, the Primate, for the Province of *Byzacum*; *Severianus*, *Asiaticus* and *Donatus*, for the Province of *Mauritania Sitifensis*; *Plantius* (the only Legate of * *Tripoly*, according to Custom) who, with *Sixtus* † *Senex Aurelius* [Bishop of *Carthage*] are to take Cognizance of all things: and that he Subscribe all that has been done at the request of the Council.

Here you have the Five *African* Provinces specified.

* Two *Saudi Senes* mentioned, who we are
were both Primates. See *Can. 100.*

104.

† See *Can. 14.*

And here we have an Ancient Precedent for
Synods delegating their Authority to a Com-
mittee, with the *Primate of all Africa* at the
head of it.

128. That no Excommunicated Clergy- *Gr. 129.*
man, or Lay-man, be allowed to Inform a-
gainst others.

129. That no Slaves, or Freed Men, In- *Gr. 130.*
famous Persons, Actors, Catamites, Here-
tics, Heathens, or Jews, and such as are
disfellowed by the Civil Laws, be admitted
to Inform against others, except in Per-
sonal Causes.

See *Can. Const. 6.*

130. That they who cannot prove the *Gr. 131.]*
first Crime, be not allowed to alledge a
Second.

131. That Persons * *not capable of in- Gr. 132.]*
forming, be not admitted to give Testimo-
ny, nor any of the Family of the Informer,
or that is under 14 Years of Age.

* See *Can. 129.*

132. If a Bishop affirm that any one *Gr. 133.]*
confessed a Crime to him alone, and the
other deny it; let not the Bishop think
himself wrong'd if credit be not given to
his single Testimony, tho' he insist upon it,
that he can't in conscience Communicate
with him. 133. So

Gr. 134. 133. So long as a Bishop refuses Communion [with such a One:] let other Bishops refuse Communion with the Bishop.

Never was a more impartial Law made, especially when all the Legislators were Bishops except Two. There were 217 Bishops, and Two Priests, being Legates from the Bishop of Rome.

The *Greeks* make a Canon of the Ratification, and reckon no more than 135.

Gr. 135. 134. The *Latins* add the Number 134 to the Council's Letter to Pope *Boniface*, concerning the matter mentioned in Note on *Can. Sard. 5*.

135. to *Cyril* Bishop of *Alexandria*'s Letter, concerning the *Nicene Canons*.

136. to *Atticus* Bishop of *Constantinople*'s Letter, concerning the *Nicene Canons*.

137. to the *Nicene Creed*.

138. the *African Council*'s Letter to Pope *Cælestine*, containing an Account of *Apianus*.

THE CANONS OF

St. Dionysius of Alexandria,
about the Year 247. in
his Epistle to *Basilides*.

1. **T**HAT they who have not Fasted the *Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday* and *Thursday* before *Easter*, do no great thing, if they Fast the *Friday* and *Saturday*, and so till past Three on *Easter Morning*: But they who have Fasted the whole Six Days, are not to be blamed if they break their Fast after Midnight. He observes, that some do not Fast any of these Days.

2. That Menstruous Women ought not to Communicate, or come to Church; but to Pray elsewhere.

3. They that can contain, and are Aged, ought to judge for themselves. They have heard *St. Paul* say, that they should for a time give themselves to Prayer, and then come together again.

4. That they who have had involuntary Nocturnal Pollutions, be at their own discretion [whether to Communicate or not.]

K

The

The CANONS of St. Peter of Alexandria, who Died a Martyr, in the Persecution of *Dioclesian*, Anno Dom. 311.

1. **T**HEY who did not fall till after they had endured very severe torments, and have already been *Mourners* Three Years, after Forty Days Fast, are to be admitted to Communion, tho' they have not before been received [to Penance.]

2. But if they endured Imprisonment only, without Torments, let a Year be added to their former Penance.

3. If they fell voluntarily, without Torments or Imprisonments, but are come to Repentance, Four Years are added to their former Penance.

4. The Case of them who do not repent, pronounced desperate.

5. They that used Evasion, and did not right down Subscribe the Abnegation, or with their own Hands incense the Idols; but sent a Heathen to do it for them, are enjoined Six Months Penance.

6. Slaves forced by their Masters to incense Idols, are enjoined a Years Penance.

7. The Masters who forced them to it, are enjoined Three Years Penance.

They who first fell, afterwards recover'd themselves, by Professing themselves Christians,

rians, and endured Torments, are forthwith admitted to Communion.

9. That they who provoked the Magistrates to Persecute themselves, and others, are to be blamed, yet not to be denied Communion.

10. That Clergy-men, who run themselves into Persecution, and fell, tho' they did afterwards recover themselves, and suffer Torments, yet are not to be admitted to perform the Sacred Offices.

11. That they who Prayed for them who fell after long Tortures, be conniv'd at, and we Pray together with them, since they lament for what they have done, with Anguish and Mortification.

It might at first sight seem a great Fault, for a Clergy-man Publickly to Pray for one that had denied Christ, and Sacrificed to Idols; but this Canon excuses him, in case the Person that laps'd had shew'd himself Sincere before, and Penitent afterward. If I don't understand this Canon right, in which I will not be overconfident, I shall be beholden to him that gives a more just Account of it. The truth is, there is occasion for a Critick; for the *Greek* is certainly corrupted.

12. That they who with Money purchased their ease and freedom, are to be commended.

13. Nor should we accuse those who ran away, and left all, tho' others left behind might fare the worse for it.

14. That they who endured Tortures, and afterwards, when they were deprived of Speech and Motion, had their Hands forced into the Fire to offer unholy Sacrifice, be placed in *the Liturgy*, among the Confessors.

In *the Liturgy*, or *Diptychs* of the Church, containing the Names of all those most eminent Persons, either alive or dead, with whom they profess'd Communion, and which was rehearsed at every Oblation. See *Not. on Can. Afr.* 81.

84.

15. *Wednesday* is to be Fasted, because then the *Jews* conspired to betray *Jesus*; *Friday*, because he then Suffered for us. We keep the *Lord's-day* as a Day of Joy, because then our Lord rose. Our Tradition is not to kneel on that Day.

The CANONS of Gregory *Thaumaturgus*, Bishop of *Neo-Cæsarea*, about the Year of our Lord 240. After the *Goths* had Ravaged *Asia*, in the Reign of *Galienus*.

IT seems to have been a Circular Letter, sent to every Bishop of his Province, by *Euphrosynus*, who was one of them, and whom he calls *συγγενεῖα*, his old Friend. The Title he gives to every Bishop, in the front of his Epistle, is, *Most Holy Pope*; that

that being a Compellation then given to any Bishop.

1. That they who have been taken Captives by the *Barbarians*, and eat with them, be not treated as Persons that have eat things offered to Idols, especially because 'tis universally reported, that they do not sacrifice to Idols: nor shall those Women who have been ravisht by them be treated as guilty of Fornication, unless they were before of lewd Lives.

2. That those Christians, who plunder'd their Brethren during the Invasion of their Brethren, be Excommunicated.

3, 4, 5. Declares that the Pretence of having found those Goods, or that they themselves lost things of equal Value, shall stand them in no stead, but that * *they be Excluded from Prayer.*

* There is no other E-communication so worded in all this Book of Canons; it denotes the being placed among the *Prostrators*, which was the next Station, among the Penitents, to that of the Co-standers. See *Can. Nic. 11.* and Notes.

6. Against those who detain them as Prisoners, who had escaped from the *Barbarians*, the Holy Man expects that such should be Thunder-struck.

7. That they who joined the *Barbarians* in their Murder and Ravages, or were Guides or Informers to them, be not permitted to be *Hearers*, till Holy Men as-

sembled together, do agree upon this matter in common.

8. But if they discover themselves, and make restitution, they shall be admitted to be *Prostrators*.

9. They that are convicted to have found any thing [of their Neighbours] left by the *Barbarians*, shall also be *Prostrators*; but if they confess themselves, they shall communicate in Prayer.

10. This last Privilege is restrained to such as demand nothing as a reward for their Discovery, Salvage, or under any Pretence whatever.

11. The Station of *Mourners* is without the Gate of the Oratory; the Station of the *Hearers* is within the Oratory, in the Porch with the *Catechumens*; the Station of *Prostrators* is within the Door of the Temple; the Station of *Co-standers* is among the Communicants; the last is the Participation of Holy Mysteries.

See *Can. Nic.* 11.

The last Paragraph is not a Canon properly so called, nor St. Gregory's; but an Addition by some other Hand.

The CANONS of Sr. *Athanasius*,
Bishop of *Alexandria*, about the
Year of Christ 326.

In a Letter to Ammus a Monk.

1. **T**HAT Involuntary Nocturnal
Pollutions are not sinful, nor
Matrimony, tho' Virginity is to
be preferr'd before it.

In his Letter of Festivals.

2. This contains the Canon of Scripture
as we now receive it in all respects, save
that the *Epistle of Baruck* is reckon'd in
the Canon, but *Esther* is not. He tells us
there are other Books never reckon'd in
the Canon, but distinguish'd by the Fa-
thers, viz. *Wisdom of Solomon*, of *Sirach*,
* *Esther*, *Judith*, and *Tobias*, and that which
is called the *Doctrine of the Apostles*, a Book
now lost, and *Pastor*. These, says he, are
read, the other are reckon'd of the Canon.
Apocryphal Books are the Invention of Here-
ticks; so that he means by *Apocryphal*, not
what we do, but Books framed by Here-
ticks, in the Name of some Great or Holy
Men.

* 'Tis the common Opinion of Learned Men,
that the Reason why some of the Ancients reck-
on'd the Book of *Esther* not to belong to the
Canon was, the *Apocryphal* Chapters added to it
by another Hand.

*Canons of St. Athanasius,
In a Letter to Ruffinianus.*

3. It has been determined by Synods in Greece, Spain, and France, that they who have fallen, or been Leaders of Impiety, [*Arianism*] be pardon'd upon Repentance, but that they have no Place among the Clergy; but that they who were only drawn away by force, or that comply'd for fear the People should be corrupted, have a Place among the Clergy too. Let the People who have been deceived, or forced, be pardon'd upon Repentance, and pronouncing Anathema against the Mischief of *Eudoxus* and *Euzeius*, Ringleaders of the *Arians* (who assert that Christ is a Creature,) and profess the Faith of the Fathers at *Nice*, and that no Synod can prejudice that.

Mr. *Calamy's* Defence, Part I. p. 235. thinks it much to his purpose to tell us that the *Arians* were not requir'd to be Re-ordain'd; but they were more severely dealt with, as appears by these Words of *Athanasius*; for they were not, if they had been forward Men, admitted into the Church upon any terms. But it ought to be observ'd, that many that afterwards turn'd *Arians*, had been Ordain'd by Orthodox Bishops; and that the *Arian* Bishops had so many Arts and Shifts, that it was very hard to say, of very many of them, to which side they belong'd. They often acted as Orthodox, join'd in with those of the Catholick Church, not only in Ordinations and Synods, but even Communion; so that it was very hard, if not impossible, to say who had been Ordain'd by Catholick Bishops, who by *Arians*. The

now

The C
Bish
Ann

His Fir

I. T
zenes, is
dria the
among
rites, F
thought
ly Cyp
nication
Successio
their O
like tha
cleansed
tho' for
But bec
Asia, le
Place, a
Let the
lowed,
them be
ction o
Synagoga
Priests,
would

The CANONS of St. Basil the Great,
Bishop of Cæsarea in Cappadocia,
Anno Domini, 369.

His First Canonical Letter to Amphilo-
chius Bishop of Iconium.

I. **T**HE Baptism receiv'd amongst
Hereticks, as the *Manichees*, *Val-*
entinians, *Marcionists* and *Pepu-*
zenes, is not good, tho' *Dionysius* of *Alexan-*
dria thought otherwise. Baptism receiv'd
among Schismaticks, as *Puritans*, *Encra-*
nites, *Hydroparastæ*, *Apotactites*, was not
thought good by the Ancients, particular-
ly *Cyprian* and *Firmilian*; for the *Communi-*
cation of the Holy Spirit ceases, where the
Succession is broken: Therefore, neither is
their Ordination valid; and their Baptism,
like that performed by *Lay-men*, is to be
cleansed by the Baptism of the Church;
tho' some of them allow of our Baptism.
But because it seems otherwise to some in
Asia, let all follow the Customs of the
Place, and the Practice of their Fathers:
Let their Baptism and Ordination be al-
lowed, and they that are converted from
them be admitted into the Church by Un-
ction only. They that have kept *Para-*
synagogues, that is, who being Bishops or
Priests, and censured for their Offences,
would not submit to the Canons, but held

Assemblies contrary to Canon; and they who side with them are admitted into the Church; and they who are Ordained amongst them to the same Order in the Church, upon their Repentance.

Manichees, who believed two first Causes, or Gods, one good the other evil. *Valentinians* feigned a Genealogy of *Æones*, much like that which *Hesiod* gives us of the Gods: asserted that Christ brought his Body from Heaven with him, and placed Perfection in lewd Amours. *Marcionists* held two Gods with the *Manichees*; believ'd that Jesus Christ had only an Appearance of a Body, not a real one: That the Prohibition of eating the Fruit of the Tree of Knowledge, and the *Mosaical Law*, was from the evil God. They only received the Gospel of St. Luke, and Ten of St. Paul's Epistles.

Pepuzenes were *Montanists*, who believed *Montanus* to be the *Paraclete*, and his two Trulls, *Priscilla* and *Maximilla*, to be Prophetesses, and confounded the Persons of the Trinity. *Puritans*, i. e. *Novatians*. *Encratites* reject Marriage, and the eating of all living Creatures. *Hydropasistæ*, seem to have been of the same Opinion with the *Encratites*, and further, used Water instead of Wine in the Communion.

2. Let her that procures Abortion undergo ten Years Penance, whether the Embryo were perfectly formed, or not.

3. A Deacon guilty of Fornication is Deposed, not Excommunicated; for the Ancient Canons forbid a single Crime to be twice punished: And further, a Layman Excommunicated may be restored to the

the De
Clergy-
ter to
cation,
Cases w
perfect.

See C

4. Th
be und
that M
Years;
Marries
Years,
of this
wards C

See C

5. H
ing goo
received

6. L
when *
commi
forced

* i. e.
See Can.

7. V
Men, N
Idolatr
Thirty
did it

the Degree from which he falls; but a Clergy-man Deposed cannot. Yet 'tis better to cure Men of their Sins by Mortification, and to execute the Canon only in Cases where we cannot reach what is more perfect.

See Can. Ap. 18.

25, 26.

4. They that Marry a second time, used to be under Penance a Year or two: They that Marry a third time, three or four Years; but we have a Custom that he who Marries a third time be under Penance five Years, not by Canon but Tradition; half of this time they are to be *Hearers*, afterwards *Co-standers*.

See Can. Nic. 11. Greg. Toaum. 11.

5. Hereticks upon their Death-Bed giving good Signs of their Conversion, to be received.

6. Let it not be counted a Marriage, when * one belonging to the Church has committed Fornication, but let them be forced to part.

* i. e. A Clergy-man, Monk, Deaconess, &c.
See Can. Nic. 16, 17.

7. Venerly with Brutes, or of Men with Men, Murder, † Witchcraft, Adultery and Idolatry, deserve the same Punishment. Thirty Years Penance is sufficient, if they did it thro' Ignorance, and confessed it them.

themselves; but especially if they be real Penitents.

† Or Pharmacy. See *Can. Ant.* 24.

8. He that kills another with a Sword, or hurls an Axe at his own Wife and kills her, is guilty of Wilful Murder; so is she that gives a *Philtrum*, if the Man that takes it, die upon it; so are they, who take Medicines to procure Abortion; and so are they who kill on the High-way, and Raparees.

9. Our Lord is equal to the Man and Woman, forbidding Divorce, save in Case of Fornication; but Custom requires Women to retain their Husbands, though they be guilty of Fornication. The Man deserted by his Wife may take another, and tho' he were deserted for Adultery, yet *St. Basil* will not be positive, that the other Woman who afterwards takes him is guilty of Adultery; but the Wife is not allowed this Liberty: and the Man who deserts an Innocent Wife is not allowed to Marry.

10. That they who Swear that they will not be Ordained, be not forced to break their Oath. *Severus*, Bishop of *Masada*, who had Ordain'd *Cyriac* Priest to a Country Church, subject to the Bishop of *Messtha*, is referr'd to the Divine Tribunal, upon his pretending that he did it by Surprize. *Cyriacus* had upon his Ordination, been forced, contrary to Canon,

to Swear
Country
stha, to
longed.
Amphilou
to *Masa*
and to
save his
poses th
try, wou
solution
he decla
pulsion.

By th
had a Po

11. Murder

12. T
nistry t

* See
Order a

13. C
ling in
advisea
it to fo

This

14. to the
Money

15, plicati
telligib

to swear that he would continue in that Country Church; but the Bishop of *Me-
stha*, to whom that Church properly be-
longed, forced him out. St. *Basil* advises
Amphilochius to lay the Country Church
to *Masada*, and make it subject to *Severus*,
and to permit *Cyriacus* to return to it and
save his Oath; and by this means he sup-
poses that *Longinus*, the Lord of that Coun-
try, would be prevailed upon to alter his Re-
solution of laying that Church desolate, as
he declar'd he would upon *Cyriacus's* Ex-
pulsion.

By this it should appear, that Metropolitans
had a Power of dismembring Dioceses.

11. He that is guilty of involuntary
Murder, shall do Eleven Years Penance.

12. The Canon Excludes from *the Mi-
nisty those who are guilty of Digamy.

* See *Can. Ap. 13.* *Vanperia* can signify no
Order above that of Deacon.

13. Our Fathers did not think that kil-
ling in War was Murder, yet I think it
adviseable for such as have been guilty of
it to forbear Communion three Years.

This Canon, says *Zonaras*, was never kept.

14. An Usurer, giving his unjust Gain
to the Poor, and renouncing his Love of
Money, may be admitted into the Clergy.

15, 16. Not properly Canons, but Ex-
plications of Scripture, and those scarce in-
telligible. *Here*

*Canons of St. Basil,
Here begins his Second Epistle.*

17. I Made a Canon, that they at *Antioch*, who had sworn not to perform the Sacred Offices, should not do it publickly, but in private only: As to *Bianor*, he is remov'd from thence to *Iconium*, and therefore is more at Liberty; but let him repent of his rash Oath which he made to an Infidel for avoiding a small danger.

St. Basil proceeds upon this Principle, that *it is unlawful for a Clergy man to forswear his Office*; but how great a Man was he who could give Laws to another Church, and so great a one as that of *Antioch*.

18. That the Ancients received a professed Virgin that had Married, as one guilty of Digamy, viz. upon one Years Penance; but they ought to be dealt with more severely than Widows professing Continency, and even as Adulterers: but they ought not to be admitted to profess Virginity before 16 or 17 Years of Age, after Trial, and at their own earnest request; whereas Relations often offer them that are under Age, for their own Secular Ends, but such ought not easily to be admitted.

19. That Men, who they seem tacitly to promise Cœlibacy by becoming Monks, yet do it not expressely; yet I think fit that they be interrogated too, and that a Profession should be demanded of them, that if they betake themselves to a Carnal Life they may be punish'd as Fornicators.

20. We-

now on
20. We
they did
or Catech
tism.

21. A
ness with
nish'd as
have no
Adultere
such a on
is divor
[thought
but the l

22. T
and will
nicators
the secon
to Repen
standers,
nion. I
who had
cretion t
Guardian
them in

Here to
be Prostra

23. T
Sisters, n
he who
admitted

24. A
and Ma
Commun

20. Women professing Virginity, though they did Marry while they were Hereticks, or Catechumens, yet are pardon'd by Baptism.

21. A Married Man committing Lewdness with a single Woman is severely punish'd as guilty of Fornication, but we have no Canon to treat such a Man as an Adulterer; but the Wife must co-habit with such a one: But if the Wife be lewd, she is divorc'd, and he that retains her is [thought] impious; such is the Custom, but the Reason of it does not appear.

22. That they who have stolen Virgins, and will not restore them, be treated as Fornicators; that they be one Year *Mourners*, the second *Hearers*, the third Year *receiv'd to Repentance*, and the fourth Year be *Co-standers*, and then admitted to Communion. If the Virgins be restored to those who had espoused them, it is at their Discretion to Marry them, or not; if to their Guardians, 'tis at their Discretion to give them in Marriage to the Raptors, or not.

Here to be received to Repentance, signifies to be *Prostrators*.

23. That a Man ought not to Marry two Sisters, nor a Woman two Brothers: That he who Marries his Brother's Wife, be not admitted [to Communion] till he dismiss her.

24. A Deaconess being sixty Years old, and Marrying, is not to be admitted to Communion till she cease from her Unclean;

cleanness; If she be less than sixty 'tis the Bishops fault who admitted her Deaconess, not the Woman's.

* 25. He that Marries a Woman that he has corrupted, shall be under Penance for corrupting her, but may retain her for his Wife.

Balsam. on the next Canon puts the Question, how a Woman that is under Penance for Fornication can be Married? And he answers, It might be done, when Marriage was performed by a Contract only; but it could not be in his time, because the Eucharist was to be given at Marriage.

26. If it may be, 'tis better, that they who have committed Fornication together be parted; but if they be passionate Lovers, let them not separate, for fear of what is worse.

27. Let the Priest, who is married incestuously through Ignorance, enjoy the Dignity, but forbear the Celebration of Divine Offices; for how shall one in such a case bless others?

28. That 'tis ridiculous to vow not to eat Swine's Flesh, and to abstain from it is not necessary.

29. That Princes ought not to Swear to wrong their Subjects: That such rash Oaths ought to be repented of, and Evil not to be justified under Pretence of Religion.

30. That they who * steal Women; and their Accomplices, be not admitted to Prayers, or to be *Co-standers* for three Years.

Where

now on

Where no
is commi
the Case.

tion. V

See C

* To st
desflower a
things. T

Ap. 59. th

67. Ca
ther inflie

31. Sh
home, if
before sh
mits Ad

32. T
Mortal S

See C

By Mo

Balsamon,

Death, as

escaped v

Judge, o

under C

nication,

33. T
Child i
it, shall

* See

34. T
Penanc
fession,

Where no Violence is used, there no Crime is committed except there be Lewdness in the Case. A Widow is at her own Discretion. We must not mind vain Pretences.

See Can. 22.

* To steal a Woman that is a Fortune, and to deflower a Man's own Slave are too very different things. The latter was forbid and censured, *Can. Ap. 59.* the former, as *St. Basil* observes, had no 67. Canon made against it; therefore the Father inflicts this Censure, *as his own Judgment.*

31. She, whose Husband is absent from home, if she co-habits with another Man, before she is perswaded of his Death, commits Adultery.

32. The Clergy-man who is deposed for Mortal Sin, shall not be Excommunicated.

See Can. 3.

By Mortal Sin is probably meant, according to *Bassamon*, such Sin as used to be punish'd with Death, as Murder, Felony, &c. and though they escaped with their Lives by the easiness of the Judge, or the Emperor's Pardon, yet they were under Church-Censure. *Aristenus* says, 'tis Fornication, or Carnal Sin.

33. That a Woman being deliver'd of a Child in a Journey, and taking no Care of it, shall be reputed guilty of Murder.

* See Can. 52.

34. That the Crime of Women under Penance for Adultery, upon their own Confession, be not publish'd, † lest it occasion their

their Death ; but that they remain out of Communion the appointed time.

† Not, says *Balsamon*, that 'twas allow'd by the Civil Laws to a Husband in this Case to kill his Wife (except he caught her in the Fact, and in his own House ; for then he might kill even the Adulterer, if he were a Stage-Player or Infamous Person) but for fear the Man in his rage should do that which he could not answer.

35. If a Woman leave her Husband, and if it do upon Inquiry appear, that she did it without reason, she deserves to be punish'd ; but let him continue in Communion.

36. A Soldier's Wife Marrying after the long Absence of her Husband, but before she is certified of his Death, is more pardonable than another Woman, because 'tis more credible that he may be dead.

See Can. 31.

* 37. That he, who having another Man's Wife or Spouse taken away from him, Marries another, is guilty of Adultery with the first, not with the second.

38. If a Woman run after him that has corrupted her, she shall be under Penance three Years, tho' the Parents be reconciled to her.

* 39. She, who continues to live with an Adulterer, is all that time an Adulteress.

40. She that [being absent] gives her self up to the Will of a Man, without the Consent

now on
tent of h
for Pacts

of others

41. A

tion, ma

42. Sla

of their l

sent of t

but Forn

senting.

* 43. T

to anoth

the first

fence.

44. A

Lewdne

* Excor

the Obl

* Tha

follow B

* 45.

Christia

no Adv

46. S

serted f

ward d

former

norant

riage,

Marry.

47. A

are in

We re

Consent of her Master, commits Fornication ;
for Acts of those who are under the Power
of others are null.

41. A Widow being at her own Discre-
tion, may Marry to whom she will.

42. Slaves Marrying without the Consent
of their Masters, or Children without Con-
sent of their Fathers, 'tis not Matrimony
but Fornication, till they ratify it by con-
senting.

* 43. That he who gives a Mortal Wound
to another is a Murderer, whether he were
the first Aggressor, or did it in his own De-
fence.

44. A Deaconess having been guilty of
Lewdness with a Pagan, ought not to be
* Excommunicated, but only depriv'd of
the Oblation seven Years.

* That is, put among the *Mourners*, &c. Here I
follow *Balsamon* and *Du Pin*. See *Can.* 32, & 3.

* 45. He that assumes the Name of a
Christian, but reproaches Christ, shall have
no Advantage from his Name.

46. She that Marries a Man who was de-
serted for a while by his Wife, but is after-
ward dismiss'd upon the return of the Man's
former Wife, commits Fornication, but ig-
norantly : She shall not be prohibited Mar-
riage, but 'tis better that she do not
Marry.

47. *Encratites*, *Saccophorians*, and *Apotactites*
are in the same Case with the *Novatians*.
We re-baptize them all. There is a Diver-
sity

sity in the Canons relating to the *Novatians*, no Canon concerning the other. It is not to be forbid with you, as 'tis at Rome for Prudential Causes, yet let Reason prevail. They are a Branch of the *Marcionists*; and tho' they Baptize in the Name of the Three Divine Persons, yet they make God the Author of Evil, and assert that Wine, and the Creatures of God are defiled. The Bishops ought to meet, and make a Canon to this purpose.

Some fanſie a Contradiſtion between this Canon and the firſt. I can't ſee it. In both Canons he owns that others do not re-baptize *Novatians*, &c. but yet 'tis his Opinion, that they ought to be re-baptized; and 'tis to be obſerved, that St. *Baſil* wrote this long before the Council of *Conſtantinople*, which in its 7th Canon admits them without Re baptization. 'Tis probable there were a more rank ſort of *Novatians* in the Parts about *Neo-Cæſarea*, which makes the good Man ſo ſevere againſt them. I don't find that the *Novatians* are charged with the Opinions here mention'd, by any other but St. *Baſil*.

48. A Woman diſmiſs'd from her Husband, ought to remain unmarried in my Judgment.

The *Scholiſts* underſtand this Canon of a Woman diſmiſs'd without any juſt Cauſe. It appears from St. *Baſil*'s way of Expreſſion, that this was a Point then not Ruled.

49. If a Slave be forced by her Maſter, ſhe is innocent.

now own

50. We ſhould be gracefulful, and not utterly conſiderable than Form

Here

51. The ſon, where the Miniſter poſition of

Biſhops in Dignity poſition of called Mi

52. A and negl der, un ſon of want of

53. The ſhe was ſhe muſt

The C tended Marriages one Ma

54. to incre tary M

55. are to Depoſ

50. We

50. We look on Third Marriages as disgraceful to the Church, but do not absolutely condemn them, as being better than Fornication.

Here begins the Third Epistle.

1. **T**HAT one Punishment be inflicted on lapsing Clergy-men, viz. Deposition, whether they be in Dignity, or in the Ministry, which is given without Imposition of Hands.

Bishops, Priests and Deacons, are said to be in *Dignity*; the rest were Ordained without Imposition of Hands in the Eastern Church, and called *Ministers*.

52. A Woman delivered in the Road, and neglecting her Child, is guilty of Murder, unless she was under necessity by reason of the Solitude of the Place, and the want of Necessaries.

53. Tho' a Widow Slave may pretend she was forced to a Second Marriage, yet still she must be Punish'd as guilty of Digamy.

The Canon supposes, that Force was only pretended, and that the Woman did really chuse Marriage, therefore she ought to be treated as one Married a second time.

54. That it is in the Bishop's Power to increase or lessen Penance for involuntary Murder.

55. Lay men Setting upon Highway-men are to be Excommunicated, Clergy-men Deposed.

This

This Canon does not forbid Self Defence, but only being Aggressors; and if one body of Men be permitted to assault another upon pretence of their being High-way Men, it might be the Occasion of shedding much innocent Blood.

56. The wilful Murderer shall be 4 Years a *Mourner*, 5 Years a *Hearer*, 7 Years a *Prostrator*, 4 Years a *Co-stander*, and then Communicate.

57. The involuntary Murderer for 2 Years shall be a *Mourner*, for 3 Years a *Hearer*, 4 Years a *Prostrator*, 1 Year a *Co-stander*, and then Communicate.

58. The Adulterer shall be 4 Years a *Mourner*, 5 a *Hearer*, 4 a *Prostrator*, 2 a *Co-stander*.

59. The Fornicator shall be a *Mourner* 2 Years, 2 a *Hearer*, 2 a *Prostrator*, 1 a *Co-stander*.

60. Professed Virgins and Monks, if they fall from their Profession, shall undergo the Penance of Adulterers.

61. The Thief, if he discover himself, shall do 1 Years Penance; if he be discovered [by others] 2; Half the Time he shall be a *Prostrator*, the other half a *Co-stander*.

* 62. He that abuses himself with Man-kind, shall do the Penance of an Adulterer.

63. And so shall he who abuses himself with Beasts, if they voluntarily consents it.

See Can. 58.

64. The

Mourner 2

or 4, a *Co*

65. He

Pharmacy,

Murdere

66. He

Graves, sh

3 Years,

1 Year.

* 67. I

Murder.

68. All

tery.

69. A

Woman

Suspended

if he ha

wholly

Mem.

from the

ction.

70. T

luted in

this Fund

the Pri

more s

* Ob

volueris.

71.

conscio

discove

cipal.

64. The perjurd Person shall be a Mourner 2 Years, a Hearer 3, a Prostrator 4, a Co-stander 1.

65. He that professes Conjurat[i]on, or Pharmacy, shall do Penance as long as Murderer.

66. He that digs the Dead out of their Graves, shall be a Mourner 2 Years, a Hearer 3 Years, a Prostrator 4 Years, a Co-stander 1 Year.

* 67. Incest with a Sister is punish'd as Murder.

68. All incestuous Conjunction, as Adultery.

69. A Reader or Minister lying with a Woman he has only espoused, shall be Suspended from his Function 1 Year; but if he have not espoused her, he shall [wholly] cease from his-Ministry.

Mem. The *Espousals* were formerly different from the Consummating Solemnity or Benediction.

70. The Priest or Deacon that is * polluted in Lips, shall be Suspended from his Function, but shall Communicate with the Priests or Deacons. He that does more shall be Deposed.

* *Obscœnum est, nec lubet nōsse quid Sanctus voluerit.*

71. He that is convicted to have been conscious to any of these Crimes, but not discovered it, shall be treated as the Principal.

72. He

72. He that gives himself to Divination all be treated as a Murderer.

73. He that has denied Christ is to be Communicated at the Hour of Death, if he confess it, and be a *Mourner* till that time.

74. He that has the Power of binding and loosing, [the Bishops] may lessen the time of Penance, to an earnest Penitent.

75. He that commits Incest with a half-Sister, shall be a *Mourner* 3 Years, a *Hearer* 3 Years, a *Profrator* 3 Years, a *Co-stander* Years.

2 * 76. And so shall he who commits Incest with his Son's Wife.

* 77. He that divorces his Wife and Marries another, is an Adulterer; and according to the Canons of the Fathers, he shall be a *Mourner* 1 Year, a *Hearer* 2 Years, a *Profrator* 3 Years, a *Co-stander* 1 Year.

This in the Canon is called the Penance of an Adulterer; but See *Can. 58*. That, says *Balsamon*, was *Basil's* Canon; this is only a Citation of the Authority of the Ancients.

* 78. So shall he who successively Marries two Sisters.

* 79. So shall he who madly loves his Mother in Law, or Sister.

80. The Fathers say nothing of Polygamy as being beastly, and a thing to which Mankind is averse. To us it appears a greater Sin than Fornication: Let therefore such [as are guilty of it] be liable to the Canons, viz. after they have been

now r

been *Mourner*
Profrator
receiv'd

Polygamy
having m
others th
Opinion
have not
lygamy
now the
4 Years,
7, *Can. 5*
than 7 Y
in other
standing
conclude
corrupte
as we no

81. *Barbari*
of magi
sworn
ceived
Hearers
be recei
force, l
2 Years
Years,
nion.

To de
Penance
fer'd to
as to de

now receiv'd only by the Eastern Church.

217

been *Mourners* one Year — let them be *Prostrators* three Years — and then be receiv'd.

Polygamy is by some supposed to signify the having more Wives than three successively: By others the having them altogether; to which last Opinion I incline; but then 'tis evident, that we have not that Canon perfect, for it declares *Polygamy* to be a greater Sin than Fornication; now the least time of Penance for Fornication was 4 Years, See *Can. 22.* *St. Basil* would bring it to 7, *Can. 59* and therefore, no doubt, ordered more than 7 Years Penance for *Polygamy*; and further, in other Canons, the time of their Hearing and Co-standing are mention'd, and so we reasonably conclude they were here; but our Librarians have corrupted the Copies. *Bals. Zon.* and *Arist.* read as we now do.

81. They who in the Invasion of the *Barbarians*, have after long Torments, eat of magical things offered to Idols, and have sworn Heathen Oaths, let them *not be received* for 3 Years, for 2 Years let them be *Hearers*, for 3 Years *Prostrators*, so let them be received; but they who did it without force, let them *be ejected* 3 Years, be *Hearers* 2 Years, *Prostrators* 3 Years, *Co-standers* 3 Years, so let them be admitted to Communion.

To deny *Christ*, *Can. 73.* made Men lyable to Penance during Life; therefore to eat Meats offer'd to Idols, was not thought so great a Crime as to deny him.

Observe that the *Mourners* are called Persons not received, and ejected. See Note on *Can. Nic. 11.*

82. They who by force have been driven to Perjury, let them be admitted after 6 Years; but if without force, let them be *Mourners* 2 Years, *Hearers* 2 Years, the 5th Year *Prostrators*, 2 Years *Co-standers*.

See *Can. 64.*

83. They that follow Heathenish Customs, or bring Men into their Houses for the contriving Pharmacies, or repelling them, shall be 1 Year *Mourners*, 1 Year *Hearers*, 3 Years *Prostrators*, 1 Year *Co-standers*.

In the 65-72 *Can.* he teaches how they are to be treated, who do themselves use these Arts; here how they are to be treated who apply themselves to such Men.

84. We do not judge altogether by the length of time, but by the Circumstances of the Penance. If any Will not be drawn from their Carnal Pleasures, and chuse to serve them rather than the Lord, we have no Communication with them *.

* *i. e.* We do not admit them to Penance, they are as Heathen Men and Publicans,

85. Let us take care that we do not perish with them; let us warn them Night and Day, that we may deliver them out of the Snare, or however save our selves from their Condemnation.

Here

now receiv'd only by the Eastern Church.

219

Here end the Canons contained in St. Basil's Letters to Amphilochius, but in those Published by Beveridge and Tilius, there is added as follows.

A Letter to Amphilochius, containing

Can. 86. Against the *Encratites*, who would not eat Flesh.

87. One Letter to *Diodorus* containing a Preface.

88. Against Marrying two Sisters successively.

89. A Letter to *Gregory* a Priest, that he dismiss his Woman which he kept when he was 70 Years of Age.

90. A Letter to his Village-Bishops: He complains of the want of Discipline, of the multiplying of the Clergy, and that without due Examination and Enquiry into their Morals: That they had dropt the old Custom, which was for the Priests and Deacons to recommend to the Village-Bishop, who taking the Testimonial, and giving notice of it to the [City] Bishop, did afterwards admit the Minister into the Sacerdotal List: That the Number of the Inferior Clergy was unreasonably increased, especially in time of War, when Men got into Orders to avoid the Press: He orders a List of the Clergy in every Village to be sent to him, and who admitted him; If any have been admitted into the Inferior Orders by Priests, that they be look'd on as Lay-men. Let not who will put his

L 2

Name

Name into the List. Re-examine those who are there, expel the unworthy, admit none without my Consent for the future; if you do, he shall be counted a Lay-man.

91. One Letter to the Bishops subject to him, wherein he prohibits to take Money for Orders; they had their Pay after the Ordination was perform'd: This he calls an *Artifice*, and declares, that he who is guilty of it shall depart from the Altars in his Country, and go buy and sell the Gift of God where he can.

Another Letter to Amphilocheus containing

Can. 92, } That we ought in the Doxology

93. } to mention the Holy Ghost. He justifies this by other Traditions, viz. *Signing Believers with the Sign of the Cross; Praying toward the East*, to denote that we are in quest of *Eden*, that Garden in the East from which our first Parents were ejected: *The Words of Invocation at the exhibiting the Eucharistical Elements to the Communicant, Prefaces, Blessing the Water, Oil, and Person to be Baptized; the trine Immersion, standing at Prayers on Sundays, and the whole Pentecost.*

The CANONS of St. Gregory Nyssen.

1. **T**HAT at *Easter*, not only they who are Baptized, but they who are Penitents and Converts, are to be brought to God, i. e. to the Communion.

2. They

nom

2.

so as to
Com
if they
tion,
who v
Penan

3.

Divin
be tre
if thr
hope
ties, t
thro'

4.

ejecte
Years
Comm
Proft
are e
doubl
Luits

Her

Penan
Cafare
for he
no Me

5.

ejecte
9 Yea
Years
the P
Murc

2. They who lapse without any force, so as to deny Christ, not to be admitted to Communion till the hour of Death; and if they chance to recover beyond Expectation, to return to their Penance: but they who were forced by Torments, to do the Penance of Fornicators.

3. If they who run to Conjurers or Diviners, do it thro' Unbelief, they shall be treated as they who wilfully lapse; but if thro' want of Sense, and thro' a vain hope of being reliev'd under their Necessities, they shall be treated as those who lapse thro' the violence of Torment.

4. That Fornicators be 3 Years wholly ejected from Prayer, 3 Years *Hearers*, 3 Years *Prostrators*, and then admitted to Communion; but the time of Hearing and Prostrating may be lessen'd to them who are earnest Penitents: That this time be doubled in Case of Adultery and unlawful Lusts; but Discretion to be used.

Here we may see the difference in the time of Penance in the Church of *Nysa*, from that of *Casarea*, and in the manner of performing it; for here, and in the following Canons, there is no Mention of Co-standing.

5. Voluntary Murderers shall be 9 Years ejected out of the Church, 9 Years *Hearers*, 9 Years *Prostrators*; but every one of these 9 Years may be reduced to 7, 6, or even 5, if the Penitents be very diligent. Involuntary Murderers to be treated as Fornicators, but

Answers of Timothy,

still with Discretion, and allowing the Communion on a Death-bed, returning to Penance if they Survive.

6. That High-way-men returning to the Church be treated as Murderers: They that pilfer, and then confess their Sin to the Priest, are only obliged to Amendment, and to be liberal to the Poor; and if they have nothing, to labour and give their Earnings.

7. They who dig into Graves, and rake into the Ashes and Bones of the dead, in order to find some valuable thing buried together with the Corps, to do the Penance of Fornicators.

8. He thinks the Fathers too gentle, in imposing a shorter Penance on Sacrilege than Adultery.

*Canonical Answers of Timothy Bishop
of Alexandria, 380.*

1. **A** Lad of 7 Years old, or a Man being a Catechumen, being present at the Oblation, did eat of it thro Ignorance, what shall be done in this Case? *A.* Let him be Illuminated, i. e. Baptized.

2. If Baptism be desired for a Catechumen that is possessed, what shall be done? *A.* Let him be Baptized at the Hour of Death, not otherwise.

3. Ought

now

3. O cate, if expose

Comm

4. If he can't he be may if

5. C after Night.

6. T tism of that th Ought not til

7. C nicate

8. C the Pa

9. C Oblat retick retick noun

10. Patch

11. brate comp he mu

12. ther h nal P

3. Ought a Communicant to Communicate, if he be possessed? *A.* If he do not expose or blaspheme the Mysteries, let him Communicate now and then.

4. If a Catechumen be so disorder'd that he can't make Profession of his Faith, can he be Baptized in his Life-time? *A.* He may if he be not possessed.

5. Can a Man or Woman Communicate after performing the Conjugal Act over Night. *A.* No. 1 Cor. vii. 5.

6. The Day was appointed for the Baptism of a Woman; on that Day it happen'd that the Custom of Women was upon her, Ought she then to be Baptized? *A.* No, not till she be clean.

7. Can a Menstruous Woman Communicate? *A.* Not till she be clean.

8. Ought a Woman in Child-bed to keep the Paschal Fast? *A.* No.

9. Ought a Clergy-man to perform the Oblation, or Pray while an *Arian* or Heretick is present? *A.* Not except the Heretick promise to come to Penance, and renounce his Heresy.

10. Is a Sick Man obliged to keep the Paschal Fast? *A.* No.

11. If a Clergy-man be called to Celebrate an Incestuous Marriage, Ought he to comply, and perform the Oblation? *A.* No, he must not be partaker of other Mens Sins.

12. If a Lay-man ask a Clergy-man whether he may Communicate after a Nocturnal Pollution? *A.* If it proceed from the

Desire of a Woman he ought not: but if it be a Temptation from Satan, he ought; for the Tempter will plie him when he is to Communicate.

13. When are Man and Wife to forbear the Conjugal Act? *A.* On *Saturday*, and the *Lord's-Day*; for on those Days the Spiritual Sacrifice is offer'd.

14. Shall there be an Oblation for him who being distracted, murders himself? *A.* Not except the Case be very clear that he was distracted.

The Reader is not from hence to conclude, that the Ancients believed a *Purgatory*; for in their Intercessions at the Altar they commemorated the greatest Saints, the Apostles, and the Blessed Virgin, whom even the *Romanists* themselves believe never to have been in *Purgatory*. They Prayed for increase of their Bliss, and that they with themselves might be Partakers of a Blessed Resurrection.

15. If one's Wife be possessed to such a degree as that she is bound with Irons, and the Man can't contain, may he Marry another. *A.* I can only say 'twould be Adultery so to do.

16. If a Man in Washing or Bathing swallow a drop of Water, may he Communicate after it. *A.* Yes.

17. Are they, who hear the Word and do it not, Damned? *A.* If we neither do it, nor repent that we have not done it.

18. At what Age are Sins imputed to us by God? *A.* According to every one's Capacity and Understanding, to one at ten, to another, when older.

now
The C
Bif
38

1. E
our Fa
shew
wholly
observ
till ou
ternoc
The
Feast
See Ap
nity is
of An
Greek
Days
and 6
other
gelus
iemn
The 6

2.
muni
or r
Chur
cord,

The CANONS of Theophilus Arch-
Bishop of Alexandria, about A. D.
385.

His Edict.

I. **B**Ecause the Fast of *Epiphany* chances to fall on a *Lord's-Day*, let us take a few Dates, and so break our Fast, and honour the *Lord's-Day*, and shew our dislike of Heresy, and yet not wholly neglect the Fast which should be observed on this Day; eating no more till our Evening Assembly at Three Afternoon.

The Sixth Day of *January* was certainly a Feast in the Ancient Church, as well as ours; See *Apost. Const. lib. 5. c. 13*. But as this Solemnity is expressed here, and in other Monuments of Antiquity, in the Plural Number in the *Greek*; so it is probable that they had Two Days that went by that Name, viz. the 5th and 6th of *January*; on the first they Fasted, the other they kept as a Festival. *Christopher Angelus* reckons the 5th of *January* among the Solemn Fasts of the present *Greek Church*, cap. 18. The 6th is in all Churches a Feast.

His Commonitory to Ammon.

2. Let [the Priests] who have Communicated with the *Arians*, be retained, or rejected, as the Custom of every Church is; if they did it of their own accord, let them be censured; but if they only

L 5

did

did it in Obedience to the Bishop, let them be continued: But if all the People Abdicate them, others must be Ordained. And if *Bistus*, the Priest, be found to have committed Uncleanness with a Woman dismiss'd from her Husband, let him not be permitted to be a Priest: But this is no prejudice to the Bishop who Ordain'd him, if he did it ignorantly.

3. Let Bishop *Apollo's* Sentence against his Priest *Sur* prevail; tho' he has the liberty of Appealing.

4. If *Pannph* the Deacon, Married his Brother's Daughter before Baptism, let him continue among the Clergy, if she be dead, and he had not to do with her after his Baptism.

5. If it do evidently appear, that *Jacob*, while he was Reader did commit Fornication, and was ejected by the Priests, and yet afterwards Ordained, let him be ejected, and not otherwise.

This is the only Instance I meet with, where Priests are mentioned as passing Censure without their Bishop, probably 'twas *Sede vacante*.

6. That all in Holy Orders unanimously chuse those who are to be Ordain'd, and then the Bishop examine [them;] or that the Bishop Ordain them in the midst of the Church, all that are in Holy Orders consenting, and the Bishop asking the People, who are then to be present, whether they can give their Testimony [to the Parties

now
ties to
be not

All
that is,

7. I
eat and
not th

One
the Eu
least in

8.
whose
fins, C

9. T
whole
Bishop
See

10.
vellers
make
Churc

These
the
Nu

TH
dained
Synod

now receiv'd only by the Eastern Church.

227

ties to be Ordained;] and that Ordination be not perform'd in private.

All that are in Holy Orders, Gr. πάντες ιεραστέον, that is, Priests and Deacons.

7. Let the Clergy and Communicants eat and Drink what is left of the Oblation, not the Catechumens.

One would think they did not usually reserve the Eucharist in the Church of Alexandria, at least in this Age.

8. In relation to *Hierax*, the Priest, whose Case was much the same with *Bisius*, Can. 2.

9. That the *Oeconomus* be Chosen by the whole Clergy, with the consent of the Bishop.

See *Can. Chal.* 2.

10. That the Widows, Poor, and Travellers be not disturbed; and that no one make a Property of the Goods of the Church.

These following are also inserted among the Canons of Theophilus, tho' not Numbred.

THAT [Clergy-men] from the *Novatians*, becoming Profelytes, be Ordained, if they be of good Lives: For the Synod of Nice has so determined.

'Tis

Canonical Letter of Cyril,

'Tis clear, that *Theophilus* was of Opinion, that *Novatian* Clergy-men were on their Conversion to be Re-ordained; and he lived near the time of the Synod of *Nice*, and knew how that Canon was understood, and in what manner the Canons of it were executed: Therefore Mr. C. ought not to be confident in this Point; at least I will not be so, tho' I have given another Sense of the 8th Canon of *Nice*, after Bishop *Beveridge*.

Whereas *Maximus* has for Ten Years lived in unlawful Marriage, but pretends, that 'twas thro' ignorance, and that they are now parted by mutual Consent; let them * stand among the *Catechumens*, if it appear that they be in earnest.

* i. e. Be Hearers, and so admitted to Penance. See *Can. Nic. 11.*

Whereas *Cyradium* (a Woman) stands Excommunicated for wrong that she has done, but now desires the Communion: If she desist, and repent, let her Communicate.

Then follows a Canonical Letter of Cyril Arch-bishop of Alexandria, about the Year 412, to one *Domnus*.

THIS Letter contains a Complaint of one *Peter*, Deposed from his See, yet retaining the Character of a Bishop, who thought his Cause good, but complains that he had not time and opportunity given him
for

now r

for his I
was tak
who w
call a S
He thi
what b
thing e
plains,
count
ther fr
means.
strume
that h
would
force,
Deposi

The
Father
Libya
been r
shop,
Irregu
upon
as the
then
naster
cted,
ges th
future
had b
tized

* X
ged to

for his Defence; and that whatever he had was taken away from. He desires *Domnus*, who was a Metropolitan, that he would call a Synod, and let him have a hearing. He thinks it very hard, that not only what belong'd to the Church, but every thing else was taken from him; and complains, that all Bishops were call'd to account for every thing they receiv'd, whether from the Church, or by any other means. *Peter* had indeed Signed an Instrument of Resignation; but *Cyril* says, that he was terrified into it; and that he would have no such Resignations be of force, except he that made them deserved Deposition.

There is another Epistle of the same Father, complaining to the Bishops of *Libya* and *Pentapolis*, that some who had been refused Ordination by their own Bishop, or cast out of the Monasteries for Irregularity, were Ordained by a Surprise upon some other Bishop, and that just as they came from their Bride-bed; and then went and Officiated in the Monasteries from which they had been ejected, which gave great offence. He charges the Bishops to take care of this for the future; and orders that Catechumens, who had been * separated for lapsing, be Baptized at the hour of Death.

* *Χωρισμὸν ὑπομείνοντες*, i. e. I suppose obliged to stand amongst the *Mourners*.

The

Canon of St. Gregory,

The Canon of Scripture in Verse by St. Gregory [Nazianzen] the Divine; about the Year of our Lord 375.

HE reckons in the *Old Testament* 12 Books of History, viz 5 of Moses, *Joshua, Judges, Ruth*; 2 of the Kings [viz. 2 of Samuel, 2 now called Kings,] *Esdra*, [to which *Nehemiah* was formerly thought an Appendix] the *Chronicles*, [which he takes for one Book.]

He reckons 5 Poetick Books, viz. *Job the Psalms, Ecclesiastes, the Song of Songs, Proverbs*.

He reckons 5 Books of Prophets, viz. the 12 *Minor Prophets*. [which with him are all One Book] *Isaiah, Jeremias, Ezekiel, Daniel*; in all 22, according to the Number of the *Hebrew Letters*.

The Canon of the New Testament, as now commonly received with us.

He says, *Matthew* wrote his Gospel for the *Jews*, *Mark* for the *Italians*, *Luke* for the *Grecians*, *John* for All; only there is no mention of the *Apocalypse*.

Nor do's he take notice of *Esther*, nor of the *Apocryphal Books*.

The Canon of Scripture according to Amphilochius, Bishop of Iconium in Lycaonia, about the Year 380, or 90.

HE divides the Books of Scripture into Three Classes. Some

now
Some
and nea
false he
Nazare
familiar
we now
says he
Ruth, t
2 of E
hemiah
non of
Proph
add E
Evang
St. Pa
Hebre
Some,
but or
first of
he, ad
say th
logue
pture
as by
gory,
hence
Books
fore h
Laodi
this r
the N
settled
by ca
Un-c

Some are false, others of a middle sort, and near Neighbours to the true. By the false he means probably the Gospel of the *Nazarens*, and the many false Gospels then familiarly known; by the middle sort what we now commonly call *Apocryphal*. The true, says he, are, the *Pentateuch*, *Joshua*, *Judges*, *Ruth*, the 4 Books of *Kings*, 2 of *Chronicles*, 2 of *Ezra* [the latter of these we call *Nehemiah*] the 5 Poetick Books, as in the Canon of *Gregory Nazianzen*, the 12 lesser Prophets, the 4 greater. Some, says he, add *Esther*. In the *New Testament*, the 4 *Evangelists*, the *Acts*, the 14 Epistles of *St. Paul*; some, says he, call that to the *Hebrews*, *spurious*, but he asserts it Genuine. Some, says he, receive 7 Canonical Epistles, but others only 3, *viz.* that of *James*, the first of *Peter*, the first of *John*. Some, says he, add the *Apocalypse* of *John*, but more say that 'tis *spurious*: Whereas the Catalogue of the Genuine Books of Holy Scripture is drawn up by several in this Age, as by the Synod of *Laodicea*, by *St. Gregory*, &c. The Reader ought not from hence to infer that the Number of the Books of the *New Testament* had not before been fix'd by others. The Synod of *Laodicea* so words the Canon relating to this matter, as plainly to intimate, that the Number of Genuine Books had been settled long before; for what can they mean by calling some Books *Canonical*, others *Un-canonical*, or more literally, *Un-canoni-*
zed,

zed, but that some had been received, others rejected; and their Business was not to fix the Number, so much as to prohibit any to be read, that were not of the Number. *Tertullian*, who flourished, *A. D.* 190, tells us of many numerous Councils held in Greece, *de Jejunijs*, *Cap.* 13. And that they had this very Business under Consideration, appears in his saying, that *Hermas* the Pastor was rejected by every one of them. *de Pudicit.* *Cap.* 10. The 85th Canon call'd *Apostolical*, was undoubtedly drawn by one of those Canons, received by the rest.

Some will have it that *Gregory Nazianzen* drew up this Canon as well as the former.

A Circular Epistle of Gennadius, Patriarch of Constantinople, A. D. 460.

IF any Bishop Ordain, or make a Church Officer for Money, &c. to the same purpose with the 2d Canon of *Chalcedon*, and almost in the same Words; and note, that tho' the Epistle be only in the Name of the Patriarch, yet 'twas an Act of Synod, as you'll see by reading the latter part of the Letter, which in the *Oxford Edition*, and others, is Printed as a Note of *Balsamon*, as *Dr. Cave* judiciously observes; and it was Subscribed by 73 Bishops.

The

The C
True
Pala

T H
S

6th Gen

nons.

neral C

Pope w

Lains

for it v

But le

nons a

(the d

4, 5, 6

to Tan

Counci

can m

Counc

no Car

stle to

they m

at the

Second

the 6t

Counc

vincin

as the

this 7

the n

The CANONS of the Synod of *Trullus* (a *Cupolo* in the Emperor's Palace) at *Constantinople*, An. 683.

THIS is by others called the *Quin-Sextine* Council, as being called to supply the Defect of the 5th and 6th General Councils, which made no Canons. The *Greeks* assert, that it was a General Council, and that the Legates of the Pope were there and Subscribed it; but the *Latins* deny it, and the Reasons they have for it will appear by the following Canons: But let it be observed that these 102 Canons are cited by the 2d Council of *Nice*, (the darling Council of the *Papists*) Act. 4, 5, 6; and Pope *Adrian I.* in his Epistle to *Tarasius* says, that he receives the 6th Council with all its Canons, by which he can mean no other but these; for the 6th Council, as distinguished from this, made no Canons. *Nicholas* the First, in an Epistle to *Michael* the Greek Emperor, says they were confirmed by Pope John the Seventh, at the Request of the Emperor *Justinian* the Second. Besides *Gratian* attributes them to the 6th Council, and so does the [*Popish*] Council of *Florence*, all which was so convincing to *Caranza*, that he sets them down as the Canons of the 6th Council, of which this *Trullan* Synod was a Continuation; See the most learned *Examination of the Authority*

thority of General Councils by Mr. Jenkins, p. 14, 15. Richerius observes that Pope John allow'd all the 85 Canons of the Apostles, and gives this Reason for it, viz. that they were received by this Synod, Vol. I. p. 660.

1. Confirms the Doctrine of the 6 first General Councils.

2. Confirms all the Canons of Councils and Fathers contained in this Volume: It mentions likewise a * Canon of St. Cyprian formerly observed, and allows of no others.

* This is the same with the ^{39.} of the Apostolical Can. See Marsh. Blast. Praef. Syntag. ^{47.}

3. Rejects the Law against Clergy-mens retaining their Wives, which prevailed in the Roman Church, but at the Direction of the Emperor: Deposets those Clergy-men who having Married a second Wife, have not repented of their Sin of Ignorance before the 15th of January last: They who have, or whose second Wife is dead, are admitted to the Honour and Precedence, * of Priests, and Deacons, after a short Penance, but not to officiate: They that have but once Married a Widow, or that have at all Married after Ordination, are after a short Penance, admitted to the Order they were in before, but forbid any Promotion, and then they re-establish the ^{14.} Ap. Can. against ^{18.} Clergy-

now

Clergy-

*

4. In

a Nun
comm

5. R

only a
and ev

6. R

of De
be Ma
Ordain7. T
shall
someof a
does,
in his
servedDign
tion'd,8.
called
betw

See

9.
etual10.
gain11.
and
cians

now receiv'd only by the Eastern Church.

235

Clergy-men's Marrying Widows, &c.

* *προσπία.*

4. If any Bishop or Clergy-man lie with a Nun he is Deposed; if a Lay-man, Excommunicated.

5. Renews the 3d Canon of *Nice*, not only against Clergy-men, but Lay-men, and even Eunuchs.

6. Renews the ^{19.}_{27.} *Ap. Can.* under pain of Deposition; adds, If any of them will be Married, let him do it before he be Ordain'd Sub-Deacon.

7. That a Deacon, tho' he be dignified, shall not take place of a Priest, unless in some foreign City he represent the Person of a Metropolitan, or Patriarch; he that does, shall be the last of his Order, even in his own Church; and this shall be observed by all Orders of Clergy-men.

Dignified, i. e. have some of those Offices mention'd, *Can. Chalc. 2.* See *Can. Nic. 18.*

8. An Annual Synod of Bishops, to be called by the Metropolitan where he pleases, between *Easter* and the End of *October*.

See *Can. Afr. 18.* *Ap. 30.* *Nic. 5.* *Chalc. 19.*
_{21. 38.}

9. That a Clergy-man don't keep a Vitualling House, upon pain of Deposition.

10. Renews the 17th Canon of *Nice*, against Clergy-men that are Usurers.

11. Renews *Can. 37, 38. of Laodicea*, and forbids Clergy and Laity *Jewish* Physicians.

12. That

12. That Bishops may not co-habit with their Wives upon pain of Deposition; not that we set aside the Apostolical Constitution, but that we may not give Offence, as the Bishops in *Africa* and *Libya* have done.

Apostolical Constitution, that is, *Can. Ap. 3.*
6.

13. That Priests, nor any of the Inferior Orders, be forbid to co-habit with their Wives, except at their times of Ministration, according to the Canons of *Carthage*, with a Non-obstante to the Practice of the Church of *Rome*.

See *Can. Afr. 3, 28.*

14. That a Priest be not Ordained before 30, a Deacon before 25, a Deaconess before 40.

15. A Sub-Deacon not before 20. The Penalty of this and the former Canon is Deposition.

16. Sets aside 14th Canon of *Neo-Cæsarea*, concerning the Number of Deacons, asserting, that the Deacons Ordained *Ac. VI.* were not Deacons of the Holy Mysteries, but only Tenders at Tables.

17. Against Clergy-men removing to other Churches without the Bishop's Dimissory Letters.

18. They that are forced away from their Churches by the Invasion of *Barbarians*, are to return when that force is over, upon pain of Deposition, both to the Clergyman, and to the Bishop that retains him.

19. That

now

19. Clergy the *Lo* Script done.

Pres shop's frequer not in the Ap Paroch from t by the the Bi virtue in the ceeds tained

20 of an to do

21 pent cal T long

22 Dep then

2 dem Con nica free tha low

19. That the Presidents Preach to the Clergy and Laity every Day, especially the *Lord's-Day*, but expound no place of Scripture otherwise than the Fathers have done.

Presidents, i. e. Bishops, or Priests in the Bishop's Absence; for then they Presided. Priests frequently Preached in the *Greek Church*, tho' not in the *African*, but never any where without the Appointment of the Bishop. The Case of Parochial Priests with us differs in some measure from that of the Ancient Church; for they are by the Bishop entrusted with the Cure of Souls under the Bishop in some particular Place, and are by virtue of that Commission, empower'd to Preach in their own Churches; and this Alteration proceeds from the Parochial Settlement, which obtained not in the Primitive Church.

20. That no Bishop Preach in the City of another, under pain of being degraded to do the Office of a Priest only.

21. Let Clergy-men Deposed, who repent and amend their fault use the Clerical Tonsures; let them that do not, wear long Hair, like Lay-men.

22. They who are Ordained for Money Deposed, and the Bishops who Ordained them.

23. That no Bishop, Priest or Deacon, demand Money or any thing from the Communicant on account of his Communicating; for Grace is not to be Sold, but freely imparted without any Trick. He that does it, let him be Deposed, as a Follower of *Simon*.

24. For-

24. Forbids Horse-Races and Stage-Play. Musick to Clergy-men enforces the 53, 54. Canon of *Laodicea*, under pain of Deposition.

25. Renews the 17th Canon of *Chalcedon*, against the Bishop who claims a *Patriarch* not claimed by him or his Predecessors for 30 Years past.

26. Renews the 27th Canon of *St. Basil*, and commands the Priests, who have thro' Ignorance Married to near Relations, to be content with their * Honour only, not to officiate.

* προεδρία.

27. That Clergy-men neither in City nor on the Road use any Habit, but such † Gowns as have been ordered, under pain of one Week's Suspension from Communion.

† σλαί.

28. Renews the 4. *Apostolical Canon*, that Grapes are to be blessed only as first Fruits, not as any part of the Oblation, nor to be distributed with the Consecrated Elements.

29. The Synod of *Carthage* gives leave on *Maunday Thursday* to eat before the Holy Mysteries of the Altar be performed: We do not allow this; for 'tis a Protanation of the *Lent Fast*.

See *Afr. Can.* 44. *Laod.* 50.

30. That

now re

30. T
having,
their W
the Ap
shall no
the narr
to a civ
See

Bals.
Bishops,
tage of
Wives?

31.
Bishop
that is,
ries bel
32.
Water
only;
Liturg

Bals.
blame i
that ca
been w
Power

33.
the P
whole

34.
again

35.
Seize

now receiv'd only by the Eastern Church.

239

30. That Priests among the *Barbarians* having, by mutual Consent, parted with their Wives, be indulg'd, tho' contrary to the Apostolical Canon; and that they shall not Co-habit with them; because of the narrowness of their Souls, and aversion to a civiliz'd Life.

See Can. Ap. 3.
6.

Bals. says, that he had ask'd several *Russian* Bishops, whether their Priests took the Advantage of this Canon, and liv'd a-part from their Wives? and was Answered, That they did not.

31. The Clergy-men who without the Bishop's Consent, perform the Liturgy, that is, the Eucharist, or Baptize in Oratories belonging to their Houle are Deposed.

32. Against the *Armenians* who put no Water into the Chalice, but offered Wine only; against them the Synod quotes the Liturgies of St. James, and St. Basil.

Bals. will have it, That the *Romanists* are to blame in using cold Water; because the Water that came out of our Saviour's Side must have been warm: and this represents the enlivening Power of the Mystery.

33. Against the *Armenians* who entail'd the Priestly Office on certain Families, and whole Inferior Clergy had not the Tonsure.

34. Renews the 18th Canon of *Chalcedon* against Conspiracies.

35. That the Metropolitan must not Seize the Goods of a vacant Church to his
OWN

own use; but the Clergy must keep them till there be a Successor; or, if there be no Clergy, the Metropolitan must do it.

See 22. Can. *Chalced.*

36. Renews the 2d and 3d Canon of *Constantinople*, 28th of *Chalcedon*, viz. that the Throne of *Constantinople* have equal Privilege with that of *Rome*; and that he be next after him in all Ecclesiastical Matters; then he of *Alexandria*, next he of *Antioch*, after him he of *Jerusalem*.

37. That no Bishops receive any prejudice, because they are forc'd from their Sees by *Barbarians*; but that their Ordinations be valid, and their Authority allowed.

We are inform'd by *Bals.* That such Bishops as could not reside in their Dioceses, had the Government of some Monastery, or Hospital, granted him, and there liv'd with his Priests and Clergy.

38. Renews the latter Part of the 17th Canon of *Chalced.* That the Ecclesiastical Bounds [of Provinces and Dioceses] follow the Civil Pattern.

Balsam. proves from this Canon, that Emperors have the Power of Erecting new Bishopricks and Metropolitans.

39. That the Privileges granted by the Synod * of *Ephesus* to the Metropolitan of *Cyprus* be preserved: That *Justinianopolis* have

7107
have
That
See b
over
the M
Bisho
be sub
See

40.
of Ag
Exam
have
teen;
accor
the C
latter
forme
41.
shall
a Cel
they
exam
One
their
their
of it,
the B

Pre
Sciled

42.
down
Hair,

have the same Right with *Constantinople*: That *John*, our Brother, driven from his See by the *Barbarians*, have Jurisdiction over the Province of *Hellespont*: and that the Metropolitan be Ordained by his own Bishops; and that the Bishop of *Cyzicum* be subject to him.

See 8th *Can.* of *Eph.*

40. None must be a Monk before 10 Years of Age, nor then, except the Bishop upon Examination judge him fit. *St. Basil* would have none profess Virginity before Seventeen; and a Widow or Deaconess, must, according to *St. Paul*, be Sixty: But as the Canon has shortened the Age of the latter to Forty; so do we that of the former in proportion.

41. They who affect to be *Anchorets*, shall first for Three Years be confined to a Cell in a Monastery; and if after this, they profess, that they persist, let them be examined by the President; let them live One Year at large, and if they still approve their first choice, let them be confined to their Cell, and not be permitted to go out of it, but by consent; and Benediction of the Bishop, in case of great necessity.

President, probably the Bishop, who is often Stiled *πρεσβυτερος*; or else the Abbot.

42. That Hermits who strowl up and down in Towns, in black Habits and long Hair, and converse freely with both Sexes,
M be

be either shorn and go into some Monastery, or be driven into the Wilderness from whence they have their Names.

43. Whatever Fault a Man has been guilty of, he may be a Monk; for their Life is a State of Penance.

44. A Monk Marrying, or committing Fornication, shall do the † Penance of a Fornicator.

† i. e. Seven Years. See *Can. Bas.* 59.

45. The Custom of some Monasteries, of dressing the Nun, who comes to be Veiled, in a very gay Habit, and then to strip her of all her Gallantry at the Altar, before she put on her Weeds, is forbidden.

46. Let the Nuns, and Monks, be Punished, who go Abroad without the Blessing; (i. e.) Leave of their Superior: especially if they stay out all Night.

47. Let not a Man Lodge in a Monastery of Women; nor a Woman in a Monastery of Men, under pain of Excommunication.

48. Let the Wife of a Bishop, parted from him by mutual consent, be kept in a Monastery, far distant from the Bishop, at his Charge; or, if she be capable, be made a Deaconess.

49. Renews the 24th Canon of *Chalced.* against alienating Monasteries, &c.

50. Renews the ³⁵₄₃ *Can. of the Apostles*, against Playing at Dice.

51. For-

110

51.

Beasts

Stage

Depo

52.

order

Day

to be

have

* T

53.

Moth

swers

be Sep

nicate

'Tis

State

bad th

adopte

was th

See

54.

of th

with

Two

Seven

Parte

55.

posing

the L

cept

of Ro

51. Forbids Actors of Farces, Baiting of Beasts with Dogs, and Dancing upon the Stage. The Clergy-man who do's this is Deposed, the Lay-man Excommunicated.

52. Renews the 49th *Can. of Laod.* and orders the Eucharistical Liturgy on every Day in *Lent*, but *Saturday* and *Lord's day*, to be performed with the * Elements, that have been Consecrated before.

* This is the *Missa præsantificatorum*.

53. Forbids the Godfather to Marry the Mother of the Infant for whom he Answers. They that have done so, must first be Separated, then do the Penance of Fornicators.

'Tis clear, that this practice came from the State into the Church. The Imperial Law forbade the adoptive Parent to Marry his or her adopted Son or Daughter: For the God-Child was thought a Sort of an adopted Child.

See *Justin. Institutes*, L. 1. tit. 10.

54. Marriage of the Brother's Daughter, of the Father and Son, or Two Brothers, with the Mother and Daughter, or with Two Sisters, is forbidden, upon pain of Seven Years Penance, and the Couple to be Parted.

55. They enforce the ⁶⁴₅₆ *Ap. Can.* Deposing the Clergy-man, Excommunicating the Lay-man that Fasts on *Saturdays*, except on *Easter-Eve*, even in the Church of *Rome* itself.

Bals. observes that this is One great Reason why the Romanists reject these Canons.

56. Against the *Armenians*, and others, who eat Eggs and Milk on *Sabbath-days* and *Lord's-days* in *Lent*; which it forbids upon Pain of Deposition to Clergy-men, Suspension from Communion to Lay-men.

From this Canon it is clear, that tho' the *Greeks* pretend not to Fast on *Saturday* and *Sunday* in *Lent*, yet they really exercise as much Self-denial on those Days, as the *Latins* do on any other: For they eat no Eggs nor Milk, nor consequently any Fowls nor Flesh, on those Days (as the Canon at large do's expressly teach us.) The only difference that the *Greeks* made betwixt these Two Days and the other Five, was, that on those they allowed themselves Oil and Wine, but not on the rest; and this is the Practice of the *Greek Church* to this Day, if we may believe *Christopher Angelus*, c. 11. p. 13. Now the *Romanists* are indulged Wine and Oil, through the whole *Lent*.

57. Against Offering Hony and Milk.

See *Can. Apost.* 2.

58. That no Lay-man take the Sacred Mysteries himself in presence of a Bishop, Priest or Deacon, upon pain of One Weeks Excommunication.

This is to be understood of the Eucharistical Elements reserved in the Church after the Celebration, according to the practice of that Age.

59. That

59. That none be Baptized in Oratories belonging to Houses; but that they who desire Illumination, go to the Catholick Churches, upon pain of Deposition to a Clergy-man, Excommunication to a Lay-man.

A Novel of *Leo's* the Emperor contradicts this Canon; yet says *Bals.* the matter is so compounded by another Law, that if any one Baptize so, who is forbid by the Bishop, the Canon takes place; but if the Bishop be silent, the Novel takes place. *Bals. in Can. 31.*

60. They that feign themselves possessed with a Devil, shall be as * severely treated in all respects, as they who are really possessed.

* That is, confined, chained, &c.

61. Decrees Six Years Penance for Conjurers, and those that are called Captains of Hundreds, and those that become Scholars to such; and likewise to those who carry about † She-Bears, or other Animals, and put upon the Multitude by the frequent repeating of the Words, *Fortune, Fate, Genealogy*; and who Prognosticate by the Clouds, and Charmers: if they obstinately persist, they are thrown out of the Church.

† Pretending that the Hair of those Bears, or Toys tied to them, are Remedies against Witchcraft, says *Balsam.*

62. The Lewd Festivities on the Kalends, and * Feasts in honour to the God Pan, the publick mystick Dancings both of Men and Women, Tragical and Comical Masks, and that either Sex wear the Habit of the other, and the Bacchanalia, are forbidden upon pain of Deposition to the Clergy, Excommunication to the Laity.

* So I Translate the Word *Bolē*, according to *Bolē*.

63. The false Legends of pretended Martyrs are voted to the Flames, and they who adhere to them are Anathematized.

64. That Lay-men do not usurp the Authority of Teaching in Publick, upon pain of Forty Days Excommunication.

65. The observing the New Moon, by Mens making Fire before their Doors, and leaping on them, is forbidden, upon pain of Deposition to the Clergy, Excommunication to the Laity.

66. That from *Easter-Day* to the † *New Lord's Day*, Men shall attend at Church to Singing, Reading Scripture, and enjoying the Holy Mysteries; therefore let no Horse-Races or Publick Shews be on these Days.

† i. e. *Low-Sunday*.

67. Abstaining from Bloud and strangled Animals, is injoined, upon pain of Deposition to the Clergy, Excommunication to the Laity.

68. They

68. They that tear, or cut the Books of the *Old* or *New Testament*, or of the Holy Doctors, or sell them to Depravers of Books, or Apothecaries, or any one who will make away with them, unless they be worn out and useles, is Excommunicated for a Year; they that buy them, except to keep, or sell again for the Benefit of themselves or others, or go about to corrupt them, let them be Excommunicated.

69. That no Lay-man come within the Holy Chancel, except the Emperor, when he comes to make his Offering according to Tradition.

70. That Women ought not to speak in time of the Divine Liturgy, according to the Apostle.

71. That they who study the Civil Law, use no Heathenish Customs, go not into the Theater, nor meddle with Athletick Exercises, nor wear any but the common Gown *, upon pain of Excommunication.

* 'Tis most probable, that the Scenical Habit of Actors on the Stage is here forbidden.

72. The Marriage of an Orthodox Person with an Heretick is null; the Orthodox must part, under pain of Excommunication, unless Married before her or his Conversion.

73. The Sign of the Cross made on any Pavement is to be effaced, lest it be trampled upon; and they that make it for the future, are to be Excommunicated †.

† That is, says *Balsamon*, if they do it out of spight and on purpose, that it may be dishonoured by being trodden under foot.

74. The 28th Canon of *Laodicea*, against Love-Feasts in Churches enforced, under pain of Excommunication.

75. That Singers in the Church avoid all Yellings and Vociferations, and do their Business with Attention and Affection.

76. That Shops ought not to be placed within the Verge of the Church, under pain of Excommunication.

77. That no Clergy-man, Ascetick, or Christian Bath with a Woman, under pain of Deposition to the Clergyman, Excommunication to the Lay-man.

78. Renews the 46th Canon of *Laodicea*, that the Person to be Baptized rehearse the Creed.

79. Against those who baked a Cake presently after *Christmas*, and eat it with their Friends in Honour to the Virgins Lying-in. 'Tis forbid under pain of Deposition to a Clergy-man, Excommunication to a Lay-man.

80. The Canon cited in the 11th Canon of *Sardica*, against those who neglected the Communion for 3 *Sundays* together, is renewed; and if the Clergy-men be guilty of it, except thro' necessity, he is Deposed.

81. Against those Hereticks who add those Words in the *Trisagium*, *who wast crucified for us*.

The *Trisagium*; that is, *Holy, Holy, Holy, &c.* just after the Preface in the Communion-Service, is addressed to the Father, or to the whole Trinity, and therefore 'twas heretical to add these words, *for the Son alone was crucified.*

82. Whereas among the Venerable Pictures the Lamb is represented as pointed at by the Finger of his Fore-runner [*John the Baptist*] which is only a Symbol, or Shadow: We, having due regard to the Type, but preferring the Anti-Type, determine that he be for the future described more perfectly, and that the Portraicture of a Man be made instead of the old Lamb: that by this we may be reminded of his Incarnation, Life and Death.

Pictures, not Images, were in request in this Age, and in the Year 596. *Bede* describes *Austin* the Monk making his first Entrance into *Canterbury* with the Cross, and a Picture of *Christ* carried before him, and singing a short Litany.

83. Renews the 20th *African* Canon against giving the Eucharist to dead Corpses.

84. Renews the 76th Canon of *Africk*, for Baptizing Children whose Baptism is uncertain.

85. That Manumission of Slaves before 3 Witnesses be good.

86. That they who keep filthy Women for the ensnaring of Souls; if Clergy-men, be Deposed; if Lay-men, Excommunicated.

87. A Woman leaving her Husband is to be punished; but he shall be admitted to

Communion. He that detains an Adulteress is impious: He that leaves his own Wife and takes another, is an Adulterer. By the Canon of our Fathers, such a one must be a *Mourner* 1 Year, a *Hearer* 2, 3 Years a *Prostrator*, the 7th a *Co-stander*, and then be vouchsafed the Oblation, if he repent with Tears.

Here Discipline is relaxed, formerly Adulterers did 14 Years Penance.

88. He that carries a Beast into the Church, except to save it from perishing; if a Clergy-man, is Deposed; if a Lay-man, Excommunicated.

89. That the faithful Fast on * the Days of our Saviour's Passion, till the Midnight of *Easter-Eve*.

See Canons of *Dionysius of Alexandria*.

* Bishop *Beveridge* says the whole Passion Week 1st after *Sunday*.

90. Renews the 20th Canon of *Nice*, and adds that Christians Pray Standing, from the Beginning of Even-Song on *Saturday*, to the Beginning of Even-Song on *Sunday*, at which time they are to Kneel.

91. She that procures Abortion shall be punished as a Murderer.

92. They who steal Women under Pretence of Marriage, are Deposed if Clergymen, Anathematized if Lay-men. 28th of *Chalcedon*.

93. Renews the 31, 36, 46, of *St. Basil*, concerning the Marriage of Women whose Husbands have been long absent.

94. The Canon (*viz.* 81. of *St. Basil*) inflicts Penance on them who Swear Heathenish Oaths, and we Decree Excommunication against them.

95. Renews the 7th Canon of the Second General Council at *Constantinople*, concerning receiving Hereticks; and adds, We receive *Manicheans*, *Valentinians*, and *Marcionists*, as *Eunomians*, &c. *viz.* by Re-baptizing them; but *Nestorians* we receive as *Arians*, *viz.* upon Signing an Instrument, and Anathematizing *Nestorius*, *Eutyches*, *Dioscorus*, *Severus*, and the rest of the Arch-Hereticks, &c.

'Tis to be observed that all who were to be Re-baptized, were such as did not Baptize in the Name of the Trinity; they who did were admitted without being Re-baptized.

96. Excommunicates those who wear long and artificially curled Hair, thereby to ensnare the Beholders.

97. Excommunicates those who co-habit with their Wives in Consecrated Places; or who put them to a common use, and orders that they be expelled from the *Catechumenia*; and if Clergy-men, Deposed.

Catechumenia, the lower part of the Church where the *Catechumens* stood, like our Belferies, which *Balsamon* supposes were (at some places) inhabited.

98. She

98. She who is betrothed to one, and in his Life-time Marries another, is guilty of Adultery.

99. Excommunicates the *Armenians*, if they continue their Practice of Boiling Flesh in the Chancel, and giving part to the Priest in imitation of the *Jews*; and says, the Priests must be satisfied with such Offerings of this sort, as are made to them, when they are now in the Church.

100. He that makes lascivious Pictures, let him be Excommunicated.

101. That Men take the Eucharist into their own Hands, holding them in the form of a Cross: That they who bring little Vessels of Gold, or other Metal, and don't receive the Eucharist into their own Hands, be suspended from Communion; as also he that gives them the Eucharist in this manner.

102. They who have the Power of binding and loosing, and are intrusted with the Principal Pastorship, must consider the Quality of the Offence, and the Disposition of the Penitent, and temper the Spiritual Medicine according to St. *Basil's* Rule, viz. *where Rigour will not do, to use as much tenderness as the Practice of the Church will admit of.*

DECREES

DEC
first
and
of t
wer

1. I

them
to the
and th
stantin
separat
Synod

2. T
mas, J
Apost
and P
parati
Person

3.
try be
till th

To
any T

4.
betro
he)
Bene
that

DECREES of Pope *Siricius* the first, Collected by *Dionysius Exiguus*, and afterwards added to the Code of the *Roman Church*: These *Decrees* were made about *A. D.* 385.

1. **H**E charges *Himerio*, Bishop of *Terracon* in *Spain*, not to Baptize converted *Arians*, but receive them by Imposition of Hands, according to the Decree of his Predecessor *Liberius*, and the Constitution of that Synod (*Constantinopolitan* General) under pain of being separated from our College by Sentence of Synod.

2. That Baptism be given, not at *Christmas*, *Epiphany*, or on the Festivities of the Apostles or Martyrs, but only at *Easter*, and *Pentecost*, after 40 Days Notice and Preparation, but at any time to Infants, and Persons in danger.

3. That they who Apostatize to Idolatry be Excommunicated, and not received till the Hour of Death.

To Apostatize now in times of Peace without any Temptation was grossly criminal.

4. That no one pretend to Marry a Maid betrothed to another Man, because (says he) 'tis a sort of Sacrilege to violate the Benediction of the Priest passed upon one that is to Marry.

For-

Formerly the Espousals and the Marriage Contract were two things, and performed at two different times.

5. Incontinent Persons relapsing after Absolution are obliged to be *Co-standers*, first in Prayer, afterwards at the Holy Mysteries, and at last to be allowed to receive them.

5. Incontinence between Monks and Nuns punished by Excommunication and Imprisonment, and not to be Communicated till the hour of Death.

6. Bishops, Priests or Deacons, using their Wives, or other Women upon their Confession, are allowed to remain in their former Order, but to be incapable of any other; but they who justify themselves by the Example of the *Aaronical* Priests Marriage, are Deposed; and so is every one who shall be guilty of it for the future.

9, 10, 11. He that would be Ordained ought to be Baptized before he be Adult, and then be a Reader; and to have had but one Wife, and she a Virgin; Married with the Benediction of the Priest, and to live with her only till he be thirty Years of Age; then, if he be fit, to be Ordained Deacon; after 5 Years commendable Ministration, a Priest; and in ten Years more, a Bishop. He that is converted since he is come of Age, and intends to be a Clergyman, must from the time of his Baptism become a Reader, must never have had but one Wife, and she a Virgin; and having

spent

spent
5 Year
Sub-I
ward
of Cl
a Wi
him l

12
gainf

13
may
Orde
made
every
fixed

14
be ev

15
Mar
ward
ranc
sent
Bish
are
Apo

DE
P
b

T

spent 2 Years as a Novice, in the following 5 Years he may be made an Acolyth and Sub-Deacon, and then Deacon; and afterwards Priest and Bishop, by the Election of Clergy and People: But he that Marries a Widow, or however a second Wife, let him be deprived of all Ecclesiastical Dignity.

12. Enforces the 3d Canon of *Nice*, against Women living with Clergy-men.

13. Monks of good Faith and Manners may be Ordained, staying in the Inferior Orders till 30 Years of Age, but not be made Bishops *per Saltum*; but continue in every Order according to the times prefixed. *Decree 10, 11.*

14. That none who have done Penance be ever made Clergy-men.

15. They that having done Penance, or Married twice, or to a Widow, were afterwards surreptitiously Ordained thro' Ignorance, are allowed to continue in their present Order, but not to be promoted. The Bishops that Ordain such for the future, are threatned with a Sentence from the Apostolical Chair.

DECREES of Pope *Innocent*, who was Pope about the Year 404. Collected by the said *Dionysius Exiguus*.

THERE is little doubt to be made but that this Decretal Epistle of *Innocent* is spurious, and the work of

of a later Hand, as has been observed by Learned Men. However, 'twas forged before the Time of *Dionysius Exiguus*, for he thought it to be Genuine.

See Dr. Cave Hist. Lit. in Vit. Innoc.

In his Preface he tells *Decentius* Bishop of *Eugubium*, that the Traditions of *Peter* to the Church of *Rome* ought to be observed by all, and swaggers like a true Pope.

1. He says the Kiss of Peace is to be given after the end of the Mysteries, not before, because thereby every one gives his Consent to all that is done.

2. That the Oblations must first be made, then the Names of those who bring them be recited, not before.

3. That none but Bishops ought to Anoint the Forehead of those that have been Baptized, *i. e.* to Confirm.

4. That the *Sabbath*, *i. e.* *Saturday*, ought to be Fasted.

5. That Leaven'd Bread ought to be sent on *Sunday* to every Title, *i. e.* lesser Church in the City, to shew that the Priest and Congregation there Assembled, are in Communion with the Bishop by the Acolyth, but not to be sent to remote Places, because 'tis a Sacrament, and the Priests in the Cœmeteries may make it themselves.

Unleaven'd Bread was Consecrated in the Eucharist. Leaven'd Bread was Blessed by the Bishop, and sent to the other Churches in the City

City as
Not. ad
large S
Cœme
Buried
tending

6. B
an Ev
Hands
Clergy
but no

7.
solve
if the
it may

8.
making
and th
sick P
tion'd
at a c
noint
tents

Se

9.
Bisho
tropo
Nice,

10
Soldi
putes

City as a *Tessera* of Communion. See *Binius in Not. ad Conc. Laod.* 'Tis called a Sacrament in a large Sense, viz. as 'twas a Sign of Charity.

Cæmeteries, that is Places where Martyrs were Buried in the Country, and which had Priests attending at them.

6. Baptized Persons being possessed with an Evil Spirit may be consigned, or have Hands laid on them by the Priest, or other Clergy-men, if the Bishop be at a distance, but not without the Bishop's Order.

7. That it is the *Roman* Custom to absolve Penitents on *Mauudy Thursday*; but if the Penitent be very earnest, and fall sick, it may be done before.

8. That the Bishop only has Power of making the Oil for Anointing sick Persons, and that he may use it himself, when the sick Party is near him; but Priests are mention'd, *Jac. V.* because the Bishop may be at a distance, and even Lay-men may Anoint with it; but it belongs not to Penitents; for it is a sort of Sacrament.

Second Epistle to Viêtricius Bishop of Rouea in Gallia.

9. That no Bishops ought to Ordain a Bishop without the Knowledge of the Metropolitan, according to the Council of *Nice*, Can. 4.

10. He, who after Baptism has been a Soldier, is prohibited Orders. That Disputes between Clergy-men must be determined

min'd by the Bishops of the Province; but greater Causes are referr'd to the See of *Rome*, after the Determination of the Bishops, as the Synod has Decreed; i. e. the Synod of *Sardica*.

11, 12. That no Clergy man Marry a Widow; that he who did it even before Baptism, cannot be Ordained; for, tho' Baptism blot out Sin, yet dissolves not the Marriage of a Wife.

13. The Case is the same, if he have successively Married two Wives before Baptism.

14. That none ordain a Clergy-man belonging to another Bishop, unless at his particular Request, nor receive a Clergy-man belonging to another Bishop, according to the Synod of *Nice*.

15. That *Novatians* and * *Montanists* be not Re-baptized upon their Conversion, but be received by Imposition of Hands: That they who have Apostatized from us to them be received, after they have made Satisfaction by long Penance.

* This is contrary to *Can. 7th* of *Constantinople*.

16. That Priests and Deacons do not cohabit with their Wives.

17. A Monk being † Ordained, must yet keep his Resolution [of Coelibacy.]

† i. e. having received any of the Inferior Orders, which were consistent with Marriage in all but Monks.

18. That

18. T
be not
demand
they ar
sent at

19. A
must n
to who

20. A
only p
must b

3d E

21.
ing Cl
will no
tioned

22.
on in
are all
Death
Pena

23.
have
the R
be ce
deter
that
by G

24.
Wive
after
bnt V
Hush

18. That they who have Places at Court be not Ordained; for the Emperor often demands such back from the Church, and they are employed, or are forced to be present at Theatrick Shows.

19. A Veiled Nun afterwards Marrying, must not be admitted to Penance, till he to whom she Married, die.

20. A Virgin that was not Veiled, but only pretended a Resolution of Virginity, must be some time under Penance.

3d Epistle to *Exuperius*, Bishop of *Tholouse*.

21. Renews the 6th of *Siricius*, concerning Clergy-men that use their Wives; but will not allow 'em that Privilege there mentioned, if they knew of *Siricius's* Decree.

22. They that, since Baptism, have gone on in a continued Course of Incontinence, are allowed the Communion at the hour of Death, tho' of old they were only allowed Penance at that time.

23. To the Question, Whether they who have since their Baptism condemn'd Men to the Rack, or to capital Punishments should be censured? Tis answered, Our Fathers determined nothing in this matter; and that to do so against evil Doers, is allowed by God.

24. Husbands frequently convict their Wives of Adultery before the Priests, and afterwards forbear to co-habit with them; bnt Women co-habit with their Adulterous Husbands: Yet the Christian Religion equally

qually condemns Adultery in both Sexes; therefore the Husband shall be separated from his Wife upon Discovery of his Crime.

25. That they who demand the Blood of another for any capital Crime, if he be upon Trial found guilty, are not to be blamed.

26. He, or she, who being divorced Marries another, and even the Party who Marries one that is divorced, commits Adultery, and is Excommunicated; but not the Parents or Relations of such Parties, except they were Procurers of such Marriage.

This was in Answer to a Question put by *Exuperius*, as *Innocent* intimates, *de his requisivit dilectio tua, qui interveniente repudio, alij se matrimonio copularunt*; so that it was a Case not ruled, but where *Exuperius* was at a loss.

27. The Canon of Scripture according to the present Canon of the Church of Rome.

Epistle to *Felix* Bishop of *Nuceria*, *Innocent* calls himself the Head and Top of Episcopacy.

28. He who wilfully cuts off the least part of his Finger, is not to be admitted to Orders; and if he is a Clergy-man, is Deposed: but not if it be done by Accident, or in doing Work of Husbandry.

29. That no one twice Married be admitted to the Clergy, nor he who Marries a Widow.

See Decr. 11, 12.

30. That

30. That none is born a Clergy-man :
That Soldiers, Pleaders, Stewards and Courtiers ought not to be Ordained, if they have been such since their Baptism.

31. Lay-men Married at the time of their Baptism, if they have not kept Whores or Concubines, and be of good Reputation, may be Ordained.

32. That none be Ordained in haste, nor made Deacons or Priests before they have been a good while in the Inferior Orders.

*To Maximus and Severus, Bishops of
the Brutij.*

33. That they who have had Children since they were Priests, be Deposed. He wonders that the Bishops should connive at such.

To Agapitus, Macedonius, and Marianus, Bishops of Apulia.

34. That none be Ordained (especially Priests or Bishops) who have been under Penance, or under great Crimes, according to the Council of *Nice*.

See *Can. Nic. 10.* tho' that speaks only of such as had laps'd.

35. To Seven Bishops of *Macedonia*, against Two Bishops, whose Crimes are not mention'd.

36. To

36. To *Florentius*, Bishop of *Tiburium*, for having invaded a Parish belonging to another Bishop; he charges him to relinquish it, or to Answer it after *Easter*.

37. That one *Urfa* having been taken Captive, and her Husband during her Captivity Married to another Woman, *without being Divorced from Urfa*, he ought to take his first Wife.

38. A short friendly Letter to *Aurelius* of *Carthage*, and *Augustine* of *Hippo*; by *Germanus* a Priest.

39. Another to *Juliana* a Lady.

40. To *Aurelius* of *Carthage*, concerning *Easter-Day*, as it happened that Year. He thinks *March 22d.* too early, the 29th. too late; but rather inclines, that it ought to be observed on the 22d. Day, and refers it to *Aurelius*, and his Council, to deliberate, whether he were in the right, or not, and to notify their Judgment to him, that he might, according to Custom, prescribe the Day.

41. To *Boniface* a Priest, for settling a Correspondence between the Bishop of *Antioch* and *Rome*.

42. To *Alexander* Bishop of *Antioch*, to the same purpose.

43. To Bishop *Maximianus*, complaining of *Atticus* Bishop of *Constantinople*.

44. To *Alexander* Bishop of *Antioch*, intimating a firm Correspondence and Union between these Churches; Subscribed by 20 *Italian* Bishops.

45. That

45. That the Synod of *Nice* honoured *Antioch*, not for the greatness of the City, but because *Sr. Peter* had his See first in that City, magnifies the Canon of *Nice*, which made the Bishop of *Antioch* the chief of a Diocese, [that is, a Patriarchate] not of a Province; advises him to assume the Ordination of all Bishops, and not only Metropolitans, or however, that none be Ordained without his Consent.

46. When a Province is divided by the Emperor, there shall still be but One Metropolitan, against the *Cypriots*, for Ordaining Bishops without consulting others.

'Tis plain, that *Innocent*, to gratify *Alexander*, was willing to give up the *Cypriotick* Privileges. See *Can. Eph. 8*.

47. That Baptism conferr'd by *Arians* is good, but not Orders.

48. To *Acacius* Bishop of *Berea*, in favour of *John* (*Chrysostome*) Bishop of *Constantinople*.

49. To *Laurentius*, a Bishop, that the Defensors of the Church should drive away the *Bonofians*, a grosser Sort of *Arians*.

50. To *Martianus*, a Bishop, that they who were Ordained by *Bonofus*, before his Condemnation, be continued in the Clergy.

51. In his Preface to the Bishops of *Macedonia*, he mentions *Vitalis* an Arch-Deacon, who brought Letters from them to him. He thinks they do wrong to the
Aposto-

Apostolical See, which he calls, the Head of the Churches : In doubting whether they should submit to his former Decision, adds, that he who has Married a Widow be not admitted to Inferior Orders, which he says, was the Custom both of the East and West; wonders that they should permit such Men to be Bishops, and plead *Custom* for it.

52. Renews the 15th Decree.

53. That Ordinations by Hereticks are not valid; and that Ordination by the Orthodox do's not purge Men from former Crimes.

54. That tho' some Ordained by *Bonifacius* had been received, for the avoiding inconveniency, yet this ought not to be drawn into Precedent: For it was done for the same reason that the greatest Crimes are pardon'd, when the Generality of the People are Guilty.

55. His Infallibility-ship owns it to be true which the *Macedonian* Bishops had said, viz. that the Apostolical See had been imposed upon by false Suggestions, in condemning one *Photinus*, (*not the Heretick*) and that therefore he yields, that he be restored: But that he can by no means consent to the Deposition of *Eustathius* the Deacon.

The DECREES of Zozymus, Bishop of Rome, A. D. 417.

1, 2. **T**O *Hefychius* a Bishop of *Salona*, in *Dalmatia*. I suppose he wonders that *Hefychius* has not received the Statutes of him, and his Predecessors; orders that none be admitted Deacons till they have first been Readers, Exorcists, Acolyths, and Sub-deacons, under pain of being Deposed by the Apostolical See.

3. That he who has been listed in the Clergy from his Infancy, continue Reader till he be Twenty Years Old: if he be full grown when he comes over to the Church, he must from the time of his Baptism be a Reader or an Exorcist for Five Years, an Acolyth or Sub deacon Four, a Deacon Five, and then a Priest, afterward a Bishop; but upon condition that he were never twice Married, nor was a Penitent. And the Defensors of the Church, who are Lay-men, must observe the same Order, if they will be admitted into the Clergy.

4. To the Priests and Deacons of *Ravenna*, against those who apply themselves to the Court of the Emperors, in opposition to him; he declares such audacious Disturbers of the Peace to be Anathematized.

*The DECREES of Boniface Bishop
of Rome, A. D. 419.*

1. **I**N a Letter to *Honorius* the Emperor, he complains of Parties made among the Clergy and People, for the Election of a new Bishop, on occasion of his Sickneſs, which he fears may divide the Church.

2. *Honorius* Answers, That if *Zozymus* die, which he deſires not, all the Clergy are to know, that no Sollicitations are to be uſed; that if there ſhall be a double Election, neither ſhall ſtand; but only ſuch a one as is unaniouſly choſen out of the Clergy.

3. To the Biſhops of *Gallia*, and the Seven Provinces, againſt *Maximus* a Biſhop, accuſed of Manichæiſm; that if he reſuſe to plead his Cauſe before the Synod, he be Condemned; and that it was neceſſary that the Biſhop of *Rome* confirm what they do.

4. To *Hilary* Biſhop of *Narbon*, That one *Patroclus* had Ordained a Biſhop to a See in *Hilary's* Province, without his conſent: therefore he bids *Patroclus*, according to the *Nicene* Canon, to go to the vacant See, and proceed to a new Conſecration; and report what he has done to the Apoſtolicall See.

The DECREES of Cœlestine Bishop
of Rome, A. D. 429.

TO the Bishops of *Gallia*, That they check the Impudence of their Priests, for undertaking to Preach in opposition to their Bishops.

2. An honourable Character of St. *Austin*.

3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13. Are Assertions of the Catholick Doctrine, in opposition to *Pelagius*. He proves the necessity of Divine Grace, particularly from the Exorcisms, and Exsufflations made by the Priest on Persons to be Baptized. Decree 12th.

14. To the Bishops of *Vienne* and *Narbon*, against some Priests that in officiating used the Pallium, and girded their Loins, rejecting the old Habit. He adds, that Clergy-men are to be distinguish'd from the Laity rather by their Doctrine, and Manners, than their Habit.

15. That Penance is not to be denied to any at the Point of Death.

16. That Clergy-men ought gradually to be admitted to the Superior Orders, not Lay-men, especially not Criminals.

17. Renews the 4th Decree of *Boniface*; and further, forbids Strangers to be Ordained Bishops, to the exclusion of the well-deserving Clergy of every City.

18. No one to be Ordained Bishop without consent of Clergy, and People. A Stranger may be Ordained if, which is scarce credible, there be none fit in the City. If Clergy-men are oppressed, or their Salary withdrawn by the Bishop, they ought to have a fair Trial, as likewise if any one be thrust upon them by indirect Practices.

19. Against the Ordination of Lay-men, or such as have been twice Married, or Married Widows, or Criminals, who are all to be Deposed if they be Ordained.

20. To the Bishops of *Calabria* and *Apulia*, that no Priests be permitted to be ignorant of the Canons, or break them.

21. Against Ordaining Lay-men Bishops.

22. That the People are to be taught not obeyed, and they that will not submit to Exhortation must be censured.

DECREES of Pope Leo, A. D. 444

I. **T**O the Bishops of *Italy*, against Ordaining Men who are Qualified neither by Birth nor Manners; and such as never could Purchase Liberty from their Masters by their own Merits; but were Ordained Priests while they were Slaves. He complains that a wrong is done to the Masters of such, because their right to them is annulled.

2. Against

2. Against Ordaining those that have been twice Married, or have Married Widows.

3, 4, 5. Against Usury both in Clergy-men and Lay-men; that Clergy-men shall not exercise Usury in another's Name, upon pain of Deposition.

6. To the Bishops of *Italy*; of his having detested and expelled out of *Rome* many *Manichaeans*; exhorting them to the same diligence in their several Sees.

7. To the *Sicilian* Bishops, against Baptizing on the *Epiphany*.

See Decree 2. *Siricij*.

8. That the occasion and order of the Festivals in Honour of Christ ought to be observed. He mentions the Annunciation, the Nativity, Circumcision, Purification, or Presentation of Christ.

9, 10, 11, 12. That the Death and Resurrection of Christ is represented in Baptism: That the Trine Immersion represents our Saviour's being Three Days in the Grave; that therefore *Easter* is the proper time of Baptism, or *Whitsuntide* for them who could not receive it at *Easter*: That we have the Example of *St. Peter*, *Act. 2.* for doing it at *Whitsuntide*, and the Authority of the Church for both times: but in case of necessity it may be done at any other time.

13. That because by the Decree of the Fathers, Synods are to meet twice Yearly,

(*Can. Nic. 5.*) therefore you are to send Three of your Brothers to *Rome*, the Third of the Kalends of *October*.

14. To *January* Bishop of *Aquileia*, that they who fall into Heresy, and return again, be not received without Recantation: That Clergy-men in such Case condemn not only the Error, but the Authors of it: That if they have not been deeply touched, they may retain the Orders they had amongst the Hereticks, but no hopes of Promotion.

15. To *Rusticus* Bishop of *Narbon*, He mentions Letters brought by an Arch-Deacon, that they are not to be reckoned Bishops, who are not Chosen by the Clergy, nor required by the People, nor Consecrated by the Comprovincial Bishops, according to the Judgment of the Metropolitan: And who can doubt, that he who cannot prove, that ever he had Orders given him, can conferr none upon others: yet if any Clergy man have been Ordained by a Bishop that came in irregularly in [other] Churches, with the consent of the Bishops and Presidents, (I suppose he means Priests) the Ordination is good.

16. Priests and Deacons cannot be receiv'd as Penitents, by Imposition of Hands; but if guilty of any Crime, they must desire a private retreat, where they may make Satisfaction.

17. Dea-

17. Deacons, as well as Bishops and Priests, must forbear all Conjugal Embraces, but not dismiss their Wives.

18, 19. If a Clergy-man Marry his Daughter to one that before kept a Concubine, (that is, a Woman not solemnly Married, by reason that she is not a Free Woman, or equal to the Man, or legally endowed) it is not to be taken as if he Married her to one that had a Wife before; for to eject a Slave, and take a Wife free-born is not a double Marriage, but an Improvement in what is commendable; the Inconsiderateness of such is to be blamed, but not wholly given over.

20, 21. They that being Excommunicated, die in that Condition, are to be left to the Divine Judgment; but we cannot Communicate with them, when dead, whom we did not Communicate with while living: They might deterr their Penance, not out of Contempt, but fear of sinning more grievously; therefore, tho' they have delay'd, let not Penance be denied, when ask'd.

22. Penitents ought not to sue others for Debts in the Temporal Courts, but only in the Ecclesiastical.

23. Penitents should rather be great Losers, than go on in their Dealings and incur those Temptations which attend Buying and Selling.

24. 'Tis contrary to Ecclesiastical Rules for a Penitent to return to Worldly Business.

25. Yet if any Young Man, after having done Penance for Incontinence, upon account of some danger of Death or Captivity, and do afterwards Marry to avoid Fornication; this is Venial.

26, 27. Monks Marrying are put under Penance, and so are Nuns, if they have declar'd their purpose of Virginity, and taken the Habit, tho' they be not Consecrated.

28. If it do not evidently appear, that any Person has been Baptized before, and the Party himself cannot remember his Baptism, let him be Baptized.

29. They who know that they have been Baptized, but know not of what Faith they were who Baptized them, let 'em be receiv'd by Imposition of Hands.

30. They who have only eaten things offered to Idols, may be reconciled by Fasting and Imposition of Hands: They who have worshipp'd Idols, or committed Murder or Fornication, must not be received till after publick Penance.

31. To *Anastafius*, Bishop of *Thessalonica*: He tells him, that he and his Predecessors have always acted as Deputies to the Bishop of *Rome*: He reprimands him for being too severe upon *Atticus*, Metropolitan of the old *Epirus*; for he tells him he must act, not as one that has the Plenitude of Power, but as one substituted under him.

Pope *Leo* was one that very much studied the enlarging of his Jurisdiction, and in this Epistle would have it thought that He had an Authority
over

over the Bishop of *Theſſalonica*, and all the Bishops ſubject to him. The *Greeks* deny all this: They own indeed that the Bishop of *Theſſalonica* was often Legate for him of *Rome*, in tranſacting ſuch Affairs as he had in the Eaſt, and ſo (they add) was ſometimes the Bishop of *Corinth*, *Athens*, *Crete*, &c. but they deny that ever the Bishop of *Rome* had directly or indirectly any Authority over the Bishop of *Theſſalonica*, or thoſe ſubject to him; or that the Ordination of the Bishops of this Country belonged to the Pope of *Rome*. But let it be ſuppoſed that the Bishops of *Theſſalonica* did within their Jurisdiction act as the Pope's Deputies, which is what *Leo* would have, let our Modern Papists produce any ſuch Claim made by any ancient Pope in relation to the four Patriarchates; let them make it appear, that any Bishop of *Rome* did in the 4th or 5th Century pretend that the Patriarchs of the Eaſt were his Representatives in their ſeveral Dioceſes; and if they cannot produce any Inſtance of this ſort, as it is clear they cannot, then it follows, that it was peculiar to the Bishops of *Theſſalonica*, that they were the Pope's Legates in *Greece*, and that the Patriarchs acted Independently of the Bishop of *Rome*, which is an effectual Confutation of the Pope's Univerſal Monarchy.

32. That the Rights of Metropolitans be obſerved.

33. Againſt Ordaining thoſe to be Bishops that have Married Widows, or twice, or Lay-men, or Novices.

34. That Sub-Deacons refrain from their Wives.

35. Let him be Bishop who is choſen unaniouſly by Clergy and People. Let

the Metropolitan give Judgment for him who has most Votes and Merits ; but still so, that no one be put upon People contrary to their Wills.

36. That Elections of Bishops are to be reported to the Bishop of *Theſſalonica*, and confirmed by him without delay, or difficulty ; and so must those of Metropolitans, who are to be Electèd in the Metropolis, out of the Priests or Deacons.

37. Requires two Annual Synods, that Criminal Matters, which cannot be determin'd in Provincial Synods, be laid before the Bishop of *Theſſalonica* ; and if he can't end them, then before the Bishop of *Rome*.

38. Against Translation of Bishops to greater, or richer Sees.

See *Can. Sard.* 1. *Ant.* 21.

39. That the Metropolitan compel ſtruggling Clergy-men to their Churches, and the Bishop of *Theſſalonica* those that are gone into another Province.

40. The Metropolitan is to call 2 Bishops at his Discretion, not more, out of every Province, to go to the Bishop of *Theſſalonica*'s Synod, upon any great Occasion.

41. That Bishops be not kept in Synod above 15 Days after the Day appointed for their Assembly. If the Bishop of *Theſſalonica* can't concur in Judgment with the rest of the Synod, that the Matter be referred to be decided by the Pope. He draws a Scheme

a Scheme of Government, viz. a Metropolitan presiding over the Bishops of a Province, the Bishop of the greatest City in the Country over the Metropolitans, by whom the Affairs of the Universal Church are to be centred in the *Only Chair of Peter*.

42, 43, 44, 45. To *Nicetas* Bishop of *Aquileia*: That Women, whose Husbands being taken Prisoners of War, remained so long absent that they were thought to be dead, and thereupon Married other Men, are not to be blamed, but are to return to their former Husbands (under pain of Excommunication) if they require them; but they who Married them are not to be blamed.

46. That they who being under Captivity eat things offered to Idols through Mistake or Hunger, are not to be blamed.

47, 48. That they who thro' Error or Ignorance have been Re-baptized by Hereticks, be receiv'd (after Penance, which is to be injoin'd at the Discretion of the Bishop) by Imposition of Hands.

49. A tedious Letter to the Bishops of *Mauritania Cesariensis*, against Ordaining those that had Married twice, or a Widow; or that had divorced one and Married another; and those who were Ordained Bishops from being Lay-men; against erecting Bishopricks in Garrisons and little Towns. Maids that have been forced are not to be reckoned Widows, nor admitted Nuns; but

but if they had reserved their Reputation, allow'd Communion. Orders that *Lupicinus*, who had appealed to *Rome* upon an Excommunication pass'd at home, have his Cause heard over again: Complains that one had been elected Bishop over *Lupicinus's* Head: Charges that they give him an Account of all Proceedings, that what is well done may be confirmed by him.

The DECREES of Gelasius, Bishop of Rome, A. D. 492.

1. **T**O the Bishops of *Lucania, Brutij, Sicily*. That if Discipline be not moderated in regard to the Clergy, there will not be enow left to supply the Necessities of the Church, especially now after the Wars and Famine, and the Poverty of some Churches.

2. Let the Ancient Discipline be preserved as much as possible, and none be Ordained but he that is free from all grievous Crimes; and if he be a Monk and have not Married a second time, nor one deserted by her Husband; if he never were a Penitent, and be not maim'd in any part of his Body; nor a Slave, or under the power of another; if he be not an Attendant at Court, but have his Letters of Dismission; after he has been a Reader, or Notary, or Defensor 3 Months, let him

him be an Acolyth, especially if he be of Age; in the 6th Month a Sub-Deacon; and, if he behave himself modestly, in the 9th a Deacon, and at the end of the Year a Priest, for his devout purpose (*viz.* of a Monastick Life) compensates for the defect of longer Intervals.

3. That mere Lay-men (not Monks) be not admitted Priests under a Year and half, and this only in Churches that have lost a great part of their Clergy, and on the Conditions mention'd in the former Canon. *Let no man presume to violate the Rights of others [by ordaining Slaves] without the just Order of the Apostolical See.*

4. Let none dare to Consecrate a New Church, or take a Clerk that is under the power of another, without a Precept according to Custom [from the Bishop of Rome, as the Title of the Canon intimates.]

5. That nothing be demanded for Baptism, or Consigning the Faithful, *i. e.* Confirmation: They that do it, let them forbear, at the peril of losing their Dignity.

6. That Priests do neither make the Crism, nor consign, nor in presence of the Bishop pray, nor perform any religious Act, nor sit, but at the Bishop's Command, nor handle the Holy Mysteries, nor create an Acolyth, or Sub-Deacon without him, upon pain of Deposition and Excommunication; and that it is the fault of Bishops if they connive at such Presumption.

7. That

7. That no Deacon attempt any thing contrary to the Canons; nor to Baptize without a Bishop or Priest, unless in case of Extremity, when the Bishops and Priests are at a great distance; in which Case Lay-Christians are for the most part allow'd to do it.

8. That Deacons continue not in the Presbytery in time of Divine Service, nor when there is any Ecclesiastical Debate, (*tractatus*) nor * *do first take or give the Holy Body*, unless in Absence of Bishop and Priest.

* *Prærogationem Sancti Corporis exercere*. This supposes that the Eucharistical Elements were reserved.

9. That Rules of Discipline are not to be broken without necessity; and that none ought to reject that Observance which the See of *Peter* follows and teaches.

10. The same with the 2d of *Siricius* against Baptism, except at *Easter* and *Whitsuntide*.

11. Times of Ordination for Priests and Deacons are only in the Fast of the 1st, 4th, 7, and 10th Month (further on the Day that *Lent* begins, and on the *Mid-lent Day*) on the *Sabbath-Fast* about Even-ride. That no Priest or Deacon be permitted to take place of another Ordained before him upon any Consideration whatever.

12. That Virgins be not Veiled but on *Epiphany*, on the *Abis Paschalibus*, or the

Na-

Nativities of the Apostles, except in case of extreme Sickness.

13. Against Veiling of Widows.

14. Against those Bishops, Priests, Deacons, and Superiors in Monasteries, who retain those that are Slaves, or under the power of others, without a Testimony under the Hand of their Masters, in order to make them Clergy-men or Monks, at the peril of the loss of their Dignity.

15. That Clergy-men forbear dishonest Traffick and filthy Gain.

16. That illiterate Men, and such as are defective in any part of the Body, be not Ordained: They that are Ordained, to continue in their present Order.

17. That they who make themselves Eunuchs be Deposed from the Clergy.

18. That Criminals be not Ordained; or if they be, let them be Deposed.

19. The same is ordered in relation to Demoniacks.

20. They that defile Sacred Virgins, not to be admitted to Communion but at the hour of Death.

21. Repeats, and vehemently inculcates the 13th against Veiling Widows.

22. Lay-men may Marry a second time, but not be Ordained after it.

23. Against Clergy-men's leaving their Church without Cause, and they who receive them.

See *Nic. Can.* 15, &c.

24. They

24. They that sell, or purchase Orders, are under the same Condemnation with *Simon Magus*.

25. Reinforces the 4th, against Consecrating Churches without the leave of the Apostolical See, especially because he heard they were Consecrated in the Name of any Dead Persons, * and those *not altogether found in the Faith*. He threatens such as were discovered to be guilty of such Villany with the utmost Severity.

* *Nec omnino fidelium*. I suppose he means that they ought to be Dedicated in the Name of some Saint only.

26. Against Women's tending at the Altar.

27. The Revenue of every Church, and the Oblations, are divided into four Portions, one for the Bishop, a second for the Clergy, a third for the Poor, a fourth for the Fabrick.

28. He that suppresses any Fault, and does not inform the Apostolical See of any Excess committed by Bishop, Priest, or Deacon against these Decrees, is an Enemy to the Holy Order.

DECREES

DECREES of Anastasius, Bishop of
Rome, A. D. 498.

TO *Anastasius* the Emperor. In the Preface he notifies his Accession to the Apostolical See, and prays that the Chair of *Peter* may have its Primacy in the Universal Church; commends the Emperor for observing the Canons as strictly as the best Priests.

1, 2, 3. He prays for the Peace of the Church, declares against judging any Man, yet prays that *Acacius* his Name may not be mention'd in the Church; and that all Contention be laid aside.

Acacius his greatest Crime was, that being Bishop of *Constantinople*, he had disputed the Point of Supremacy with *Simplicius* and *Felix*, *Anastasius's* Predecessor; for which he had been Anathematized by *Felix* in a Synod at *Rome*. *Acacius* before his Death had expunged *Felix's* Name out of the *Diptychs* of the Church of *Constantinople*; and *Anastasius* desires that *Acacius* might be treated in the same manner.

4, 5. That the Emperor would reduce the *Alexandrians* to the Catholick Faith; and that the Apostolical Precepts of the Pope may be obey'd.

6, 7, 8. That Baptism, and Orders given by *Acacius* after his Condemnation be good and valid.

Here ends the Collection of Dion. Exiguus.

The rest were afterwards added by another Hand.

The

*The RULES of Pope Hilarus, Anno
Dom. 465.*

THE Reader is to observe, that there is great Reason to suspect whether the following Decrees were ever passed by them whose Names they bear: There is no cause to doubt, but that *Dionysius Exiguus*, a very Inquisitive and Learned Man, who dwelt at *Rome* and was a Courtier, would have inserted them into his Collection, if he had known of any such extant, for all these Decrees bear Date before his time, except those of *Gregory*.

These Decrees of *Hilarus* were passed in an Assembly of 40, and more Bishops, and all the Priests, who join with the Bishops in giving their Acclamations as follows; *Hear O Christ, Long Life to Hilary*, was repeated six times: *These things we confirm these we teach*, was repeated eight times: *These things are to be held and observed*, was repeated five times: *We thank you for your Instruction*, was repeated ten times: *We desire that these things may for ever be observed*, was repeated fifteen times: *For St. Peter's sake we desire it*, was repeated eight times: *Let none presume to do this*, was repeated ten times: *He that violates these Decrees, shall find that he acts against himself*, was repeated seven times; and all these Acclamations were made at the Pope's Proposal of renewing the Canons and Decrees against

Or-

Ordaining those who had Married Women, that were not Virgins, or that had twice been Married, or were illiterate. The Deacons only stood by, and are not said to join in the Acclamations: Whether there were any Priests, but those of the *Roman Church*, does not appear.

After this several Letters were read from *Spanish Bishops*, written in a very servile Strain, and desiring the Pope to give his Decision in several Emergencies that had happened in their Country. The Notary in reading of them is several times interrupted by the Acclamations of the Bishops and Priests. The Pope had a Letter ready drawn, in his own Name only, containing the following Resolutions: In the second he tells the *Spaniards*, that the Decrees had been read in a *Convention of his Brethren met together to celebrate his Birth-Day*; yet by the List of Bishops some appear to have come from *France*, others from *Africk*. They who gave these Resolutions the Title of *Decrees* were much in the right; the Assembly deserves not the Name of a Synod.

1. That none be Ordained Bishop without Consent of the Metropolitan.

2. That no Bishop leave his own Church and go to another.

3. That no See be thought Hereditary, or at the Disposal of the Predecessor.

4. That no one who has Married a Widow, or more than one Virgin, or a Woman divorced by another Man, be made Bishop.

5. That

5. That there be not 2 Bishops in one City; none that is illiterate, maimed, or that has been a Penitent, be received into the Sacred Ministry.

6. That if *Irenaeus*, who was made choice of for a Successor by *Nundinarins*, late Bishop of *Barcinona*, do not return to his former Church, which was Erected by general Consent, in a place formerly belonging to the Church of *Barcinona*, he shall be Deposed.

The DECREES of Simplicius, Bishop of Rome, A. D. 475.

481. 1. **I**S an Objurgatory Letter to *John*, Bishop of *Ravenna*, for having by Force Ordained one *Gregory* Bishop of *Modena* against his Will. He declares that if for the future he Ordain either Bishop, Priest or Deacon in this manner, he will deprive him of the Ordination of the Church of *Ravenna*; yet he will have *Gregory* continue Bishop.

475. 2. He tells *Florentius*, *Equitius*, and *Severus*, 3 Bishops, that he has deprived one *Gaudensius*, Bishop of *Ausin*, of his Power of Ordaining, because of his Abuse of it; and that he has Commission'd *Severus* to Depose the Clergy-men irregularly Ordained, and to Ordain others; and that *Gaudensius* having taken more than his Share of

of the Oblations, was for the future to have but a 4th Part; 2 other Parts being reserved for the Poor, Strangers, and Fa-brick, and to be Paid by *Onager* the Priest, at the peril of his Order; and the remain-ing Part to the Clergy, according to e-very one's merits: And that he be obliged to restore the Goods of the Church which he has Alienated, and the 3 Parts of the Oblations which he had detained for 3 Years past, for his own use.

The DECREE of Felix Bishop of Rome, A. D. 487.

FELIX having about him 42 Bi-shops, 76 Priests, and I know not how many Deacons, complains of the Practice of Re-baptization in *Afric*, and *that ye may know our Judgment in these Matters, let, says he, what we have deter-mined be rehearsed*: Then *Anastasius* a Dea-con, rehearſes a Letter directed to the Bi-shops of divers Provinces, Written in the Name neither of Bishop nor Synod. Nei-ther Bishop nor Priest gives any consent to what is proposed; so that this must be owned to be a Synod of a very particular make. In the Letter 'tis said, that a Dif-ference ought to be made between those who were voluntarily Rebaptized, and those who were forc'd to submit to it: That
a Bi-

a Bishop, Priest, or Deacon, being Re-baptized by Hereticks, shall not so much as stand among the Catechumens in the Church; but only received to Lay-Communion at the Hour of Death: For it is a sort of Apostacy. Therefore Clergy-men, Monks and Nuns, guilty of it, are to be treated as the *laps'd* were by the 11th *Can.* of *Nice*, which See; but may be Communicated at the Hour of Death. But they of the Clergy or Laity who were Boys, and had not got beyond the Age of Puberty, and Girls of the same Age, who did it by reason of ignorance, may be admitted to Communion, by Imposition of Hands, after a short Penance. And they may be Communicated before the time appointed for Penance be out, in case of danger: But if they Survive, they must spend the rest of their time allotted for Penance among the *Co standers*; according to the Council of *Nice*.

The Bishops, Priests, and Deacons, give not one Huzza to this Letter; nor is it to be wondered: for sure it is the most unreasonable Decision that ever was, that they who, thro' misperswasion had been re-baptized, should be treated as Idolaters.

That 'Catechumens Baptized under this Profession be among the Hearers for Three Years before they be re-admitted to the Prayers of the Catechumens. All except Bishops, Priests, or Deacons, who were forced to this after Three Years Penance,
are

are admitted to Communion by Imposition of Hands, but are incapable of Orders. All are to take care that such be not admitted to Communion, except they bring a Testimonial of their Reconciliation from the Bishop of the Diocese, or Priest of the *Parish*.

DECREES of *Syriachus Bishop of Rome, A. D. 500.*

HERE was a Synod of 73 Bishops of *Italy*, 67 Priests, (with an Arch-Priest at the Head of them) and 6 Deacons; as these last were all of the City of *Rome*, so it is in the highest Degree probable that the Priests were so; so that the most to be concluded from this Precedent, is, that the Priests of the City where the Synod meets are to be present; the *Titles*, or lesser Churches to which they belong'd, is added to every one's Name, and there is no mention of any Diocese or City to which they belong'd. 'Tis not at all to be wondred, that the Priests and Deacons of *Rome* should be present on this occasion; for they were chiefly concern'd in what pass'd here, *viz.* the Election of a future Bishop.

1. If any Priest, Deacon or Clergy man, do's, without the Pope's leave, while he
is

is alive, give or ask any Promise, in relation to Voting at the Election of a future Pope, or cabal or debate this matter in private Meetings; let him be deprived of his Dignity, or even of Communion: and they are Anathematized, who endeavour or attempt to secure a future Election in the Pope's Life-time.

2. If the Pope die of a sudden, so that he have not Decreed * who shall be his Successor; if there be one Elected by unanimous consent, let him be Consecrated; but if there be a Division, let the Majority prevail: and let him be Deposed, who having pre-engag'd himself by Promise, is byass'd in giving his Vote.

* See Decree 3. of P. Hilary.

3. If any one, being an Accomplise in any design of this sort, do give Information of it, he shall by this means not only purge himself from his own Guilt, but receive a Reward.

A. D. 502. In an Assembly, at which 'tis said there were present above 80 Bishops, whose Names are inserted, and near 40 Priests, and 4 Deacons, there was Read a Decree, made under Pope *Simplicius*, in these words, *When they were met together at St. Peter's, the most eminent Prefect of the Prætorium, being also a Patrician, and Vice gerent of King Odacer, by Name Basil, said, Tho' it greatly concerns Us, that at the Election of a Bishop,*

the Peace of the Church be in an especial manner preserved; yet you may also remember the Admonition of the most Blessed Pope Simplicius, how he did in the most solemn manner charge, that upon his Death, No Election should be celebrated without consulting us, by reason of that tumult and damage that has happen'd to the Church [at former Elections]; at which Bishop Cresconius rises up and says, Let the Holy Synod consider how They [meaning the King and his Representative] would bring this matter under their Authority, passing by Men of Religion, who are chiefly concerned in Electing so great a High-Priest. Then there is another Decree read against alienating Church-Estates made by the same Basilins, several of the Bishops declare against it, as being made by a Lay-Authority; particularly Laurence of Milan declares it invalid, because neither the Pope had Subscribed it, nor any other Metropolitan, as the Canon requires. Eulalius of Syracuse declares it invalid, as made by Lay-men who never had any Authority in Church-Matters; and adds, If the Fathers have Decreed, that whatever the Bishops of any Province shall attempt in a Synod of their own, without the Consent of the Metropolitan, should be null, how much more a Decree made in the Vacancy of the Apostolical See by Lay-men, tho' some Bishops did consent to it?

Yet after all, Pope Symmachus having declared all done by Basilins, null and of no force, Decrees, that neither Pope, nor the Priest

of any Title in the City of *Rome*, do alienate any Estate in Lands, or Country Farms, but only such Houses in the City as were a burden to the Church by reason of their Reparations, and any thing of Gold, Silver, Jewels, any Goods or Chatels; it curses all Priests, Deacons, and Defensors that sell any thing else, and all that purchase Ease, if they do not make speedy Restitution; but they declare this Law shall be in force only in the Apostolical See, not in any of the Provinces.

This shews why the Priests and Deacons of *Rome* were proper Persons to be present at it; yet not above 62 of the Bishops Subscrib'd, and some of them are Names not extant in the List before mention'd, and which contains above 80. None of the Priests or Deacons Subscribed; if they did, the Subscriptions are lost.

[501]

Pope *Symmachus* being charged with some Crimes, King *Theodoric* calls a Synod of above 70 *Italian* Bishops to examine the matter; when they were met, and understood the Occasion of their Assembly, they declare, that the Person accused ought to have Summoned them, and no one else; the King shews them the Pope's Letters, in which he signified his Desire, that the Synod should be called. He that desires to see the further Story of this matter may consult the Volumes of the Councils, or *Du Pin*. It is foreign to my Design. Only that the Synod could be brought to do nothing but refer the Cause

to God, and to charge the Senate and Clergy that they would be reconcil'd to the Bishop; for the People kept close Communion with him all this time. What his Crimes were that he was charged with, does not appear.

DECREES (as they are called) of Hormisdas, Bishop of Rome, contain

I. **A** Letter from Justin, Emperor of Rome, to Hormisdas, full of good Sense and a Christian Temper; telling him that for Peace sake the Church of Constantinople would consent to his Demands, in blotting out of their Diptychs the Name of Acacius, and several others; but begs that others may be spared, especially because the Predecessor of Hormisdas (Anastasius) had demanded only that the Name of Acacius might not be mentioned in the Church; and he hopes by this means there will be a perfect Union between the Church of Rome and all Churches, especially that of Jerusalem, (which it seems most of all objected against the high Demands of Hormisdas) which all, says he, respect as the Mother of Christianity, (a very handsom Check to the Pretences of the Roman Pontiff) so that none dare depart from her. He desires him to read and consider the Proposals of the Eastern Bishops which the same Bishop brought

520

with him, that he had now sent a Legate to Rome, that (says he) *you may give Joy to the World.*

2. Is a Letter of Report from the Clergy and Abbots of *Hierusalem, Antioch, and Syria Secunda*; wherein they declare their Orthodoxy in adhering to the 4 General Councils, and desire that upon this Profession of their Faith they may be look'd upon as Persons that desire to remain in the Unity of the Church.

3. *Hormisdas's* Answer, if I may so call it, is very dull, and affectedly obscure; what he seems to drive at is, to prove that it cannot be truly said that *One Person of the Trinity suffered*, which was the Opinion of the Eastern Divines.

You may read the whole History in *De Pin*, and how *Hormisdas's* Craft and Address prevail'd against the Integrity and good Sense of the Emperor and Easterns.

There is likewise a Fragment of a Letter from *Hormisdas* to the Priests, Deacons and Abbots of *Syria Secunda*.

The DECREES of Gregory II. Bishop of Rome, A. D. 721.

THESE were made in an Assembly of 22 Bishops, (whereof one is called in the Preface, *Sedulius Bishop of Britain*, the other *Fergusius Bishop of*

of Scotia, that is Ireland; but in the Subscriptions *Sedulius* calls himself *Episcopus de genere Scotorum*, *Fergusius* calls himself *Episcopus Pictus*) 14 Priests, 1 Arch-Deacon, 3 Deacons.

The Design of this Meeting was to cure the *Italians* of their Incest.

In every one of these Decrees, or Canons, there are 3 Anathema's pronounced.

In 1. Against him that Marries a Presbyteress. 2. Or a Deaconess. 3. Or a Nun. 4. Or his God-mother. 5. Or his Brother's Wife. 6. Or his Niece. 7. Or his Father's Wife or Son's Wife. 8. Or his Cousin Germain. 9. Or any of his near kindred.

In the 10th 3 Anathema's are pronounced against him that steals away a Widow for his Wife, and his Accomplices.

In the 11th. Or a Maid, unless he have first espoused her.

12. Against Conjurers, Soothsayers, and such as wear Charms.

13. Against those that violate the Olive-Gardens, and other places (belonging to the Church) contrary to the Precepts of the Apostolical Church formerly published.

14. Against one *Hadrian*, for Marrying *Epiphania* a Deaconess. 15. Against *Epiphania*.

16. Against their Accomplices. 17. Against Clergy-men that wear long Hair.

Not only the Priests, but Deacons subscribe these Decrees, and that in the very same form of Words used by the Bishops, and even by the Pope himself, *viz.* I A. B. *have Subscribed this Decree promulged by us.* The least that can be said is, that if these Subscriptions be true, Pope Gregory was guilty of a strange Innovation in bringing Deacons to be present as Voters in a Synod of Bishops. His Predecessors *Gelasius* forbids them in his 8th Decree to be present in the Presbytery: He is so far from minding this, that he calls them to Vote in the same manner that he himself does in a Provincial Council. If these Subscriptions be Genuine, it can be look'd upon as nothing but the aggrandizing of his own See, by making his own Clergy equal to Bishops of other Places, as he has since made those whom he calls Cardinals, equal to Princes, tho' many of them be but Priests or Deacons.

Adver.

Advertisements to the Reader concerning the Index.

1. **T**O make the Book the more useful I have made the Index very large; and it may upon occasion serve the young Reader as a Glossary; that is, if he meet with any Word or Phrase not explain'd in the place where he meets it, let him turn to the Index, and there he will be referr'd to the place where it is explained.

2. The Numbers referr not to the Pages, but the Canons or Decrees.

3. When the Index refers you to a Canon or Decree, it refers you also to the Note, if there be any, belonging to that Canon or Decree.

4. Lest you should not understand the Abbreviatures, or not know where to find the Sett of Canons or Decrees referr'd to, you have the Abbreviatures explain'd, and the Page where every Sett begins, in the following Table.

5. If there be no Number added to the Name or Title, 'tis because there is but one, or very few Canons or Decrees under that Name or Title.

6. The Words *ante* and *post* shew that the thing referr'd to is not in the Canon, or Decree itself, but comes immediately before, or after it.

Abbreviatures.	Explanation.	Page.
Ap.	Apostolical Canons	3
Nic.	Nicene Canons	43
Anc.	Ancyran Canons	58
Neoc.	Neocæsarean Canons	69
Gan.	Gangran Canons	76
	O 4	Ant.

<i>Abbreviatures.</i>	<i>Explanation.</i>	<i>Page.</i>
Ant.	Antiochian Canons	82
Laod.	Laodicean Canons	95
Cpn.	Constantinopolitan Canons	114
Eph.	Ephesine Canons	123
Chal.	Chalcedonian Canons	125
Sard.	Sardican Canons	143
Afr.	African Code	156
Dion.	Dionysius's Canons	193
Pet.	Peter's Canons	194
Thaum.	Gregory Thaumaturgus's Canons	196
Ath.	Athanasius's Canons	199
Baf.	Basil's Canons	201
Nyff.	Gregory Nyssen's Canons	220
Tim.	Timothy's Answers	222
Theop.	Theophilus's Canons	225
Cyrl.	Cyrillus's Canons	219
Naz.	Gregory Nazianzen's Canon	230
Amph.	Amphilochius's Canon	230
	Gennadius's Canon	232
Trul.	Trullan Canons	233
Sir.	Siricius's Decrees	253
Innoc.	Innocent's Decrees	255
Zof.	Zosymus's Decrees	265
Bonif.	Boniface's Decrees	266
Coel.	Coelestine's Decrees	267
Leo	Leo's Decrees	268
Gel.	Gelasius's Decrees	275
Anaf.	Anastasius's Decrees	281
Hil.	Hilarus's Decrees	282
Simp.	Simplicius's Decrees	284
	Felix	285
Sym.	Symmachus	287
Horm.	Hormisdas	291
Greg.	Gregory's Decrees	293

Page
82
95
114
123
125
143
156
193
194
196
199
201
220
222
225
219
230
230
32
33
53
55
65
66
67
68
75
81
82
84
85
87
91
93
E

THE
INDEX.

A

- A** Bortion forced, the Penance for it, *Anc.* 21. *Bas.* 2. 8.
Trul. 21. 91.
Acacius, *Anast.* 1, &c. *Horm.* 1.
Accomplice, required to inform, *Sym.* 3. *Bas.* 71.
Acolyth, *Sir.* 9. *Zof.* 1. 3.
his Business, *Innoc.* 5.
may be made by a Priest with the Bishop's Leave.
Gel. 6.
Affors, may be admitted to Penance, *Afr.* 45. See *Stage-Players*.
Athress, her Profession scandalous, *Ap.* 14.
Adultery, the Penance of it, *Anc.* 20. *Bas.* 7. 56. 66.
of the Wife nor to be publish'd, *Bas.* 34.
who guilty of it, *Trul.* 87. *Bas.* 39.
committed by taking a Wife espoused to another,
Bas. 37.
Marriage after Divorce is Adultery, *Innoc.* 26.
Elia, *Nic.* 7. See *Jerusalem*.
Age, at which Men were qualified for Orders. See *Orders*,
Sir. 9, &c. *Neoc.* 11. *Trul.* 14, 15.
Albi Paschales, *Gel.* 12.
Alexandria, the Privileges of the Bishop there, *Nic.* 6. *Trul.* 36.
Altar for the Holy Table, *Ap.* 2. 24. *Af.* 7. 10, 11. 41.
47. 83. *Trul.* 29. 45. *Bas.* 91.
Ambon, *Laod.* 15.
Anathema, *Gan.* throughout against Heresy, *Eph.* 1. *Laod.*
34. 35.

The INDEX.

- Anathema*, against Clergy-men and Monks deserting their Profession, *Chal.* 7.
 against the Deaconess that Marries, and her Husband, *Chal.* 15. *Greg.* 1, 2.
 against those who force Women, *Chal.* 27. *Trul.* 92.
 against separating Priests, *Afr.* 10, 11.
 pass'd on Men after Death, *Afr.* 81.
 against the *Pelagian* Doctrines, *Afr.* 109, &c.
 against those who adhere to false Legends, *Afr.* 63.
 against Incestuous Persons, *Greg.* 5, &c.
 against those who apply to the Emperor against the Pope, *Zof.* 4.
 against those who endeavour to secure a future Election, *Symm.* 1.
Anchorites, how to be tried, *Trul.* 41.
Ancient Customs establish'd, *Nic.* 6. *Cpn.* 2.
Ancient Canons cited, *Nic.* 2. 5. 9. 13. 16. *Ant.* 21. 23. *Sard.* 11. *Afr.* 12, 13. 48.
Angels not to be invoked, *Laod.* 35.
Animals not to be offered, *Ap.* 2.
Antioch, the Privileges of that Church, *Nic.* 6. *Trul.* 36.
 the Bishop thereof not to Ordain in *Cyprus*, *Eph.* 8. *Trul.* 39.
 the Pope against this Restraint, *Innoc.* 45, 46.
Apocrypha, *Atban.* 2.
 reckoned Canonical, *Afr.* 24.
 rejected, *Ap.* 75. *Laod.* 59. *Nyss.* *Amphil.* *Atb.* 2.
Apollinarians, *Cpn.* 1. 7.
Apostates, *Afr.* 45. See *Lapsed*.
Apatactites, *Bas.* 1.
Apostles, their Feasts or Nativities, *Sir.* 2. *Gel.* 12.
Appeals to the Provincial Synod, *Ap.* 30. *Nic.* 5.
 to a greater Synod, *Ant.* 12.
 to a Diocesan, or Patriarchal Synod, *Cpn.* 6. *Chal.* 17.
 to the Emperor forbid, *Ant.* 12. *Cpn.* 6.
 to the Temporal Judicature forbid, *Cpn.* 6. *Afr.* 104.
 to a General Council forbid, *Cpn.* 6.
 to foreign Judicatures forbid, *Afr.* 28. 125.

Appeals

The INDEX.

Appeals from the Bishop to the Neighbouring Bishops, from them to the Primates, *Afr.* 28.

none from chosen Judges, *Afr.* 15. 56.

Archbishop, to whom that Title belong'd, *Cbal.* 28. 30.

Arch-deacon, used as a Messenger, *Innoc.* 51. *Leo* 15.

sitting in a sort of Synod, *Greg.* ante 1.

Arch-Priest, *Symm.* 1.

Arius, *Nic.* ante 1. *Cpn.* 7.

Arian Clergy-men not received as such, *Atb.* 3. *Innoc.* 47.
yet sometimes otherwise, *Theop.* 2.

Arians, how admitted into the Church, *Atb.* 3.

Armenians condemned, *Trul.* 32, 33. 56. 99.

Asceticks, *Laod.* 24. 30. *Trul.* 77. See *Monks.*

Autumn, the time of holding Synod, *Nic.* 5. *Ant.* 20. *Ap.* 30.

B

Baptism to be perform'd with 3 Ablutions, *Ap.* 42.
from Hereticks incapacitates for Orders, *Ap.* 38.

of Hereticks null, *Ap.* 39.

yet by some allowed, *Bas.* 1.

purges away the Crime of Lapsing, *Anc.* 12.

and of Fornication, *Theop.* 4.

of Orthodox not to be repeated, *Ap.* 39.

on a supposed Death-bed incapacitates for Orders,
Neoc. 12.

how to be given to Converted Hereticks, *Cpn.* 7.

at what times, and how to be administred, *Laod.*
45. *Sir.* 1.

to be administred at any time in case of danger, *Sir.* 2.

not to be given in private, *Trul.* 31. 59.

how given to Men lying speechless, *Afr.* 45.

of *Donatists* good, *Afr.* 47. 57.

if given by Lay-men, null, *Bas.* 1.

not to be given to dead Corpses, *Afr.* 18.

of Infants, *Neoc.* 6. *Afr.* 47. 57. 72. 110. *Trul.* 53.
" *Sir.* 2.

given by a condemned Bishop good, *Anast.* 6, &c.

to be given gratis, *Gel.* 5.

how to be administred, not as the *Eunomians* did
it

The INDEX.

- it with one Immersion, *Cpn. 7.*
 but with three, *Ap. 42. Bas. 92. Leo 9.*
 when 'tis doubted whether one be Baptized, or
 whether by an Heretick, what to be done, *Leo 28, 29.*
 called Illumination, Enlightening, *Neoc. 12. Land.*
 3. 45, 46.
- Benediction* on the Espousals, and Marriage, *Sir. 4. 9.*
 of Water, Oil, &c. *Bas. 92.*
- Berus, Gang. 12.*
- Bestiality*, the Penance of it, *Anc. 16, 17.*
- Betrothing.* See *Espousals.*
- Bishop*, by how many to be Ordained, *Ap. 1. Ant. 19, 23.*
Nic. 4. Afr. 13. 49, 50. 78.
 by whom to be censured, *Ap. 65. Ant. 13.*
 without a See, *Ant. 16. Trul. 37. Cyril. Anc. 18.*
Ant. 18.
 not to reject his Wife, *Ap. 3.*
 forbid Secular Employs, *Ap. 4. 72. 74. Afr. 16. Chal. 3.*
 excepting the Protection of the Church, and the as-
 sisted, *Chal. 3. Sard. 7.*
 not to go out of the Church without Communica-
 ting, *Ap. 6.*
 not to receive Foreigners without Letters, *Ap. 10. 12.*
 not to affect Translations, *Ap. 11. Nic. 15. Ant. 21.*
Hil. 2.
 especially not to greater Cities, *Sard. 1.*
 not to be twice punish'd for one Crime, *Ap. 18.*
 not to beat others, *Ap. 20.*
 not to Sell Orders, *Ap. 22.*
 not to get Preferment by Heathen Powers, *Ap. 23.*
 his Power to censure Clergy-men, *Ap. 25. Afr. 9.*
Theop. 3.
 must be subject to the Prime Bishop, *Ap. 27.*
 must not Ordain in other Bishops Dioceses, *Ap. 28.*
Sard. 15. or those who belong to other Bishops,
Nic. 16. Afr. 54. Innoc. 14.
 in what case he may go to Court, *Ant. 11. Sard. 7. 21.*
 his Privilege to Vote in Synods, *Ap. 30. Nic. 5.*
Ans.

The INDEX.

Ant. 20. *Chal.* 19. *Afr.* 18. *Trul.* 8.

Bishop, his Personal Goods do not belong to the Church,

Ap. 33. *Cyril. Antioch.* 24.

must not embezzle Goods of the Church, *Ap.* 34.

Ant. 25.

must not pray or communicate with Hereticks, *Ap.* 37, 38.

not to abhor Marriage, *Ap.* 43.

ought to receive Penitents, *Ap.* 44. *Nic.* 13. *Afr.* 6, 7.

styled the Ruler of God's People, *Ap.* 47.

not to neglect his Charge, *Ap.* 50.

to relieve poor Clergy-men, *Ap.* 51. *Gan.* 7, 8.

subject to a Synod, *Ap.* 66. *Nic.* 5. *Ant.* 3. 14.

how to be proceeded against, if accused, *Ap.* 66. *Cpn.* 6. *Afr.* 19.

by what Evidence he may be convicted, *Ap.* 67.

Chal. 21. *Af.* 8. 19.

may not appoint his Successor, *Ap.* 68. *Ant.* 23.

no new Convert to be made Bishop, *Ap.* 71.

Nic. 2. *Laod.* 3. *Sard.* 10.

to be made by Consent of other Bishops, *Ant.* 23.

Laod. 12.

not to retain Women Disciples, *Nic.* 2.

but one in a City, *Nic.* 8. *Hil.* 5.

his Power in moderating Penance, *Nic.* 12. *Anc.* 2.

5. 7. *Bas.* 54. 74. *Trul.* 102.

if Deposed, must not Officiate, *Ap.* 21. *Ant.* 4.

not to meddle with the Affairs of other Bishops, *Ap.* 27.

to Ordain, and determine every thing in his own Parish, and Country, *Ant.* 9.

nor to go to another Province uninvited, *Ant.* 13.

refused by the People enjoys his Honour, *Ant.* 18.

not to renew antiquated Claims, *Chal.* 17. *Trul.* 25.

dispenses with the Marriage of Monks, *Chal.* 16.

to be reprehended for not going to Synod, *Chal.* 19.

not to receive strange Clergy-men, *Ap.* 12. *Chal.* 13.

Afr. 54. *Innoc.* 14.

not to be degraded into Priests, *Chal.* 29.

but

The INDEX.

but may be obliged to officiate only as a Priest,
Trul. 20.

Bishop, the Absence of one does not prejudice an Ordination, *Sard. 6.*

where a Bishop may be constituted, *Sard. 6. Leo 49.*
upon what Occasions to go to Court, *Sard. 7.*

or send his Minister, *Sard. 8, 9.*

must first pass through the Inferior Orders, *Sard. 10.*
Sir. 3.

not to Preach in the Churches of those who are
less Learned, *Sard. 11. Trul. 20.*

how long they may be non resident, *Sard. 11.*

not to frequent Cities of other Bishops, *Sard. 12.*

Orthodox, if ejected, to be receiv'd by others, *Sard. 17.*

his Authority, who dwells in the Road to Court,
Sard. 21.

his Leave necessary to absolve Penitents, *Afr. 7.*

How many Bishops may try a Bishop, Priest, or Deacon,
Afr. 12. 14. 20.

must not apply to Civil Judicature, *Afr. 15.*

He of that Diocese presides amongst Referrees, *Afr.*
14. 20.

not to decline the Ecclesiastical Judicature, *Afr. 15.*

not censured for Mistake in Judgment, *ibid.*

the Diocesan finally decides the Causes of the Inferior
Clergy, *Afr. 20.*

must bequeath nothing to Hereticks, *Afr. 22. 81.*

to give the Church what they get by it, *Afr. 32.*

gives leave to sell the Goods of a lesser Church, *Afr. 33.*

how he may sell the Estate of his own Church, *Afr. 33.*

how to visit Women, *Afr. 38.*

not to be Ordained 'till he have converted his Family,
Afr. 26.

to appoint the time of Penance, *Afr. 43. Bas. 54.*

not to be Ordained 'till purged from all Crimes, *Afr. 50.*

not to caress the People, *Afr. 53.*

How scarce Bishops were in *Africk*, *Afr. 55.*

not to leave his Cathedral, *Afr. 71.*

to chuse a Defensor, *Afr. 75.*

Bishop

The I N D E X.

Bishop, not to take Monks out of Monasteries, *Afr.* 80.
 to demolish superstitious Altars, *Afr.* 83.
 to take place according as he is Ordained, *Afr.* 86.
 to take a Letter of Orders, *Afr.* 89.
 not to appeal to foreign Bishops, *Afr.* 91.
 that one Bishop is not to decide a Cause, *Afr.* 107.
 his Punishment who neglects to convert *Donatists*,
Afr. 121.

not to cohabit with his Wife, *Trul.* 12. *Sir.* 7.
 may permit Sacraments to be given privately, *Trul.* 31.
 at what Age to be Ordained, *Sir.* 9, &c.
 none but Bishops to Confirm, *Eccl.* *Innoc.* 3.
 and make the Unction for the Sick, *Innoc.* 8.
 and decide Causes between Clergy-men, *Innoc.* 10.
 that he dispense the Goods of the Church, *Ap.* 34.
 how to be settled in a See, *Leo* 15.
 how long he may be detained in Synod, *Leo* 41.
 his Share in the Oblations, *Gel.* 28. *Simp.* 2.
 a British Bishop, *Greg.* ante 1.

One Bishop's Testimony not convicting, *Afr.* 132.
Bishoprick must not be made Hereditary, *Ap.* 68.
 not to be taken out of another's Diocese without his
 Consent, *Afr.* 53. 98, 56.
 to be erected with Consent of a Synod, *Afr.* 98.
 not to be vacant above a Year, *Afr.* 74.
 to be filled in three Months, *Chal.* 25.
 in what place one may be erected, *Sard.* 6. *Leo* 49.

Bloud forbidden to be eat, *Ap.* 55. *Gan.* 2. *Trul.* 67.
Bonofus his Ordinations allow'd, *Innoc.* 50. 54.
Bonofians to be driven away, *Innoc.* 49. their Heresy, *ibid.*
Bread, and Wine offered, *Ap.* 2. *Afr.* 37.

C

Cake baked in honour to the Virgin forbid, *Trul.* 19.
Canon of the Old and New Testament, *Ap.* 76.
Laod. 59 *Athan.* 2. *Naz.* *Amphiloch.* *Afr.* 24. *Innoc.* 27.
Canon signifies the List of the Clergy, *Nic.* 16. 17. *Ant.*
 11. *Chal.* 2.

Canonical Singers, *Laod.* 15.

Canons.

The INDEX.

- Canons**, i.e. Ecclesiastical Laws, when not to be executed,
Bas. 3. Tenderneſs in executing them, *Trul.* 102.
Captives laſing, how to be treated, *Thaum.* 1.
Carthage, the Privileges of that See, *Afr.* 55. 85.
Catechumen laſing becomes a Hearer, *Nic.* 11. 14. *Neoc.* 5.
 by chance eat of the Eucharift, *Tim.* 1.
 if poſſeſſed or diſtracted, *Tim.* 2. 4.
 if under Censure at point of Death, *Eyril.*
Catechumenia, *Trul.* 97.
Celeſtius, *Eph.* 1. 4. *Trul.*
Cemeteries, *Laod.* 9. *Innoc.* 5.
Censures in the Primitive Church, *Ap.* 3.
 ſingular, viz.
 the Prieſt degraded to a Deacon, *Neoc.* 1.
 the Deacon to a Sub-deacon, *Neoc.* 10.
 the Prieſt to retain his Honour, but not to Officiate,
 Anc. 1. *Bas.* 27. *Trul.* 26.
 the ſame to a Deacon, *Anc.* 2. 10.
 the Prieſt only not to make the Oblation, *Neoc.* 9.
 the Biſhop to communicate only in his own Church,
 Afr. 76. 80.
 the Biſhop's Name not to be rehearſed in the Church
 after his Death, *Afr.* 81.
 the Biſhop to be forbid the Communion of all Biſhops,
 Afr. 122. 133.
 the Prieſt to make the Oblation in private only, *Bas.* 17.
 the Clergyman made incapable of Promotion, *Trul.* 3.
 Sir. 7. 14. *Leo* 15.
 the Clergy-man to be the laſt of his Order, *Trul.* 7.
 the Biſhop deprived of the Power of Ordination, *Simp.* 2.
 the Biſhop, Prieſt and Deacon deprived of Lay-Com-
 munion till the hour of Death, *Felix.*
 to be Co-ſtander at the Eucharift, and not to receive
 it, *Sir.* 5.
 to be Mourner to the hour of Death, *Bas.* 73.
 to be excommunicated for a Year, *Trul.* 68.
 for forty days, *Trul.* 64. for a Week, *Trul.* 58.
Chancel, *Laod.* 19. 44. *Trul.* 99. 69.

The I N D E X.

- Charms* forbid, *Laod.* 36. *Trul.* 61.
- Children* not to be neglected, *Gan.* 15. *Baf.* 33. 52.
 not to Marry without Consent of Parents, *Baf.* 42.
 of Clergy-men, their Manners regulated, *Chal.* 14.
Afr. 15. 21. 35.
- Chrism* used at Confirmation, or receiving Hereticks, *Laod.*
 7. 48. *Cpn.* 7. *Gel.* 6. *Afr.* 6. *Trul.* 95.
 for anointing the Sick, *Innoc.* 8.
- Christ*, he who denies him, not to be receiv'd before the
 hour of Death, *Baf.* 73. *Nyff.* 2.
- Christians* called the Party of the Saved, *Cpn.* 7.
 Hereticks, the first Day received as Christians.
- Christmas*, *Trul.* 79. *Sir.* 2. *Leo* 8.
- Church*, Entertainments not to be made there, *Afr.* 42.
 by whose Leave Consecrated, *Gel.* 4. 25.
- Churches* in Villages, *Chal.* 6. *Baf.* 10.
 called Titles, *Afr.* 33.
- Civil* Judicature forbid to the Clergy, *Afr.* 15. 104. *Cpn.* 6.
 made use of against the obstinate, *Ant.* 5.
- Civil* Law, the Students thereof regulated, *Trul.* 71.
- Clergy*, to whom that Title properly belongs, *Ap.* 1.
 distinguish'd from the Priesthood, *Laod.* 27 30. 36.
 54. 55.
 to retain their Wives, *Ap.* 3. *Trul.* 3. 13.
- Inferior, when to sit in Presence of a Priest, or Deacon,
Laod. 20.
- forbid Civil Offices and Employs, *Ap.* 4 72. 74. *Chalc.*
 7. *Afr.* 16. *Gel.* 15.
- not to travel without Letters, *Ap.* 25. *Laod.* 41, 42.
Ant. 7.
- not to turn their Backs on the Eucharist, *Ap.* 6.
- without Letters to be received as a Lay-man, *Ap.* 12.
Chal. 13.
- not to be Security for others, *Ap.* 16.
- not to be punished twice for one fault, *Ap.* 18.
- Voluntary Eunuchs not to be Clergy-men, *Ap.* 17.
Nic. 1. *Trul.* 3. 6.
- their Marriage restrain'd, *Ap.* 19.

Clergy:

The INDEX.

- Clergy*, not to Officiate if Deposed, *Ap.* 21. *Ant.* 4. *Afr.* 29.
 not to beat others, *Ap.* 20.
 if censured, not to be received by other Bishops, *Ap.*
 25. *Chal.* 20.
 suspended when the People were refractory, *Ap.* 29.
 Inferior Clergy-men censured as Lay-men, *Ap.* 35.
 their Tonsure, *Trul.* 21.
 unreasonably multiplied, *Bas.* 90.
 Inferior not to be Ordained by Priests, *Bas.* 90.
 not to Pray, or Communicate with Jews or Here-
 ticks, *Ap.* 37, 38. 57. *Laod.* 33.
 not to abhor Marriage, *Ap.* 43.
 not to refuse Promotion from the Bishop, *Afr.* 31.
 not to be Ordained by foreign Bishops, *Sard.* 15.
Nic. 16. *Ap.* 17.
 not to go to Victualling Houses, *Ap.* 46. *Laod.* 24.
Afr. 40.
 not to reproach Bishops, *Ap.* 47.
 nor those who are deformed, *Ap.* 49.
 not to deny their Function, *Ap.* 54.
 not to purloin out of the Church. *Ap.* 64, 65.
 Dæmoniacks not to be made Clergy-men, *Ap.* 70.
 Slaves not to be Ordained, *Ap.* 73.
 not to reproach the Emperor, *Ap.* 75.
 not to retain Women Disciples, *Nic.* 3.
 not to stay long in foreign Churches, *Ant.* 13. *Ap.* 12.
 how they may go to Court, *Ant.* 11.
 not to conspire against the Bishop, *Chal.* 18. *Trul.* 34.
 to Communicate in the Chancel, *Laod.* 19.
 must not be Magicians, *Ec.* *Laod.* 36.
 not to be present at Masquerades, *Laod.* 54.
 not to club for Drinking Bouts, *Laod.* 55.
 retained in lesser Churches, *Chal.* 8.
 subject to the Bishop, *Chal.* 8.
 first to lay their Cause before their own Bishop, *Chal.* 9.
 Some Inferior Clergy-men supposed to be in Years,
Afr. 25.
 not to seize the Goods of the deceased Bishop, *Chal.* 22.
Clergy

The INDEX.

Clergy, not to be convicted by Criminous Evidence, *Chal.*

21. *Afr.* 128.

to be sent home, if they affect to strowle in the Capital City, *Chal.* 23. *Sard.* 16. *Leo* 39.

not to Sue in Civil Judicatures, *Afr.* 15. 104. *Cpn.* 6.

nor to decline the Ecclesiastical, *Afr.* 15.

when to abstain from Wives, *Afr.* 25. *Trul.* 13.

cannot do the Penance of Lay-men, *Afr.* 27.

to give the Church what they get by it, *Afr.* 32. *Leo* 16.

how to visit Women, *Afr.* 38.

very scarce in *Africk*, *Afr.* 55. 68.

if Arbitrators, not to give Evidence in the Temporal Courts, *Afr.* 59.

if Criminal, not to be protested, *Afr.* 62.

have but one Year to purge themselves of any Crime, *Afr.* 79.

not to go for Communion into foreign Churches, *Afr.* 105. *Trul.* 17.

Clergy-men in Dignity, and in Ministry, *Bas.* 51.

not to Officiate in presence of a Heretick, *Tim.* 9.

not to keep Victualling Houses, *Trul.* 9.

used to decide Civil Causes, *Afr.* 59.

to return to their Churches, except detain'd by force, *Trul.* 18.

not to wear long Hair, *Trul.* 21. *Greg.* 17.

Guardians of the Temporalities, *Trul.* 35.

may consign with the Bishop's Leave, *Innoc.* 6.

Some were of the Clergy from their Infancy, *Zos.* 3.

how long to be in Inferior Orders, *Zos.* 3.

their Salary withdrawn by the Bishop, *Cal.* 18.

their Orders valid, tho' given by an Irregular Bishop, *Leo* 15.

not to strowle, *Leo* 30.

to have one Quarter of the Oblations, *Gel.* 28.

their Share in Electing Bishops, *Leo* 15. 35. *Bonif.* 1. *Cal.* 18. *Sir.* 9.

Code of the Universal Church confirm'd, *Trul.* 2.

of the Ancient Eastern Church, *Trul.* 2.

Com-

The I N D E X.

Commendation of departed Souls, how to be perform'd;
Afr. 21. 102.

Commendatory. See Letters.

Communicants in Prayer only, Nic. 11. See Co-standers.
 without the Oblation, *Anc. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. 16. 24.*
 possessed, *Tim. 3.*

not to absent from the Holy Table, *Ap. 7.*

not to absent from the Holy Table three Lord's Days,

Sard. 11. Trul. 80.

Communion with Hereticks, and Excommunicated Persons
 forbid, *Ap. 8. 37.*

at point of Death allow'd to all, *Ap. 44. Nic. 13.*

Anc. 18. Necc. 2. Afr. 7.

except Bishops translated by Simony and Fraud, *Sard. 2.*

Concubine, how differs from a Wife, Leo 18.

to keep one incapacitates for Orders, *Ap. 13.*

Confession at Baptism, Necc. 6.

Confessors, Pet. 14.

Conjugal Act unfits for the Eucharist, Tim. 5.

when to be forborn, *Tim. 13.*

Conjuration forbid, Bas. 65. Trul. 61. Anc. 24.

and to consult Conjurers, *Nys. 3.*

Constantinople, the See thereof equall'd to that of Rome,

Cpn. 3. Chal. 28. Trul. 36.

Privileges granted to him greater than those of

Rome, Chal. 9. 17.

Continents, Cpn. 7. See Novatians.

Co-standers, Nic. 11. See Communicants in Prayer, Bas.

22. 25. 56—66. 75. 77. 82, 83. Thaum. 11. Felix.

Council. See Synod.

Court, not to be frequented by Bishops, Sard. 7.

Creed, to be learnt by Catechumens and converted Here-
ticks, Laod. 7. Trul. 78.

and Clinicks if they recover, *Laod. 47.*

of Nice, *Cpn. 1. Eph. 7.*

Cross, Believers to be Sign'd with it, Bas. 92.

not to be made on Pavements, *Trul. 73.*

Cyprus, exempt from the Jurisdiction of the Bishop of An-
tioch, Eph. 8. Trul. 39.

Bishop

The INDEX.

Bishop of Rome advises him of *Antich* to infringe this Privilege, *Inno.* 45, 46.

D

Dances, Wanton Dances forbid, *Laod.* 53.

Date, used by Christians, *Afr.* 89. 106.

Deacons, by whom Ordained, *Ap.* 1.

said to preside in the Church, *Ant.* 1.

seem to be called Preachers, *Ap.* 26.

but 7 in a City, *Neoc.* 14. revok'd, *Trul.* 16.

degraded to be Sub deacons, *Neoc.* 10.

how to sit down in Presence of a Bishop or Priest, *Laod.* 20.

tho' dignified, not to take place of Priests, *Trul.* 7.

to do nothing without the Bishop, *Ap.* 32.

to assist the Bishop in dispensing the Goods of the Church, *Ap.* 34.

forbid Translations, *Nic.* 15.

the Bishop's proper Messenger, *Sard.* 21.

representing the Metropolitan, or Patriarch in foreign Churches, *Trul.* 7.

not to sit with Bishops or Priests, *Nic.* 18.

nor to give them the Eucharist, *ibid.*

called the Bishop's Attendants, *ibid.*

how they are said to offer, *Anc.* 2.

their Business to make the Proclamations, *Anc.* 3.

in what case to Marry, *Anc.* 10.

at what Age Ordained, *Trul.* 14. *Afr.* 16. *Sir.* 9.

how Elected, *Theop.* 6.

none to be Deacon, till his Family be converted, *Afr.* 26.

not to use Wives, *Sir.* 7. *Innoc.* 16.

to have first gone through all the Inferior Orders, *Innoc.* 32. *Zsf.* 1.

not to use their Wives, yet not to dismiss them, *Leo.*

may Baptize in Absence of the Priest, *Gel.* 7.

not to be in the Presbytery, *Gel.* 8.

not first to take the Eucharist, *ibid.*

to take place as they were Ordain'd, *Gel.* 11.

they

The I N D E X.

- they may be made Bishops, *Leo* 36.
 sit at a sort of Synod, *Sym. Greg.*
Deaconess, at what Age admitted, *Trul.* 14. 40. *Chal.* 15.
 Marrying after 60, her Penance, *Bas.* 24.
 committing Lewdness with a Pagan, her Penance,
Bas. 44.
 he that Marries her, Anathematized, *Greg.* 2.
Defensors, *Chal.* 2. 23. *Afr.* 75. 97. *Innoc.* 49, 50.
 how they may be admitted into Orders, *Zof.* 3.
 not to sell any thing, *sede Vacante*, *Sym. post* 3.
Demoniacks not to be Ordain'd, *Ap.* 70.
 where they were placed, *Aug.* 17.
 some feigned themselves so, *Trul.* 60.
 whether such a one may communicate, *Tim.* 3.
 whether the Husband of a *Demoniack* may marry,
Tim. 15.
Deposition for persisting in rejecting a Wife, *Ap.* 3.
 for engaging in Secular Employes, *Ap.* 4.
 for keeping *Easter* with the Jews, *Ap.* 5.
 for accepting Jewish Doles, *Ap.* 62.
 for owning a Deposed Clergy-man, *Ap.* 9.
 or an Heretical Clergy-man, *Ap.* 37.
 for giving Security, *Ap.* 16.
 for making himself an Eunuch, *Ap.* 17. *Nic.* 1. *Gel.* 17.
 for Fornication, Perjury, Theft, *Ap.* 18. *Bas.* 3. *Trul.* 4.
 for Simony, *Ap.* 22. *Chal.* 12. *Trul.* 22, 23.
 for getting Preferment by Heathen Powers, *Ap.* 23.
 for Separation, *Ap.* 24. *Ant.* 5.
 for Ordaining, or being Ordained in a strange Dio-
 cese, *Ap.* 28.
 for following Dice, and Drinking, *Ap.* 35. *Trul.* 50.
 for Rebaptizing the Orthodox, *Ap.* 39.
 for not Rebaptizing Hereticks, *ibid.*
 for Baptizing otherwise than in the Name of the Tri-
 nity, *Ap.* 41, 42.
 for abhorring Marriage, Wine, &c. *Ap.* 43.
 for not receiving Penitents, *Ap.* 44.
 for abstaining from Wine and Flesh, *Ap.* 45.

Deposition

The INDEX.

- Depositi* n for calumniating a Bishop, *Ap.* 47.
 for neglect of Cure, *Ap.* 50.
 for going to Court without Leave, *Ant.* 11.
 for not relieving poor Clergy-men, *Ap.* 51.
 for reading publicly Heretical Books, *Ap.* 52.
 for denying the Name of a Clergy-man, *Ap.* 54.
 for eating Bloud, *Ap.* 55.
 Fasting on the Lord's-Day, or Sabbath, *Ap.* 56.
 for praying in the Jews Synagogues, *Ap.* 57.
 for Murder, *Ap.* 58.
 for giving, or taking Reordination, *Ap.* 60.
 for refusing to keep *Lent*, *Ap.* 61.
 for retaining a Place in the Heathen Government,
Ap. 72. 74.
 for reproaching the Emperor, *Ap.* 75.
 for Crimes before Ordination, *Nic.* 2. 9, 10.
 for Ordaining Criminous Persons, *Nic.* 2.
 for Usury, *Ap.* 36. *Nic.* 17. *Leo* 3.
 for cohabiting with an Adulterous Wife, *Neoc.* 8.
 for going to another Province uninvited, *Ant.* 13.
 for forcing Women on Pretence of Marriage; *Chal.* 27.
 for neglecting the Eucharist 3 Sundays, *Trul.* 80.
 for Bathing with Women, *Trul.* 77.
 for Petitioning by way of Appeal to the Civil Ju-
 dicature, *Afr.* 104.
 for going to foreign Churches for Communion, *Afr.* 105.
 Bishops for not coming to Synod, *Afr.* 53.
 for denying his own Hand, *Afr.* 13.
 for Selling (without Leave) Church-Goods, *Afr.* 26.
 for setting on High-way-men, *Bas.* 55.
 for Fasting on *Saturdays*, *Trul.* 55.
 for being Ordained before Age, *Trul.* 14, 15.
 for detaining, and not returning to their Church,
Trul. 18.
 for Conspiracy against a Bishop, *Chal.* 18. *Trul.* 34.
 for administering Baptism, or Eucharist privately,
Trul. 31.
 for Acting Farces, *Trul.* 51.

Deposition

The INDEX.

Deposition, for Masquerades, *addenda*.

for making Cakes in honour to the Virgin, *Trul.* 79.

for keeping filthy Women, *Trul.* 86.

for keeping Victualling Houses, *Trul.* 9.

for carrying a Beast into the Church, *Trul.* 88.

for using Wives in consecrated Places, *Trul.* 97.

for Marrying a Widow, or a second Wife, *Eccl. Sir.* 12.

for wilfully maiming one's self, *Innoc.* 28.

Priests for having Children, *Innoc.* 33.

for being Dæmoniacks, *Gel.* 19.

for pre-engaging his Vote at an Election, *Sym.* 2.

for not keeping *Lent* regularly, *Trul.* 58.

for Uncleanness with a divorced Woman, *Theop.* 2.

Deposed Clergy-men not to be Evidence against Bishops,
Cpn. 6.

unable of being restored, *Bas.* 3.

had relief by way of Appeal in some cases, but not
in all, *Ant.* 4. 12. 15.

Deserted Man, or Woman, not to Marry, *Afr.* 101.

Man may Marry, *Bas.* 9.

Digamy, *Laod.* 1. *Anc.* 19. *Neoc.* 3. *Bas.* 4.

it incapacitates for Orders, *Bas.* 12. *Sir.* 15, &c.

Digging up the Dead, Penance for it, *Bas.* 66. *Nysf.* 7.

Diocese, i. e. Patriarchate, *Cpn.* 2. *Chal.* 9. 28.

not to be enlarged, *Eph.* 8.

the singular Signification of this Word, *Afr.* 53. 56.
71. 99. See *Parish*.

seems to be taken in the modern Sense, *Felix.*

Diptychs, *Hormisd.* 1. *Afr.* 81. *Pet.* 14.

Divorce forbid, *Ap.* 40. *Bas.* 77.

and Marrying a divorced Woman. *Ap.* 40.

Donatists, *Afr.* 47.

their Clergy received as such, *Afr.* 68.

their Bishops being converted, to retain their Dio-
ceses, *Afr.* 99.

their Baptism allow'd, *Afr.* 47. 69.

Doxology, *Bas.* 92.

Dreams and pretended Revelations, *Afr.* 83.

East,

The I N D E X.

E

- E** *Ast*, Praying toward it, *Bas*. 92, 93.
Easter, *Ap*. 5. *Ant*. 1. *Laod*. 14. *Afr*. 34. 51. 73.
 called the Great Day, *Anc*. 6.
 the Time of admitting People to the Communion,
Nys. 1.
 and Baptism, *Sir*. 2. *Leo* 9, &c.
 made use of as a Date, *Afr*. 105.
 by whom notified, *Afr*. 51. *Innoc*. 40.
Easter-Week, *Trul*. 66. *Easter-Eve*, *Ap*. 56.
Ecdicus, *Chal*. 2.
Eggs forbidden in *Lent*, *Trul*. 56.
Egypt, Bishops thereof subject to him of *Alexandria*, *Nic*. 6.
 they cannot act without his Consent, *Chal*. 30.
Election of Bishops without the People, *Ap*. 29. *Anc*. 18.
Ant. 16. *Laod*. 12, 13.
 not to be made before the Hearers, *Laod*. 5.
 made in part by the People in some places, *Sard*. 2.
 5. *Afr*. 88. *Leo* 35. *Bonif*. 1. *Cel*. 18. *Leo* 15. *Sir*. 9.
 of a Pope, *Symm*.
 of Priests and Deacons, *Theop*. 6.
 of Inferior Clergy, *Bas*. 90.
Emperor, Petitioned to make some Laws, *Afr*. 58 to 64.
 101.
 particularly Laws against Idolatry, *Afr*. 84.
 and against the *Donatists*, *Afr*. 90.
 and that he would allow Defensors, *Afr*. 75.
 that the Emperor may go into the Chancel, *Trul*. 69.
 sometimes commanded Clergy-men to Court, *Inoc*. 18.
 commended for keeping the Canons, *Anas*. 1.
 to be consulted on the Election of a Pope, *Symm*.
post 3.
 not to be applied to by Deposed Bishops, *Ant*. 12.
 his Pragmatick not to be procured, *Chal*. 20. *Ant*. 12.
 nor by any Bishop or Clergy-man without Leave,
Ant. 11.
Marcian recommends some Particulars to be formed
 into Canons, *Chal*. 3.

The INDEX.

Emperor, may be Petition'd to let a Cause be heard by
Bishops, *Afr.* 104.

Encratites, *Bas.* 47. 86.

Enlightened, *Neoc.* 12. See *Baptism*.

Epiphany, *Theop.* 1. *Sir.* 2. *Leo.* 7. *Gel.* 12.

Eucharist called the *Viaticum*, *Nic.* 13.

not to be celebrated in private, *Trul.* 31. *Laod.* 58.

the manner of receiving it, *Trul.* 101.

to be denied to none at the hour of Death, *Nic.* 13.

to be received of all that come to Church, *Ant.* 2.

departed Souls to be recommended by it, *Afr.* 41.

not to be given to dead Corps, *Afr.* 18. *Trul.* 83.

the Consecrated Elements reserved, *Neoc.* 13. *Trul.* 58.

yet not every where, *Theop.* 7.

not to be carried to Places remote, *Laod.* 14.

not to be unreceived three Sundays, *Sard.* 11. *Trul.* 20.

See *Oblation*.

Even-Song, *Trul.* 90. See *Vespers*.

Eulogies, *Laod.* 14. 32.

Espousal and Marriage, the Difference, *Bas.* 69.

Difference betwixt lying with a Spouse, and with a
nother, *Bas.* 69.

Espoused to one must not Marry another, *Sir.* 4.
Trul. 98.

Eudoxius, and *Eudoxians*, *Cpn.* 1. *Atk.* 3.

Eunomius, and *Eunomians*, *Cpn.* 1.

Eunuch, not to be Ordained, *Ap.* 17. *Nic.* 1.

if he be, Deposed, *ibid.*

forbid to keep a Woman, *Trul.* 5.

Eutyches, and *Eutychians*, *Chal.* ante 1.

Exarch of a Diocese, *Chal.* 9. See *Patriarch*.

Appeal to him, *Chal.* 17.

Exarch of the Metropolis, *Sard.* 6. See *Metropolitan*.

Excommunication, Clerical.

for going to other Churches without Leave, *Nic.* 16.

for going to the Emperor without Leave, *Ant.* 11.

for neglecting to convert *Donatists*, *Afr.* 123.

for Prevarication, *Afr.* 124.

Exco

The INDEX.

Excommunication, for going to Court without Leave, *Afr.*

106.

for lying with a Woman only espoused, *Baf.* 69.

for a lesser degree of Uncleaness, *Baf.* 70.

for admitting Excommunicated Persons, *Afr.* 9. *Ant.* 2.

Excommunication, or Exclusion from Lay-Communion.

for Simony, *Ap.* 22. *Chal.* 2.

for Heresy, *Eph.* 5, 6.

for not keeping *Easter* Canonically, *Ant.* 1.

for Abhorrence of Marriage, *Ap.* 43.

for Charms, *Lud.* 36.

for endeavouring to exempt Monks from the Bishop's Jurisdiction, *Chal.* 8.

Bishops for Fraud and Simony, *Sard.* 1.

for keeping Love-Feasts in Churches, *Trul.* 74.

for abstaining from the Eucharist 3 Sundays, *Sard.* 11.

against ill-behaved Civilians, *Trul.* 71.

for joining Invaders, *Thaum.*

for setting on High-way-men, *Baf.* 55.

for lying with a Nun, *Trul.* 4.

for Fasting on *Saturday*, *Trul.* 55.

for making Shops in the Verge of the Church, *Trul.* 76.

for corrupting the Holy Bible, *Trul.* 68.

for Marrying a Heretick, *Trul.* 72.

for Boiling Meat in the Chancel, *Trul.* 99.

for Acting Farces, *Trul.* 51.

for Bathing with Women, *Trul.* 77.

against the Monk that lodges in a Nunnery, *Trul.* 47.

for eating Bloud, *Trul.* 67.

for making a Cake for the Holy Virgin, *Trul.* 79.

for making the Cross to be trampled on, *Trul.* 73.

for carrying Beasts into the Church. 88.

for keeping filthy Women, *Trul.* 86.

for Swearing Heathenish Oaths, *Trul.* 94.

for Communicating with a Deposed Bishop or Clergyman, *Ant.* 4.

Excommunication for wearing long and curl'd Hair, *Trul.* 96.

for using Wives in Consecrated Places, *Trul.* 97.

The INDEX.

Excommunication, for receiving Baptism in private, *Trul.* 9.
 for keeping Heathen Feasts, *Trul.* 62.
 for making lascivious Pictures, *Trul.* 100.
 against incontinent Monks and Nuns, *Sir.* 6.
 for buying or Selling a Vote in Elections, *Sym.* 1.
 they who die under it left to the Divine Judgment
Leo 20.

by whom to be performed, *Ant.* 6. *Eph.* 5. *Afr.* 9.
 by whom to be reversed, *Ant.* 6.

Excommunicated Persons not to be received in foreign
 Churches, *Ap.* 10. *Ant.* 2. 6.

not to be Evidence, or inform against Bishops, *Cpn.* 6.
 or any others, *Afr.* 128. 131.

Exorcism, used on Converted Hereticks, *Cpn.* 7.
 on Persons to be Baptized, *Cælest.* 3, &c.

Exorcists, *Laod.* 24. 26. *Zof.* 1, 2, 3.

Extreme Unction, *Innoc.* 8.

F

Fabric of the Church, one quarter of the Oblations for
 the Maintenance of it, *Gel.* 27. *Simp.* 2.

Farms belonging to the Church, *Ant.* 25.

Farming Estates, and Offices forbid to Bishops, Clergy and
 Monks, *Chal.* 3. *Afr.* 16.

Fasting on Sabbath and Lord's-Day forbid, *Ap.* 56. *Gan.* 18.
Trul. 55.

on Passion Days enjoined, *Trul.* 59.

on Wednesday and Friday, *Ap.* 61. *Pet.* 15.

on Epiphany, *Theop.* 1.

Fasts, how kept, *Laod.* 50. *Trul.* 56.

of the Church not to be despised, *Gan.* 19.

Sacrament to be received Fasting, *Tim.* 16.

and so to be administered, *Afr.* 41.

See *Lent.*

Fatherless Minors, the Care of the Bishop, *Chal.* 3. *Sard.* 1.

Festivals of the Church, *Ap.* 45. *Laod.* 51.

in honour of Christ, 5. *Leo* 8.

of Apostles and Martyrs, *Sir.* 2. *Gel.* 12. *Afr.* 60.

Festiva

The I N D E X.

Festivals of the Heathen forbid to Christians, Ap. 63. Laod. 39. Afr. 60. Trul. 62.
of the Jews forbid to Christians, Laod. 37.
First-fruits, of what sort allow'd to be brought to the Altar, Ap. 2. Afr. 27.
Flesh, not to be abhorred, Ap. 43. Gan. 2.
how one might be excused from eating of it, Anc. 14.
Foreigners without Letters not to be received, Ap. 10. 25, 26. Nic. 16. Am. 6.
Fornication, the Penance for it, Bas. 59.
Anie-nuptial censured, Bas. 25.
the guilty Parties may Marry, Bas. 26.
incapacitated Men for Orders, Ap. 53. Neoc. 9.
not purged away by Ordination. Neoc. 9.
Fruits of the Church, how to be disposed of, Can. 7, 8.

G

G*eneral Council, not to be appealed to, Cpn. 6.*
in Africa signified a National Council, Afr. 95.
God-father, not to Marry the Child's Mother, Trul. 53.
God-son, not to Marry his God-mother, Greg. 4.
Golden Vessels in the Primitive Church, Ap. 64.
Goods of the Church, how to be under the Disposal of the Bishop, Ap. 33, 34.
by an Oeconomus, Chal. 22.
those of the Church and Bishop distinguish'd, Ant. 24.
Ap. 33.
those of the Church not to be appropriated, Theop. 10.
if Sold, sede vacante, may be re-assumed, Anc. 15.
Priest not to alienate the Goods of their own lesser Churches without the Bishop's Consent, Afr. 33.
how Bishop may alienate them, ibid.
that Metropolitans must not seize them sede vacante, ibid.
Gospels to be read on the Sabbath, Laod. 16.
Gowns enjoined the Clergy, Trul. 27.
Grapes to blessed only, not offered, Ap. 2. Trul. 28.

The I N D E X.

H

- H**abit fashionable not to be despised, *Gan.* 12.
of the Man not to be worn by Women, *Gan.* 13.
Hair not to be shaved by Women, *Gan.* 17.
not to be worn long by Clergy-men, *Greg.* 17.
Hearers, *Nic.* 11, 12. *Anc.* 4. 6. 8, 9. *Laod.* 5. *Bas.* 22.
56—66. 75. 77. 83, *Thaum.* 7. 9. *Nyss.* 4, 5. *Trul.* 87.
if they sin in that Station, Expelled, *Neoc.* 5.
Heresy disables a Bishop from acting, *Eph.* 1.
Hereticks, their Communion forbid, *Ap.* 37, 38, 39.
their Martyrs not honoured, *Laod.* 34.
how to be received into the Church, *Ap.* 39. *Nic.*
8. 19. *Laod.* 7, 8. *Cpn.* 7. *Trul.* 95.
to be Re-baptized, *Ap.* 60.
and Re-ordained, *ibid.*
Catholicks not to Marry with them, *Laod.* 10. 31.
their Eulogies not to be receiv'd, *Laod.* 32.
not to be permitted to enter the Church, *Laod.* 6.
how their Evidence against Bishops rejected, *Ap.* 67.
Cpn. 6.
repenting on a Death-bed received, *Bas.* 5.
Hermits not to strowle in Cities, *Trul.* 42.
High-way-men repenting, how treated, *Nyss.* 6.
Honey not to be offered, *Ap.* 2. *Trul.* 57.
excepting on one Day, *Afr.* 37.
Horse-races forbid to Clergy-men, *Trul.* 24.
wholly on *Easter-Week*, *Trul.* 66.
Hospitals, *Chal.* 8. 10.
Hospitality, *Ap.* 26.
House of God not to be despised, *Gan.* 5.
Husband deserted by his Wife may Marry, if he be In-
nocent, *Bas.* 9.
but not if he desert his Wife, *ibid.*
guilty of Adultery does Penance, but is not divor-
ced, *Bas.* 21.
his Wife being Possess'd, he can't Marry another,
Tim. 15.
Husbandry allow'd Clergy-men, *Innoc.* 28.
Hydroparastata, *Bas.* 1.

Idolatry,

The INDEX.

I

- I**dolatry, 30 Years Penance for it, *Bas.* 7.
Jerusalem, the Privileges of that See, *Nic.* 7. *Trul.* 36.
 the Mother of Christianity, *Horm.* 1.
Jews, Christians not to Feast with them, *Ap.* 62.
 nor Sabbatize with them, *Laod.* 29.
 nor accept Presents from their Feasts, *Laod.* 37, 38.
 nor use their Physicians, *Trul.* 11.
 nor keep the Passover as they do, *Ap.* 5.
Illumination, *Tim.* 1. *Trul.* 59. See *Baptism*.
Imposition of Hands at receiving Penitents, *Afr.* 27. 43.
 and receiving Hereticks, *Afr.* 57. *Sir.* 1.
 Forms of Prayer on such Occasions, *Afr.* 102.
 on those of whom it is doubted, whether they were
 Baptized by Hereticks, *Leo* 29.
 on those who were thro' Ignorance Baptized by Hereticks, *Leo* 47.
Imprisonment, the Punishment of incontinent Monks and
 Nuns, *Sir.* 6.
Incense in the Primitive Church, *Ap.* 2.
Incest, the Penance for it, *Bas.* 67, 68. 75—79. *Trul.* 54.
 censured, *Greg.* 5, &c.
 committed ignorantly, *Bas.* 27. *Trul.* 26.
Infant-Baptism, *Afr.* 47. See *Baptism*.
Informers to be bound to the Law of Retaliation, in case
 they do not convict the Bishop, *Cpn.* 6.
 to be unsuspected themselves, *Afr.* 19.
 Excommunicated if they do not appear, *ibid.*
 must not be Infamous, or obnoxious, *Afr.* 129.
 their Reputation to be examined, *Chal.* 21.
Intercessors not to fix themselves in the vacant Bishopricks
 of which they are Guardians, *Afr.* 74.
Invocation at the distributing the Eucharist, *Bas.* 92.
 of Angels forbid, *Laod.* 35.
Judges Civil, desired to assist the Bishops, *Afr.* 67.
 particularly in relation to the *Donatists*, *Afr.* 91.
Judges Chosen, no Appeal lies from them, *Afr.* 15. 96.
 how many they ought to be, *Afr.* 121.

The INDEX.

Sometimes assigned by Metropolitans, *ibid.* See *Referees.*

K

King Odoacer calls a Synod, *Sym. post 3.*

Kiss. See *Peace.*

Kneelers, Neoc. 5.

Kneeling on the Lord's-Day forbid, *Nic. 20. Trul. 91.*
referr'd to Tradition, Basf. 92.

L

L*Amb,* our Saviour represented by it, *Trul. 82.*

Lapsed in times of Persecution,

if Clergy-men, how treated *Anc. 1, 2. Basf. 51. Pet. 10, 11.*

if Lay-men, how treated, *Nic. 10, 11. Anc. 3-7.*

Pet. 1-9. Basf. 81. Nyss. 2. Leo 30.

if before Baptism, capable of Orders, *Anc. 12.*

if after, incapable, *Nic. 10.*

Lay-men, their Baptism null, *Basf. 1.*

must not take the Eucharist themselves in Presence of
 a Priest, *Trul. 58.*

not to go into the Chancel, *Trul. 69.*

may perform Extreme Unction, *Innoc. 8.*

must not be admitted to Holy Orders, *16. 19.*

especially not to be Bishops, *Cœl. 21. Leo 49.*

in a Scarcity of Clergy, how to be admitted to Or-
 ders, *Gel. 3.*

allowed to Baptize, *Gel. 7.*

not to determine Ecclesiastical Matters.

to read Scripture, *Ap. 76.*

Leaven'd Bread Blessed, to what Purpose, *Innoc. 5.*

Legatés à Intere, Sard. 5.

to Synod, *Afr. 14. 18. 51.*

how to be chosen, *Afr. 76.*

to the Emperor, *Afr. 47.*

to the Donatists, *Afr. 69.*

Legends rejected, *Trul. 63.*

Lent, *Ap. 61. Laod. 45. 49, 50, 51, 52.*

Time for Synod, *Nic. 5. Trul. 52.*

Leprosy, Anc. 17.

Lesson, one after every Psalm, *Laod. 17.*

Let-

The INDEX.

Letters, Pacifick, Canonical, Commendatory, Formal, Dimissory, Ap. 25. Ant. 7, 8. Chal. 11. Sard. 9. Afr. 23. 73. 106. Trul. 17.

of Orders, Afr. 89.

Lewd Lover, Anc. 25.

Liturgy, Ap. 21. Anc. 1, 2. Neoc. 13. Gan. 4. 20. Ant. 3, 4.

of Prayers, Laod. 18.

the Communion Office, Trul. 31. 52. 70. Pet. 14.

of St. James, and St Basil, Trul. 32.

Lord's-Day, how to be observ'd, Laod. 29. 49. 51.

no Publick Shews on that Day, Afr. 61. Pet. 12.

not to Kneel on that Day, Nic. 20. Pet. 15. Bas. 92.

Love-Fests, not to be despised, Gan. 11.

how to be kept, Laod. 27.

not in Consecrated Places, Laod. 28. Trul. 74.

Lust not gratified, no Penance for it, Neoc. 4.

M

M *Acedonians, Cpn. 1. See Pneumatomachi.*

Majority of Votes to prevail, Nic. 6. Ant. 19.

Sym. 1.

Manichæans, Bas. 1.

Manumissions to be made in the Church, Afr. 64. 1

good if three Witnesses present, Trul. 84.

Marcellians, Cpn. 1.

Marcionists, Bas. 1.

Marriage of two Brothers forbid, Neoc. 2.

in Lent prohibited, Laod. 52.

not to be abhorred, Ap. 43. Gan. 1. 9.

Stolen Matches forbid, Chal. 27. Greg. 10, 11. Bas.

22. 30.

and of many successively, Neoc. 3.

often repeated the Penance of it, Bas. 4.

with Hereticks forbid, Laod. 31. null'd, Trul. 72.

of the Clergy restrained, Ap. 19. Anc. 10. Neoc. 1.

Trul. 3. 6. Sir. 7—11. Cœl. 19. Leo 32. Hil. 4.

not contracted by Fornication, Bas. 6. 22.

regularly contracted by the Benediction of the Priest,

Sir. 9, &c.

The INDEX.

Marriage of a Widow, or scandalous Woman, incapacitates for Orders, Ap. 14.

of the God-father, and Child's Mother forbid, *Trul. 23.*

of the God-mother to her God-child forbid, *Greg. 4.*

Married Priest may make the Oblation, Gan. 4.

a second time Censured, *Neoc. 7. Laod. 1.*

but to be admitted to Communion, *Nic. 8.*

a third time better than a Fornicator, 50.

unlawfully must not cohabit, *Theop. post 10.*

Martyrs, how honoured, Gan. 20. Afr. 46. 83.

their Festivals, *Sir. 2. See Festivals.*

Marryries, Laod. 9. Chal. 6. 8. 10.

Mas, Afr. 7. Missa præsanctificationum, Laod. 49. Trul. 52.

Masquerades forbid Clergy-men, Laod. 54. Trul. 24. 62.

See Page ult.

Maundy-Thursd. day, Laod. 50. Afr. 41. Trul. 29. Innoc. 7.

Maximianists, Afr. 67. 69.

Metropolis, newly Elected to be but Nominal, Chal. 12.

a new one Erected by a Synod, *Afr. 17.*

Metropolis in Africk, distinct from the Primates See, Afr. 86.

Metropolitan of the Province, his Privileges, Nic. 4. 6. Ant.

11. *Laod. 12.*

to Ordain Bishops to Vacant Sees, *Chal. 23.*

without him no Bishop to be Ordained, *Ant. 19. 20.*

Hil. 1. Innoc. 9. Bonif. 4.

not to appropriate the Goods of a Vacant Church,

Trul. 35.

may call Bishops from Neighbouring Provinces, *Ant. 14.*

this Power given to the Bishop of Rome, *Sard. 3.*

to Summon Provincial Synods, *Ant. 20. Sard. 6. Trul. 8.*

to appoint the Place of Synods, *Chal. 19. Trul. 8.*

Complaints against them, to whom to be made, *Chal. 9.*

in what Case Guardian of the Temporalities, *Trul. 35.*

Judge at the Election of a Bishop, *Leo 15. Nic. 4. 6.*

out of whom to be chosen, *Leo 36.*

to send home strowling Clergy men, *Leo 39.*

Acts of Synod null without his Consent, *Sym. post 3.*

had a Power of dismembring Parishes, *Bas. 20.*

Metropolitan

The INDEX.

- Metropolitan* of a Diocese, *Chal.* 28. See *Patriarchi* to be Ordain'd by their own Bishops, *Trul.* 39.
- Milk* not to be offered, *Ap.* 2. *Trul.* 57.
excepting one Day, *Afr.* 37.
- Ministers*, *Neoc.* 10. See *Sub-deacons*, *Laod.* 20—25. *Sard.* 15.
when to Sit down in the Deacon's Presence, *Laod.* 20.
no Place in the Deacon's Apartment, *ibid.*
not to wear the *Orarium*, *Laod.* 21.
lying with an Espoused Woman, *Bas.* 69.
not to administer the Eucharist, *Laod.* 25.
how admitted, *Bas.* 90.
not to leave the Door, *Laod.* 22. 43.
- Minister* seems to denote a Deacon, *Sard.* 8. & *post* 21.
to be sent to Court by the Bishop, *Sard.* 9.
- Ministry*, i. e. Inferior Orders, *Neoc.* 8. *Bas.* 12. 51.
- Monasteries* not be founded without Consent of the Bishop, *Chal.* 4.
not to be alienated, *Chal.* 24. *Trul.* 49.
- Monks* may not Marry without the Bishop's Leave, *Chal.* 16.
forbid Offices in Army, or Government, *Chal.* 7.
to be Excommunicated for Simony, *Chal.* 2.
not to meddle with Ecclesiastical Business, *Chal.* 4.
the greatest Criminals may be Monks, *Trul.* 43.
must be Subject to the Bishop, *Chal.* 4.
forbid to Farm, *Chal.* 3.
Marrying how treated, *Bas.* 19. 60. *Trul.* 44.
of old did not swear Coelibacy, *Bas.* 19.
might profess at Ten, *Trul.* 40.
must not conspire against the Bishop, *Chal.* 18.
how they may become Clergy-men, *Sir.* 13.
if they be Ordained, must not Marry, *Innoc.* 17.
if they commit Fornication, their Penance, *Bas.* 60.
Leo 26.
in a Scarcity of Clergy may become Priests in a Year's time, *Gal.* 2.
- Montanists*, *Cpn.* 7.
- Months* warning to be given to Bishop when accused, *Afr.* 19.
- Mourners*, *Nic.* 11. *Pet.* 1. *Thaum.* 1. *Trul.* 87. *Bas.* 56—66.
82, 83, 77. Mourners

The INDEX.

Mourners to the hour of Death, *Bas.* 73.

Murder, wilful, who guilty of it, *Bas.* 8.

unwilful, the Clergy-man censur'd for it, *Ap.* 58.

the Lay-man censur'd for it, *Anc.* 23. *Bas.* 11. 57.

wilful, the Penance of it, *Anc.* 22. *Bas.* 7. 56.

Guilt contracted by Murder *in se defendendo.* *Bas.* 43.

whether killing in War be Murder, *Bas.* 13.

N

Names of those who brought the Eucharistical Bread and Wine rehearsed in the Church, *Innoc.* 2. See *Diplychs.*

Nativity of Christ, *Sir.* 2. *Leo* 8. See *Christmas.*

of the Apostles, *Gel.* 12.

of the Martyrs, *Laod.* 51. *Afr.* 60. See *Festivals.*

Necessitous allow'd to travel, *Chal.* 11.

Nestorius, *Eph.* ante 1, 2—4.

Nicene Synod can be prejudiced by none, *Ath.* 3. See *Creed.*

Nocturnal Pollutions, if involuntary do not unfit Clergy-men for Holy Offices, *Dion.* 4.

nor are they sinful, *Ath.* 1.

except proceeding from Desire of a Woman, *Tim.* 12.

Nominal Bishops, *Nic.* 8.

Nones, *Laod.* 18.

Novatians, *Laod.* 7. *Innoc.* 15. See *Puritans.*

said to be a Branch of the *Marcionists*, *Bas.* 47.

Clergy-men converted from them, whether Re-ordained, *Nic.* 8. *Theop.* 10.

Nun, not to go abroad without Leave, *Trul.* 46.

not to be dress'd fine upon her Veiling, *Trul.* 45.

Marrying, how admitted to Penance, *Innoc.* 19.

how, if guilty of Incontinence, *Leo* 26.

O

Oaths unlawful do not bind, *Bas.* 17. 29.

of Heathen forbid to Christians, *Bas.* 8. *Trul.* 94.

Oblation in the Eucharist, *Ap.* 2. 5. 38. *Nic.* 5. 11. 13. 18.

Anc. 1, 2. 5—9. 16. 24. *Neoc.* 9. 13. *Gan.* 4. *Laod.* 10. 58.

Sard. 12. *Trul.* 28. *Bas.* 44. *Tim.* 1—9. 14. *Theop.* 7.

Janic. 2.

Oblatio

The I N D E X.

- Oblation not be made in private Houses, *Laod.* 58.
 to be made at the Commendation of a departed Soul,
Afr. 41. *Tim.* 14.
 or upon a Marriage, *Tim.* 11.
 Slaves of Easter, *Afr.* 61.
 Economist, *Chalc.* 7. how chosen, *Theop.* 9.
 to secure the mean Profits, *Chal.* 25.
 no See to be without one, *Chal.* 26.
 Chosen out of the Clergy, *ibid.*
 Offerings of the People, how to be divided, *Gel.* 27. *Simp.* 2.
 Officers of the Church, *Chal.* 2.
 Oil, not to be carried to Synagogues, or Heathen Tem-
 ples, 63.
 for the Lights in the Church, *Ap.* 2.
 Orarium, *Laod.* 22, 23.
 Oratories, not to be built without the Bishop's Leave, *Chal.* 4.
 belonging to private Houses, *Trul.* 31. 59.
 Orders, Holy, how many, *Ap.* 1.
 Priestly and Ecclesiastical, *Laod.* 24.
 the Inferior or Ecclesiastical Orders particularly enu-
 merated, *Ap.* 35. *Ant.* 10. *Laod.* 24.
 if conferr'd on Criminous Persons, not allowed, *Nic.*
 2. 9. *Theop.* 5. *Ap.* 53.
 not to be conferr'd by foreign Bishops, *Nic.* 16. *Ap.* 28.
Ant. 22. *Afr.* 54.
 deaf, or blind, not to be Ordained Bishop, *Ap.* 69.
 may be conferr'd on those who lapsed before Baptism,
Ant. 12.
 conferr'd by Bishops thrust out of their Sees are valid,
Trul. 37.
 Qualifications necessary for them, *Sir.* 9.
 given by *Acacius* after his Condemnation allow'd, *A-*
nast. 6.
 given by *Arians* not allow'd, *Innoc.* 47.
 but in some Cases allow'd, *Leo* 14.
 of *Donatists* allowed in *Africk* only, *Afr.* 63. 99.
 purge away some Sins, not Fornication, *Neoc.* 9.
 in what Case valid, tho' given by an Irregular Bishop,
Leo 15. *Orders,*

The I N D E X.

Orders not to be conferr'd on those who have married irregularly, *Ap.* 13—15. *Innoc.* 11. 13. 29. *Hil.* 4.
 or that retain Adulterous Wives, *Neoc.* 8.
 or that have been Soldiers, Pleaders, Stewards, or Courtiers, *Innoc.* 30.
 or that have done Penance for any Crime, *Nic.* 10. *Innoc.* 34. *Hil.* 5.
 not to be conferr'd without a Title, *Chal.* 6.
Decrees of Councils to be read to the Persons to be Ordained, *Afr.* 20.
 nor on those who are illiterate, *Gel.* 16. *Hil.* 5.
 nor on Voluntary Eunuchs, *Ap.* 17. *Nic.* 1.
 nor on Maimed Persons, *Innoc.* 28. *Hil.* 5. *Gel.* 16.
Ordination, Times allotted for it, *Gel.* 11.
 by Hereticks, whether null, *Bas.* 1. 47.
 not to be performed in private, *Theop.* 6.
Ostiaries, *Laod.* 24.

P

P*aramonarius*, *Chal.* 2.
Para-synagogues, *Bas.* 1.
Parents not to be despised, *Gan.* 16.
Parish, for what is now called a Diocese, *Ap.* 11, 12. 27. *Nic.* 16. 20. *Ant.* 3. 9. *Afr.* 19. *Trul.* 25. *Sard.* 15.
 to be divided according to the Civil Pattern, *Chal.* 17. *Trul.* 38.
Country Parishes, *Chal.* 17.
 taken in the Modern Sense, *Fælix*.
Paschal-Fast, when to be broken, *Dion.* 1.
Paschal-Feast. See *Easter*.
Patriarchate, *Cpn.* 1. See *Diocese*.
Paulianists, *Nic.* 19.
Peace, Kiss of Peace, when to be given, *Innoc.* 1.
 to be given by Priest to Bishops, &c. *Laod.* 7.
Pelagianism condemned, *Cœl.* 3—13. *Afr.* 108—116.
Penitents not to be rejected, *Ap.* 44. *Afr.* 45. *Laod.* 2.
 especially at the hour of Death, *Nic.* 13. *Bas.* 73. *Afr.* 7. *Sir.* 3. *Anc.* 6.
 being absolv'd, when in danger of Death, return to their Penance,

The I N D E X.

Penance, if they recover, *Anc.* 6. *Nic.* 13. *Nyff.* 2. &
not to be reconciled without the Bishop's Leave, *Afr.*
7. 43.

the manner of reconciling them if their Crimes were
great, *Afr.* 43.

their several Stations in the Church, *Nic.* 11, &c.

Pentecost, *Nic.* 20.

proper Time for Baptism, *Sir.* 2. *Leo* 9.

People could not secure to themselves an Irregular Bishop,
Afr. 120.

said to request a Bishop, *Afr.* 88.

to testify for Clergy-men at their Ordination, *Theop.* 6;

See *Laity*, and *Elections*.

Pepuzenes, *Bas.* 1. See *Montanists*.

Perfection, the Eucharist so called, *Anc.* 4, 5. 9. 20. 22.

Perjury, the Penance of it, *Bas.* 64.

Pharmacy, the Penance for it, *Anc.* 21. *Bas.* 7. 65.

Photinians, *Laod.* 7. *Cpn.* 7.

Phrygians, *Laod.* 8. *Cpn.* 7. See *Montanists*.

Pictures, *Trul.* 82.

Pluralities, *Chal.* 10.

Pneumatomachi, *Cpn.* 1. See *Macedonians*.

Polygamy, *Bas.* 80.

Pontick Diocese, *Cpn.* 2. *Chal.* 28.

Poor to be taken Care of by the Bishop, *Ap.* 31. See
Defensr.

Pope, a Title of old given to any Bishop, *Tbaum.* ante 1.

Pope of Rome, what Primacy he had of old, *Nic.* 6. *Cpn.* 3.
Chal. 28.

Privileges given him, *Sard.* 3, 4, 5.

advises the Bishop of *Antioch* to invade the Province of
Cyprus, *Innoc.* 45, 46.

pretends a Power to Nominate his Successor, *Sym.* 2.
the pretended Center of Church-Government, *Leo* 41.

Rules to be observed in Electing a Pope, *Sym.* 1, 2, 3.

Pragmatick Bishops forbid to procure it, *Chal.* 12.

Prayer with Excommunicated Persons forbid, *Ap.* 8.

and with Deposed Clergy-men, *Ap.* 9.

and

The INDEX.

- and with Hereticks and Schismatics, *Laod.* 33.
 for the Catechumens, Penitents, and Communicants,
Laod. 19.
 several Forms of Prayer mentioned, *Afr.* 102.
 toward the East, *Bas.* 92.
 used upon the Administration of the Eucharist, *Neoc.*
 13. *Bas.* 92.
Preaching, the proper Office of the Bishop, *Laod.* 29.
 but often performed by Priests, *Anc.* 1. *Trul.* 19.
 sometimes probably by Deacons, *Ap.* 26.
Prefaces in the Communion Office, *Afr.* 102.
President, who so called, *Trul.* 19. 41. *Leo* 15.
Presbytery, *Anc.* 18. *Gel.* 8.
Prescription, as to Bounds of Parishes, *Chal.* 17. *Trul.* 25.
Priests, by whom Ordained, *Ap.* 1. how Elected, *Theop.* 6.
 by whom Deposed, *Ant.* 4. or Censured, *Ap.* 25.
Chal. 23.
 to assist the Bishop in distributing the Offerings, *Ap.*
 2. 34.
 not to be Chosen by the People, *Laod.* 13.
 none independent of Bishops, *Ap.* 12. *Gan.* 6. *Chal.* 8.
 Country Priests not to officiate in the City, *Neoc.* 13.
Priesthood distinguish'd from the Clergy, *Laod.* 27. 30. 36.
Priests not to go into the *Bema* before the Bishop, *Laod.*
 56.
 not to leave their Parish, *Ap.* 12.
 not to give Canonical Letters, *Ant.* 18.
 Deposed if they separate from the Bishop, *Ap.* 24.
Ant. 5.
 tho' Married may make the Oblation, *Gan.* 4.
 to do nothing without the Bishop, *Ap.* 32. *Laod.* 57.
Gel. 6.
 or his written License, *Anc.* 13.
 to receive Penitents, *Ap.* 44.
 but with the Bishop's Consent, *Afr.* 7. 43.
 Novices not to be Ordained, *Nic.* 2. *Laod.* 3.
 forbid Translations, *Nic.* 15.
 not to be received in foreign Churches, *Nic.* 16.

not

The I N D E X.

- not to alienate Church-Goods, *sede vacante*, *Anc.* 15.
- not to be present at a second Marriage-Feast, *Neoc.* 7.
- at what Age Ordained, *Neoc.* 11. *Trul.* 14. *Sir.* 9.
- Baptism on a supposed Death-bed incapacitates for this Order, *Neoc.* 12.
- Priests *a latere* from the Pope, *Sard.* 5.
- not to Consecrate Chrism, *Eccl.* *Afr.* 6.
- cenfured by their Bishops to whom they appeal'd, *Afr.* 10, 11.
- not to Sell Church Goods without Bishop's Consent, *Afr.* 33.
- none to be so, till his Family be Catholicks, *Afr.* 34.
- his Office is to Bless, *Baf.* 27.
- a Reader ejected by Priests, *Theop.* 5.
- not to Officiate at an incestuous Marriage, *Tim.* 11.
- may use his Wife, *Trul.* 13.
- Priests among the *Barbarians* not bound to retain their Wives, *Trul.* 30.
- their Office not to be entailed, *Trul.* 33.
- not to celebrate Baptism, or Eucharist in private, *Trul.* 31.
- not to Officiate in presence of a Heretick, *Tim.* 9.
- Priests in Cœmeteries, Martyries, Hospitals, Titles, *Chal.* 8. *Innoc.* 5.
- not to use Wives, *Sir.* 7. *Innoc.* 6.
- how they may lay hands on *Dæmoniacks*, *Innoc.* 6.
- must make some stay in every Inferior Order, *Innoc.* 32.
- not to Preach in opposition to the Bishops, *Cæl.* 1.
- to Officiate in the accustomed Habit, *Cæl.* 14.
- not to be ignorant of the Canons, *Cæl.* 20.
- Slaves not to be Ordained Priests, *Leo* 1. *Gel.* 3.
- not to Ordain *Acolyths*, or Sub-deacons, *Gel.* 6.
- take Place according to Ordination, *Gel.* 11.
- at a sort of Synod, *Hil.* *Fælix.* *Sym.* *Greg.*
- Priests of the Province, *Afr.* 97.
- Priestesses, or Presbyteresses forbid, *Laod.* 11.
- yet mentioned, *Greg.* 1.

The INDEX.

Prime Bishop, *Ap.* 27. See *Metropolitan*.

the Bishop of *Carthage* seems to be so styled, *Afr.* 39.
not to be called Prince of Priests, *Afr.* 39.

Primate, the Title of the Metropolitan in *Africa*, *Afr.* 13.
& *passim*.

which Honour was not fixt to any certain See, *Afr.* 86.
Princes should not swear to wrong their Subjects, *Bis.* 29.
Prostrator, *Nic.* 11, 12. *Anc.* 4—9. 16. 22. 24. *Thaum.* 8,
9. 11. *Bas.* 56—66. 75. 77. 82, 83. *Nyss.* 4, 5. *Trul.* 87.
Province for a Collection of Churches, or Episcopal Pa-
rishes united in one Government, *Ap.* 27. *Nic.* 5, &c.
not to be divided, *Chal.* 12.

Psalms, of what sort to be used, *Laod.* 59.

how to be Sung, *Laod.* 15. 17.

Purchasers of Church-Goods censured, *Sym.* post 3.

Puritans, how to be received, *Nic.* 8. *Bas.* 1. See *Novatians*.

Q

Quartodecimani, *Ap.* 5. *Laod.* 7. *Cpn.* 7.

R

Rabble, their Violence to be declined by Church Judi-
catures, *Afr.* 30.

fond of Superstitious Altars, *Afr.* 83.

Raparees that join'd the *Goths* in their Invasion, how to be
treated, *Thaum.*

Readers, *Ap.* 19. 35. 61.

supposed sometimes not to be adult, *Afr.* 16. *Sir.* 9.

he must continue so till he be Twenty, *Zos.* 3.

forbid to ingratiate with the People, *Afr.* 16.

to Marry or profess Continency, *ibid.*

not to wear the *Orarium*, *Laod.* 23.

not to have Heterodox Wives or Children, *Chal.* 14.

forbid Translation, *Afr.* 90.

He that is Baptized adult, and would be a Clergy-
man, must be a Reader as soon as Baptized, *Zos.* 3.

Re-baptization, in what Cases enjoined, or forbid, *Ap.* 39.

Nic. 19. *Bas.* 1. 47, 48. *Afr.* 47. 69. *Cpn.* 7. *Felix*.

Recluse,

The INDEX.

Recluse, Gan. 9. 14. See Monks and Nuns.

Referees in Controversies between Clergy-men to be chosen with the Bishop's Consent, Chal. 9. Afr. 20. the Bishop himself making one, Afr. 20. and presiding, Afr. 14.

Referees between Bishops, chosen by the Primate, Afr. 121? no Appeal from their Judgment, Afr. 15. 96. 122. How many there ought to be, 121.

Register of the Ordination of Bishops, Afr. 86.

Re-ordination, in what cases enjoined, or forbid. Ap. 60. Afr. 48. Nis. 8. 19. Bas. 1. Afr. 68. Ath. 3. Innoc. 4. 7. Theop. 2.

Resignation invalid, except he that resign deserve Deposition, Cyril.

Responses, Laod. 19.

Revenue of the Church, how divided, Gel. 27. See Offerings.

Rhetorick-Schools, Defensors to have been Educated there, Afr. 97.

the Merit of being Educated there, not so great as to excuse him who desires to be Bishop, from passing thro' the Inferior Orders, Sard. 10.

Romish Church more severe than others against the Marriage of the Clergy, Trul. 3. 13.

her Scheme of Universal Monarchy, Leo 41.

her Practice to Fast on the Sabbath, or Saturday, Innoc. 4.

Scarcity of Clergy there, Gel. 1.

S

S *Sabbath distinguish'd from the Lord's-Day, Laod. 29. 49. 51.*

that Men ought to work on that Day, Laod. 29.

Eucharist celebrated on that Day, Laod. 49. 51. Tim. 13. Trul. 52.

Gospels to be read on that Day, Laod. 16.

Fast not to be kept on that Day, Trul. 55, 56. Ap. 56.

but the Practice was otherwise at Rome, Innoc. 4.

Sabbathians, Cpn. 7. See Novatians and Puritans.

Sabellians,

The INDEX.

- Sabelian**, *Cpn.* 1.
Sacerdotal Catalogue, *Ap.* 43. See *Canon*, and *Priest*.
Sacrament of the Altar, *Afr.* 4.
Sacrifice Heathen, they who offered it censured, *Nic.* 11, 12, 13, 14. *Anc.* 1—9. *Pet.* 1—14. See *Lapsed*.
Sacrifice Christian, *Afr.* 10, 11. *Tim.* 13. See *Altar*, *Oblation*, *Eucharist*.
Sacrilege, *Nyss.* 8. See *Canon of Scripture*.
Scriptures to be read by the Laity, *Ap.* 76.
to be read in the Church, *Leod.* 59. 16.
not to be corrupted, *Trul.* 68.
to be expounded according to the Fathers, *Trul.* 19.
Schismatics, their Accusation against Bishops rejected, *Cpn.* 6.
whether their Baptism and Ordination be good, *Bas.* 1.
See *Separation*.
Seal of the Spirit, *Cpn.* 7.
Seditious Clergy-men to be restrain'd, *Chal.* 23.
Selling Church-Goods and Estates, *Sym. post* 3. *Anc.* 15. *Afr.* 33.
Selling the Sacraments, *Trul.* 23. See *Simony*.
Semi-Arians, *Cpn.* 1.
Senex for Primate, *Afr.* 100. 127.
Senior for the same, *Afr.* 8.
Sensual Sin, *Nic.* 2.
Separation from the Bishop forbid, *Ap.* 24. *Can.* 6. *Afr.* 10, 11.
Shews Publick, not to be frequented, *Afr.* 15.
to be forbid on the Lord's-Day, *Afr.* 61.
Clergy-men's Sons not to be concerned in them, *Afr.* 15.
Simony in selling Orders forbid, *Ap.* 22. *Chal.* 2. *Bas.* 91. *Trul.* 22. *Gennadius*.
in Selling the Sacrament, *Trul.* 23.
Singers, *Ap.* 19. 61. *Leod.* 24.
to perform their Office decently, *Trul.* 75.
not to wear the *Orarium*, *Leod.* 23.
not to have Heterodox Wives or Children, *Chal.* 14. none

The INDEX.

- none to Sing in Church but Canonical Singers,
Laod. 15.
 Slaves, on what Condition to be admitted Clergy-men, or
 Monks, *Ap.* 73. *Chal.* 4.
 not to run away from his Master, *Gen.* 3.
 forced by her Master is innocent, *Bas.* 40.
 but this Pretence not to excuse her, if she be used
 thus a second time, *Bas.* 53.
 not to Marry without Master's Consent, *Bas.* 40. 42.
 Sons of Clergy, their Manners regulated, *Afr.* 15. *Chal.*
 14. *Afr.* 21. 35.
 Southsaying forbid, *Ans.* 24. *Greg.* 12. *Afr.* 61.
 Stage-players to be received on Repentance, *Afr.* 45.
 that they be permitted to relinquish their Business,
Afr. 63. See *Actor* and *Actress*.
 Standing at Prayer on Lord's-Day, *Eccl.* *Nic.* 20. *Bas.* 92.
Trul. 90.
 Statutes of Nice to be observed, *Afr.* 1.
 Steward of the Benefactions, *Gen.* 8.
 Strangers, in what Case may be made Bishops; *Chal.* 18.
 See *Foreigners*.
 Sub-deacons prohibited Marriage, *Trul.* 6.
 if they be Married to refrain from their Wives,
Leo 34.
 Ordained by a Priest with the Bishop's Leave, *Gel.* 6.
 when to be Ordained, *Sir.* 9, &c. See *Minister*.
 Suspension from Communion Clerical.
 for rejecting a Wife, *Ap.* 3.
 for not receiving the Eucharist, *Ap.* 6.
 for offering to chuse a Successor, *Ap.* 68.
 for refusing a Bishoprick.
 for going to a Victualling House, *Ap.* 46.
 for reproaching one imperfect in Body, *Ap.* 49.
 for neglect of Cure, *Ap.* 50.
 for not relieving Poor Clergy-men, *Ap.* 51.
 for not appearing on a Summons, *Afr.* 16.
 for lesser degrees of Uncleanness, *Bas.* 69, 70.
 for purloining any thing out of the Church, *Ap.* 64, 65.
Suspension

The INDEX.

- Suspension* from Lay-Communion,
 for carrying Oil to the Synagogue, or Heathen Temple, *Ap.* 63.
 for Praying with one Excommunicated; *Ap.* 8.
 or with Jew, or Heretick, *Ap.* 57.
 for not keeping *Lent*, *Ap.* 61.
 for Castration, *Ap.* 17. Eating Bloud, *Ap.* 55.
 for Fasting on Sabbath, or Lord's-Day, *Ap.* 56.
 for Separation, *Ap.* 24. Chance-Medly, *Ap.* 58.
 for accepting Doles from Jews, *Ap.* 62.
 for Eating Eggs and Milk in *Lent*, *Trul.* 56.
 for Dice, and Drinking, *Ap.* 35.
 for reproaching the Emperor, *Ap.* 75.
 for reproaching a Priest, or Deacon, *Ap.* 48.
 or one imperfect in Body, *Ap.* 49.
 for going to the Cœmeteries, or Martyries of Hereticks, *Laod.* 9.
Swine's Flesh, absurd to swear Abstinence from it, *Bas.* 78.
Synods Provincial of Bishops twice a Year, *Ap.* 30. *Nic.* 5.
Ant. 20. *Chal.* 19. *Leo* 13. 37.
 once a Year, *Trul.* 8.
 they finally decided all Controversies, *Ap.* 30.
 to continue but fifteen Days, *Leo* 41.
 Greater Synods, *Ant.* 12, 13, 14.
 no Synod without a Metropolitan, *Ant.* 16.
 no Appeal from a Provincial Synod to a greater, if it be Unanimous, *Ant.* 15.
Diocesan Synod, *Cpn.* 6. viz. of the whole Patriarchate.
National Synods to be held once a Year in *Africa*, 18. called a General Synod, *Afr.* 95.
 not to meet oftner than Occasion requires, *Afr.* 95.
 delegate their Authority to a Committee, *Afr.* 127.
General, or Occumenical Synod not to be appealed to, *Cpn.* 6.

The I N D E X.

T

- T**emperance enjoined the Clergy, *Trul. Afr. 3.*
 Temples of Heathen to be destroyed, except they
 were an Ornament to the Place, *Afr. 38.*
Tetradites, Cpn. 7. See Quartodecimani.
Theater forbid to the Students of the Law, *Trul. 71.*
Theatrick Show unlawful for Clergy men, *Ianoc. 18.*
 to be forbid on *Easter-Week, Trul. 61.*
*Th*st, the Penance for it, *Baf. 61.*
Thessalonica, the Pope's pretended Authority there, *Leo 31.*
 the Privileges of the Bishop thereof, *Leo 36—40.*
 his Negative Voice in the Synod, *Leo 41.*
Thracian Diocese, *Cpn. Chal. 28.*
Title, that is, a lesser Church, *Afr. 33.*
 what is now called a Title, *Chal. 6.*
Time Western, *Cpn. 5.*
Tonsure Clerical, *Trul. 21. 33.*
Trajectory, *Afr. 76.*
Traditions, *Anc. post 20. Baf. 92.*
Transactors of *Simoniack* Promotions censured, *Chal. 2.*
Translations of Bishops and Clergy-men forbid, *Ap. 11.*
Nic. 15. Ant. 21. Chal. 5. Sard. 1, 2. Afr. 48. 90. Leo 38.
Trine Immersion, or Ablution, *Ap. 42. Baf. 92. Leo 9.*
Trisagium, *Trul. 81.*

V.

- V**alentinians, *Baf. 1.*
*Vener*y unnatural, how punish'd, *Anc. 16, 17. Baf. 7.*
 62, 63.
Vespers, *Laod. 18. See Even song.*
Village-Bishops, *Nic. 8.*
 their Authority, *Ant. 10. Baf. 90.*
 to do nothing without the City-Bishop, *Laod. 57.*
 may give Pacifick Letters, *Ant. 8.*
 may make the Oblation in the City, *Neoc. 13.*
 how made, *Ant. 10.*
 laid aside, *Laod. 57.*
Virgins professing Chastity, *Anc. 19.*
 they must not abhor Marriage, *Gen. 9.*

The I N D E X.

nor insult Married Women, *Gen.* 10.
 may Marry with Bishop's Leave, *Cbal.* 16.
 Marrying without Leave, their Penance, *Baf.* 18.
 and that if they have only resolved, not professed Vir-
 ginity, *Innoc.* 29.

Virgins professing Chastity,

how to be lookt after, *Afr.* 44.
 not to be Consecrated by Priests, *Afr.* 6
 at what Age to be Veiled, *Afr.* 126. *Baf.* 18. *Trul.* 40.
 on what Days to be Veiled, *Gel.* 12.
 if ravish'd, not to be admitted Nuns, *Leo* 49.
 if incontinent, their Penance, *Baf.* 60.
 if professed, and yet Married, while they were Here-
 ticks, Catholick Baptism purges them, *Baf.* 20. See
Nuns.

Virgin espoused to one not to Marry another, *Sir.* 4.

espoused by one, ravish'd by another, belongs to the
 former, *Anc.* 11. *Baf.* 22.

otherwise to be Married by them who ravish'd 'em,
Ap. 59.

not to live with Men as pretended Sisters, *Anc.* 19.

Visitors itinerant, *Leo* 57.

Visitation of Provinces, *Afr.* 52.

Unction, *Cpu.* 7. See *Chrism*, and *Extreme*.

Universal. See *Synod*.

Usury forbid the Superior Clergy, *Laod.* 4.

to all Clergy-men, *Ap.* 36. *Nic.* 17. *Afr.* 5. 16. *Trul.* 10.

to Laity as well as Clergy, *Leo* 3, &c.

on what Terms they who practise it may be Ordained,
Baf. 14.

W

Water mingled with the Eucharistical Wine, *Trul.*
 32.

Weather, they who stood exposed to it, *Anc.* 27. *Nic.* 11.

Wednesday Fast, *Ap.* 61. *Pet.* 15.

Whitsuntide, *Sir.* 2. *Leo*, &c. See *Pentecost*.

Widow, he who Marries one incapable of Orders, *Ap.* 14.

Trul. 3. & *passim*.

a Custom

The INDEX.

a Custom pleaded for Clergy-men to Marry Widows,
Innoc. 51.

not to be Veiled, *Gel. 13. 21.*

to be protected by Bishops, *Chal. 3. Sard. 7.*

Wine and Flesh not to be abhorred, *Ap. 43. 45.*

Witchcraft forbid, *Bas. 7. See Pharmacy.*

Wife to be retained by Bishop and Clergy-man, *Ap. 3.*

not to desert her Husband on Pretence of Religion,

Gan. 14. not to desert the Husband, Trul. 87. Bas. 35.

what if she Marry in her Husband's Absence, *Bas. 31.*

36. 42. Trul. 93.

if her Husband Marry during her Captivity, upon her

return she may challenge her Husband, *Innoc. 37.*

if deserted, whether not to Marry, *Bas. 48.*

divorced for Adultery, *Bas. 21.*

yet retained their Adulterous Husbands, *Bas. 9.*

when the Clergy were to abstain from their Wives,

Afr. 4. 25. 70. and Lay-men too, Dion. 3.

to be dismissed by the Clergy-men if irregularly Mar-

ried, *Trul. 3.*

Bishop forbid Co-habitation with her, *Trul. 12.*

of a Bishop how to be disposed of, *Trul. 48.*

Witness, who cannot be one, *Afr. 129. 131.*

one not sufficient, tho' a Communicant, *Ap. 67.*

nor even a Bishop, *Afr. 132.*

Women not to be retain'd by Clergy-men under the Name

of Disciples, *Nic. 3. Sir. 12.*

nor by other Men, or even Eunuchs, *Trul. 5.*

with Child, may be Baptized, *Neo. 6.*

procuring Abortion, how treated, *Anc. 21. See A-*
bortion.

not to Bath with Men, *Laod. 30. Trul. 77.*

not to speak at the Eucharist, *Trul. 70.*

not to go into the Chancel, *Laod. 44.*

espoused to one, Marrying another guilty of Adultery,
Trul. 98.

Marrying one deserted for Adultery, whether an Adul-
terer, *Bas. 9.*

Q

Woman

THE INDEX.

Woman brought to Bed and neglecting her Child, guilty of Murder, *Bas.* 33. 52.

in Child-bed not bound to Fast, *Tim.* 8.

Menstruous not to come to Church, *Dion.* 2.

nor to be Baptized, or Communicate, *Tim.* 6, 7.

ignorantly Marrying a Married Man may Marry again, *Bas.* 48.

X

X *Antippus senex, Afr.* 100.

ADDENDA

ADDENDA & CORRIGENDA.

TO the Note on *Can. Ap. 18.* add, This Rule does not hold in case a Clergy-man were guilty of Heresy; for then he was both Deposed and Excommunicated. See *Can. 43.*

51.

Correct the Translation of the 23d *Apostolican Canon* thus,

If a Bishop, &c.— let him be Deposed * and they who Communicate with him suspended from Communion.

* So some *Greek Copies* have it, and so runs *Dionysius Exiguus's Translation*, and this seems most agreeable to the Discipline of that Age.

Let *Can. Laod. 54.* be thus Corrected.

That they of the Priesthood and Clergy, &c.— rise up, and retire before the Masquerades enter.

Let *Can. Trul. 24.* be thus Corrected.

It forbids Horse-races and Masquerades to Clergymen, &c.

Θυμελικοὶ, the Word used in both these Canons does not denote Musicians, or Stage-Play Musick only; but Playing and Dancing in Masques, in the Theater, on the *Θυμέλη*, a Place made for this Purpose. And the *Trullan Fathers* explain *Θυμελικοὶ* in this Sense, by adding *τὰ πρὸ ἀπατὴν παίγνια*, Plays or Sports contrived for Deception, as these Masques, or Disguises were. They were brought from the Stage into Private Houses, or however something was done in imitation of those Theatrick Shows at the Wedding-Fests.

Therefore

Addenda & Corrigenda.

Therefore blot out those Words in the Note on *Can. Laod.* 53. viz. *to the Laity (bo' not to the Clergy.* See *Can. 51.*

Let the latter Part of the 15th Decree of *Leo* be thus Corrected.

If any Clergy-men have been Ordained by Bishops who came in irregularly in those Churches, which (then) had proper Bishops, and the Ordination were perform'd by the Consent of them and the Presidents, it may be allow'd of.

Let the Words in the 3d and 4th Line of *Gregory Nazianzen's* Canon, enclosed in Hooks, be thus read, viz.

[by the First Book of *Kings*, he means the Two Books of *Samuel*; by the Second of *Kings*, he means the Two Books of *Kings*.]

F I N I S

FH

MVSEVM
BRITANNICVM

ad.

ius

ps
ch
ere
fi-

xi-

vo
ns